

Chapter 6 - 'Man's Laws'; Are they valid? Are they anti-Christ?  
"...but they have rejected me, that I should not reign  
over them." - I Samuel 8:7. ( might want to read verses  
11-20)

"And if it seem evil unto you to serve the LORD, choose  
this day whom ye will serve;..." - Joshua 24:15.

A) The Constitution of the United States of America: Is it Really  
What You Think It Is? Is it (6 + 6)?

Preamble: We the People of the United States, in order to form a  
more perfect union, establish justice, ensure domestic tranquillity,  
provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare...do  
ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of  
America.

So, it says that the people did this thing. Does it say that the  
individual states did this thing? No! Please, POINT OUT TO ME -  
WHERE THE PEOPLE VOTED for this thing. Have you ever thought of that?

PLEASE POINT OUT TO ME, where it says in the Preamble, 'The States'  
ordained 'this thing'! I seem to have a problem with being able to  
SEE the words - state or states in any form occurring.

However, in man's law invisible language seems to appear from the  
reading of this thing called The Constituion.

HOW many times have you heard individuals stand on the 'bully-pulpit',  
their radio program, their newsletter, their E-mail, etc. and in  
great emotion state with an abundance of confidence that such and  
such law or activity is NOT CONSTITUTIONAL? That they, the most wise  
of the 'red, white, and blue crowd' KNOWS that this certain item is  
NOT CONSTITUTIONAL! One hundred times, one thousand times or how  
many?

If one believes in the Constituion of the United States of America,  
which it seems that these 'bully-pulpit' vocalist's do claim, how  
come they can not understand Article III of said Constitution?  
It clearly says that there is one Supreme Court. Are those complainers  
on the Supreme Court?

In the United States of America, there is only ONE GROUP which is  
allowed to determine what is constitutional or not constitutional!!!!  
Yes, this is the 'thing' which the 'red, white, and blues' rally to  
protect. A group of 'BLACK ROBES' in Washington, D.C. (Disfunctional  
Critters) is the ultimate power group which can be bought and paid for  
through the 'buying of the legislative and executive brances of your  
fine government.

Those which claim to pontificate as to what is constitutional or what  
is not, demonstrate their complete lack of knowledge(ignorance) of  
the very system to which they claim to believe, in honorable debate.  
In reality, those individuals are engaging in DELUSIONAL FANTASY!!!

Golly, Gee, are not the 'red-white&blues' happy with me since I deal  
in reality? Would you like to re-think about 'what is or is not  
constitutional'? Maybe, you might like to stand-up and be counted  
as a 'thinking individual' and call some of these ignorant people and  
try to assist them in their thought process!!!

CAN WE READ FOR CONTENT? Can we stop reading for interpretation? Are we aware of the difference? Could this be 'reading for what an item actually 'says' as opposed to our own limited individual training which may or may not be very good?

Article I, Section I of U.S.C. - "All legislative powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States..."

What is left over after 'ALL' is taken? Let's look at Amend. 10 of 'this thing': The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the states, are reserved to the states respectively, or to the people.

PLEASE show me where the states prohibited Article I, Sec. I where it says "ALL" is prohibited by the states. PLEASE show me where the 'people' have legislative powers in Congress. NOW, clearly the people are 'free' to elect 'disfunctional critters'. AND, please show me ANY DECADE in the history of the United States in which Congress did anything except expand the Federal Powers into a POLICE STATE. Are you happy that Satanic worship is allowed? Are you happy that 'queers', more properly known as 'abominations' in THE WORD of THE FATHER, ARE PROMINATE TO THE EXTENT OF IN YOUR FACE? Should I go on or do you get the picture? Without talking about taxes? Without talking about dozens of bloody wars in which our men and women are killed? For the profits of those which provide the military industrial complex?

Don't you love the bankers? Don't you love the Internal Revenue Service? How about your love for the cameras in the airports which take 'naked pictures' of your grandmother, your wife, and your daughter? Remember to thank the 'Disfunctional Critters' which voted this 'thing' in. And, the U.S. Supreme Court which said it is 'constitutional'!!!

TRY AN EXERCISE which I, Jerry F. Kirk, has done. Go to your state capitol building and go to the Attorney General's Office. Require, using the appropriate form, a listing of memorandums from the AG of your state to the Gov., Senate Pres., and Speaker of the House as to how many times the AG has said, "We must change such and such law to be in confirmation to 'Federal Laws' the next session." AFTER, YOU DO THIS, PLEASE INFORM ME ABOUT THE LEGISLATIVE POWERS OF YOUR STATE!!!

\*\*\*\*\*

Want to try this exercise on Article II of U.S.C(on)? About the executive powers for your so-called state governor? Since, 'ALL' is given: it seems rather re-dundent!

