

### Chapter 3 - What One Man Can Do; Another Man Can Do!

- C) Notes, Explanations, and Possible Further Improvements to Part B, of this Chapter. Failure to read and study Parts B & C at the same time would not be a good idea!

It would be nice to think(assume) that all corrections, improvements, etc. could be covered in this Part C; however, as it is a known factor in life, 'there is always more which we or I can do', which allows the intelligent to realize that the adventure will continue. We will be smarter tomorrow than we are today!

These notes, etc. will follow by order of Part B by page #.

p. 1) see note in upper right-hand corner of page 1. If a 'hard copy'(paper or micro-fish) exists a demand for return or cancellation of same must be done. It was determined that Florida destroyed their hard copies after 8 years. My van was more than 8 years of age.

Further, note that the date of this letter was approx. 5 months prior to my moving to Arkansas which I was aware of that item(moving).

p. 2a) At this time I still was in a 'motor vehicle' and not a 'private conveyance. Notice dates of this letter and dates page 3-1. I was at the beginning of establishing an address. This letter was to create a 'history' of my address and demand a 'correction' on the Dept. of Motor Vehicles. The fact that the D. of M. V. did not respond in a positive fashion was not the exercise. The exercise was to establish the 'contention' at this date.

p. 2b) Notice my name is now in upper & lower case with D. of M.V. admitting 'programing' reason. Now return to Part A, page 15 and 'see' photo-copy of letter sent to me with my correct address AND VERY IMPORTANT - see 'computer-bar-scan' only of my address without a ZIP Code which was delivered. I had received numerous letters from others at the date of my sending this letter to D. of M. V. with the 8 line address with the same results. Thought it would be 'neat' to use a photo-copy of a letter from a 'major' law firm in this country.

For approx. 25 years, I have asked numerous pontificators of supposed knowledge about the 'upper case' versus 'upper and lower case' issue with respect to 'showing' a case or a law which deliniates the issue. Never, have I received a response. Idiots invent terms such as 'legal fiction' or 'straw-man' to confuse the issue. This issue is a 'legal reality'!

I have found this 'issue' in only one area of law. With respect to a Death Certificate, it states that 'when the flesh and blood body is now dead, then the 'upper-case' must be used on the paper-work! Simply put, 'upper-case' useage of letters means that the individual has now terminated 'flesh and blood' and has now passed into the 'realm of the corporate world'.

I WOULD WELCOME ANY INDIVIDUAL TO FURTHER EDUCATE ME ON THIS ISSUE!

2c,d,e, & f) These should be self-explanatory. What I do not have is the following: I showed these letters(items) to the agent for my vehicle insurance. Instantly, he went to his computer and cancelled my insurance on said unit which no longer was a vehicle. Insurance companies are not allowed to carry insurance on 'non-motor vehicles'. THUSLY, the issue of mandatory insurance 'goes-out-the-window'!

NOTICE that I skip over pages 3-1 thru 3-6 at this point. These will be discussed when I proceed to pages 1 thru 6 dated Sept. 20, 2007.

3-7) My picture does not photo-copy which saves wear and tear on your eyes. My '8' line address is dis-jointed as I did not have a computer to 'fit'(scale) address into the area available for typing. I do not consider this a 'major' impediment'; however, most should try to 'form' the 8 line address.

This item, 'INHABITANT OF ARKANSAS THE STATE' - Conveyor's Information Card, is something which I invented to satisfy certain requirements. ONE MUST HAVE ALL THE INFORMATION on the face of this 'Card'. This is critical! Further explanation will occur during discussion of pages Sept. 20, 2007.

Notice the expiration date as one is required. I thought it would be 'cute' to have my I.D. expire when I would be 100 years old.

On rear of card, ERROR TO NOTE, as I have learned since said time-frame. YHWH should be 'THE FATHER' and of course I typoed YHWH instead of YHWH which still would have been in error.

Further, I used the term, 'Soverign Rights' which should have been 'THE SOVERIGN's Responsibilities and Duties'. The 'Dred Scott v Sanford, 19 How. 393' citation should NOT have been used. I believe that I am competent to 'properly defend' said error and have tried to allow 'baiting-the-bear'(government) to approach said 'error'. This is why I have not corrected this ERROR on my behalf. I DO NOT RECOMMEND THAT OTHERS CARRY THIS ERROR FORWARD.

'magnetic strip not required' - I just that it was 'cute' and wanted to see if the 'bear' wanted to attempt to 'bite'.

pages 3-8 thru 3-10) THESE ARE VERY IMPORTANT! One must do these! Notice my 8 line address which is 'stamped' on postal document. I had purchased a stamp-address ink item. You need one. Further, I have since purchased smaller stickum address for other needs. One <sup>should</sup> consider doing the same. Much easier than writing out your address in very 'cramped' areas on paperwork.

One must use a 'Notice of Service and Service'. Either Notice of Service or Service will not work. Must have both.

It is debateable as to whether 'Registered' or 'Certified with Return Receipt' is best. I use 'Certified/Return Receipt' as of today.

