

Pro se LITIGANT SEIZES THE THROAT OF OKLAHOMA JUDICIARY UNDER RICO

Pro se Litigant sues 764 judges, Federal and State, in their personal capacity for Racketeering.

June 23, 2007

**By Jeff Sedgwick
Copyright 2007 voidjudgements.net
Montpelier, Maryland -**

Pro se LITIGANT SEIZES THE THROAT OF OKLAHOMA JUDICIARY UNDER RICO.

Pro se Litigant sues 764 judges, Federal and State, in their personal capacity for Racketeering.

1. Beverly Naves, an unstoppable Molly Brown, has filed a series of civil RICO (Racketeered, Influenced and Corrupt Organizations) civil law suits naming every Federal and State Judge (764 of them) in Oklahoma, in their personal capacity.

2. Beverly Naves has file the actions as a Private Attorney General, ex rel, meaning that she has filed "on relation of" the United States.

3. Todate Beverly Naves has filed 4 civil RICO actions, each chained to the next law suit. Each law suit has a number of John Doe's as defendants, so that if someone is overlooked they can be added to the civil suit, including someone in another state.

4. In the event that the DOJ, Department of Justice, decides to enter the action, and since Beverly Naves is a Private Attorney General, ex rel, the DOJ can ONLY enter the action in support of Beverly Naves, since United States is already represented by Beverly Naves as a Private Attorney General (See the Clayton Act).

5. The DOJ has published an order appointing a special attorney that is charged with completing "an on information (investigation), with

authority to prosecute both civilly and criminally, including authority to conduct Grand Jury Investigations."

6. One of the judges named as Defendant in one of the Civil RICO actions, has ruled and has dismissed Beverly Naves' case. Yet, no Order has been issued. Once an Order is written and signed that judge will be subject to immediate termination, firing. On the other hand, if no order is written and signed before the Term of Court expires, then the ruling becomes void. Either way the case will move forward.

7. Judges will not be able to avail themselves of 12(b) Judicial Immunity since they are being sued in their personal capacity by a Private Attorney General as provided in the Clayton Act.

For those readers who are old enough to remember the Spencer Tracey movie "Judgment at Nuremburg" you will remember that you were told within the movie that the first thing Adolph Hitler did when he was elected Chancellor was to take control of the Courts. With control of the courts, it didn't matter what laws the Parliament passed, the judges would interpret the laws and rule the way Chancellor Hitler wanted. Death to all those who opposed him.

That same fascism is alive and well right here in River City, USA.

The courts that were created by our Federal and State Constitutions were intended to support the citizens in achieving justice. Lady Justice was intended to be blind to influence, to seek only the truth and meet out justice accordingly. Any resemblance of today's courts to the intentions of the Founding Fathers is purely coincidental.

The Bar, a TRADE UNION, issues recommendations to practice law for Attorneys (there is no such thing as a license to practice law) which are approved by the State Supreme Court. Those Judges are also members of the Bar. Both Attorneys and Judges are members of the same trade union. Judges are appointed or elected upon the recommendation of the Bar, while Attorneys are allowed to practice at the discretion of the Bar. So, we have a trade union in charge of or in control of the entire Judiciary. No Conflict of interest here, is there? Did you ever have the feeling that you were lying face up looking at

the undercarriage of a bus?

Beverly Naves has filed her civil RICO suits because of perpetrations by some judges and actions not taken by other judges. That is to say, those who have perpetrated crimes against "the people" and those who stood by and did nothing, making themselves complicit in the crimes.

Some of those crimes include:

- Denial of access to court,
- Denial of both procedural and substantive due process,
- Judgments absent "subject matter jurisdiction,"
- Destruction of evidence,
- Fabrication of evidence,
- Bribery,
- Abuse of pro se / pro per litigants through threat, coercion or intimidation,
- Ignoring U.S. Supreme Court ruling,
- Ignoring precedents,
- Ex parte meetings, some times on a golf course or in a bar,
- Suborning perjury,
- Fraud on the Court by the Court,
- Mail fraud,
- Wire fraud,
- And unfortunately, much more.

Beverly Naves is one person who has had her fill and has decided to do something about it. There are those who'd say you can't fight city hall, but don't tell that to Beverly. She can't hear it.

What this case could mean to those named as defendants is it that they will have to pay, from their own pocket, legal retainers that could start at \$20,000 to \$50,000 and require even more money as the case moves forward.

When Beverly Naves' suit is successful:

- it would mean that all of those judges ruled against will immediately be removed from the bench,
- they will have to pay back ALL of the wages they have received,
- and loose their pensions.

It could also mean that the judges ruled against will be investigated to determine:

- how much money they have accepted as bribes,**
- or defrauded and extorted from litigants,**
- and a Grand Jury indictment resulting in criminal trials and jail sentences for the perpetrators along with those who were complicit.**

Back in the 1960's Ralph Nader, an attorney, took General Motors to their knees, twice. A monumental feat, no doubt. Beverly Naves is not an attorney and has taken on an even bigger adversary, the 10th Federal Circuit and all of the judges for the state of Oklahoma.

Can you imagine the looks on the faces of those judges when Federal Marshals appeared to serve papers on them? Can you imagine that happening in open court?

Who says you can't make a difference? Who says you can't fight city hall? Not Beverly Naves, not those who supported her.