Obviously, one must use the names of the respective individuals in your area. Phone calls are necessary to obtain said data.

FAILURE to follow this outline will probably not make you happy!

4-a,b,c, & d) Although, I was aware in Jan. 4, 2000 that 'YAHWEH' and 'YASHUA' was in error, I knowingly went forth in error. At this time I was in some of my final examinations of said names(terminology). And, I made the decision to await 'full-tilt' war on this issue until a later date. Please refer to weeks 2 & 3 of this offering(course).

ONE MUST HAVE A Private Conveyance Information Sign of some nature. This is how law enforcement 'must' keep a record, radio-in, and become aware not to 'piss you off' by stopping you.

ONE MAY NOT USE THE TERM(S) - 'tag', motor vechile tag, registration tag, etc. ONE MUST ONLY HAVE A 'Sign'. This term is not codified in 'their' law books relative to a self-propelled(motor) unit!

ONE MUST SEND A COPY of Both the 'Information Card' and the 'Information Sign' along with the 'Constructive Notice and Requirement to Perform' with Notice of Service and Service to the various entities. Yes, there is a 'bit' of photocopying to accomlish. Along with some expense of stamps, and fees for certified/return receipt. Being 'cheap' and not following requirements on your behalf will not allow you to have a 'record' to prove 'intent to committ fraud' if some entity tries to interfear with your conveying.

'Intent to commit fraud' will be discussed at the end of this Part C. It is the big item to prevent the goverment from attacking one.

THE VERBAGE in the Van and Jeep Wagon Constructive Notice(s) and Requirement(s) to Perform are identical. There are errors in both of those C.N & R. to P. which were corrected in the Bronco C.N. & R to P. You are required to 'read' the Van and carefully compare it to the Bronco. Your failure to do as instructed will not necessarily cause a 'crisis' on my behalf, but, you may become very unhappy.

The following is in reference to pages 1 thru 6, dated Sept. 20, 2007 in reference to a Ford Bronco.

page 1) I finally got the useage of 'Sovereign' correct as in 'Sovereign's' land. Sovereign is to be exclusively in relationship to THE FATHER or THE CHRIST. Any other useage is in ERROR!

I finally defined 'rights' as responsibilities and duties and nothing more! ERROR - with further study (later to be addressed in this course) I would not use 'granted to be free sovereign and independent - Treaty of Paris on Sept. 3, 1787'. This verbage should be deleted!

page 2) ERROR - I would not use '(People of the state are entitled to all the rights which formally belonged to the King by his prerogative. Lansing v Smith, 21D. 89(1829). This again will be addressed in a later section of this offering about 'sovereign' and the Treaty of Paris.

page 3) - No errors known of at this date.

page 4) - notice typo correction from Van and Jeep to this Bronco  
Burkes v. Lasher is correct the typos were on Van and Jeep.

Other errors not in evidence this page.

~~pages~~ 5 & 6) No errors found at this date.

On occasions in the past I have sat down with individuals which I deemed to be 'fairly' intelligent on certain subjects of law. When attempting to explain the intricacy of the Constructive Notice and Requirement to Perform, I have spent as much as 2½ hours, un-interrupted, in discussion of items. At no time that I have ever discussed the issues on said subject have I been satisfied with my offering. Always, there is something more to share. Thusly, you the individual which is reading and listening to this material always needs to do more! You always must be asking questions so that you may seek the answers.

What I can say that the paperwork which I have enclosed has worked for me. I have followed parameters which parallel the orders of THE FATHER'S LAW. What I am trying to say is 'what is the motivation'? Why do certain people have a desire to convey as opposed to drive?

For me the cost of a driver's license, tag, and insurance is nothing of any importance. The dollar amount is almost nothing. There was something festering in me which I did not know until 1991.

"...then will they not pay toll, tribute, and custom, and so thou shalt endamage the revenue of the kings." - Ezra 4:13.

Toll/price to go down road (license & tag), custom/insurance in ancient terms, tribute/income taxes. It became a little clearer for me.

And, I found it to be interesting that my born date as to month and day is 4/13.

\*\*\*\*\*

THERE are individuals which have citations, fines, and sentences against them. Those individuals were in a motor vehical and if they attempt to use the material(s) in Parts B and C of this Chapter to solve their problems: they will get their asses handed to them in a sling!!!!!!!

What I am offering is for those which have 'cleaned their hands'. A fine, citation, or sentence which already has been imposed is a 'lien' on your body/property, etc.. This lien is pre-esisting. You must solve that problem before moving on. Clean your hands. Then proceed!!!!

\*\*\*\*\*

I will be spending weeks 12 & 13 of this offering(course) verbalising the typed material to the best of my abilities. I could spend weeks typing trying to explain this material which would be very confusing in my estimation in reading. Thusly, I will attempt to verbalise.

Your job is to read(side-by-side) the materials and to listen.

And, after you do that, then ask questions and verify materials.

THANK YOU!

*Jerry F. Kirk*  
an attempting servant of THE LORD