\*\*\*\*\*

The nice thing about Article III of the U.S.C(on) where all judicial powers are vested in one supreme court; is that it allows for some actual fun on the behalf of certain types which like to indulge in CONTENT READING. Bearing in mind that one needs to read, "...and in such inferior courts as Congress may, from time to time, ordain and establish."

It is necessary to indulge in one more item: individuals which enjoy adventure seem to have more 'fun' in my experience! So, if you do not enjoy adventure - 'skip this exercise'!!

The next time you are so 'graced' to be allowed into a state court: at the circuit court level, consider this adventure -

- 1) File a motion of discovery as to whether or not there is or is not a necessity for recusal of the judge AND the court. Of course, remember to submit at least 100 questions as to the impartiality, competency, and lawfulness of the judge AND the court.
- 2) Make sure that some of your questions are sort-of-like the following: Where does this circuit court get it's authority? Answer will be 'from the Appellate Court'. Where does the Appellate Court derive their authority? Answer will be from the Supreme Court of (your) State. Your next question will be; "I require that you provide me with the Bill from Congress which ordains and establishes your Supreme Court and if you can not do so, how are you(the court) lawful?"

Now, I only gave you some of my 100 questions. However, it needs to be POINTED OUT that since 1998, I never have been 'graced' to be allowed into a state court in an adversarial position. Lucky me? I do wonder as to why 'they' seem not to like me. However, I do sleep very well. Revelations 18:4 - "...Come out of her, my people, that ye be not partakers of her sins, and that ye receive not of her plagues." I truly enjoy following my MASTER'S ORDERS. AND, I know that HE Would Not Give Me or Those with EYES and EARS an order which was impossible. HE DID NOT promise me a 'rose-garden': just hard work!!!

\*\*\*\*\*

Now in all fairness, Article I, Sec. 10 does allow states to do a number of things: providing 'consent of the Congress'.

In further fairness, Article IV, Sec. 1 allows the states to do certain things like have 'such acts, records, and proceedings shall be proved, and the effect thereof' after "Congress may by general laws prescribe the manner in which such acts..." are done!

So, generous!

Article VI could be a little bit nicer to proving that there are state constitutions(notice the lower case typing) (see 1st sentence of Article VI, 'Constitution' in upper case, 1st letter). Wonder why one is upper and one lower?

"any thing in the constitu<sup>SP</sup>tion or laws of any state(lower case for the 'c', 'l', and 's') to the contrary notwithstanding. Did that one slip by you??? notwithstanding -- not with standing! So how much standing does your state consti<sup>T</sup>tion and laws have?"

Article VI, par. 3 - "...members of the several <sup>STATE</sup> legislatures, and all executive and judicial officers...and of the several states, shall bound by oath or affirmation, to support this Constitution;..."

SO THEY, THE STATES AND THEIR OFFICERS MUST SUPPORT ARTICLES I, II, & III, OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. Clear???

WANT TO HAVE SOME FUN? LIKE I DID ONE TIME?  
EVER HEAR PEOPLE SAY THAT WE SHOULD THROW ALL  
CONGRESS ( HOUSE AND SENATE) OUT! AND GET  
SOME NEW D.C.'ers(DISFUNCTIONAL CRITTERS)????

In Orlando, Florida at Republican Political Meeting with about 1500 people in attendance for supporting the re-election of Sen. Connie Mack(real name which was never changed in law - was Cornelias Magillicuttie. And, of course, I pointed that out also), I did the following exercise. And, getting ahead of myself, he, Mack, withdrew from the race a short-time later.

\*\*\*\*\*

Article I, sec. 2, par. 2 - "...and who shall not, when elected, be an inhabitant of that state in which he shall be chosen."

Now, read the above carefully. If when elected, the representative is prohibited, 'who shall not', 'be an inhabitant of that state', 'in which he shall be chosen'; HOW COULD SAID REPRESENTATIVE QUALIFY FOR RUNNING FOR OFFICE IN THE SAME STATE IN A CONSECUTIVE TERM??? Want to re-read the above? (Of course, I read Sec. 3, par. 3 to Connie Mack.)

This is how it went down in 1989(if my memory is correct): I went to the microphone with my copy of Constitution. Read appropriate section. And, ASKED HIM WHY HE WAS VIOLATING HIS OATH OF OFFICE, since he was RUNNING FOR RE-ELECTION? You should have seen and heard the screaming: "He, being me, has a fake constitution!" I simply replied, "In this big meeting of Republicans, does not one individual have a 'true copy' of the constitution?" The scramble began and a copy was found. And, then I INSISTED that Mack read it out loud. Well, you talk about dumping manure on someone!!! Remember, I do enjoy adventure which is an enjoyment of life!!!!!!

HAVE ANY OF YOU WHICH ARE READING GOT ANY IDEAS ABOUT THE ELECTIONS COMING UP IN THIS COUNTRY? GOT ANY IDEAS ABOUT SHARING INFORMATION WITH THOSE WITH EYES AND EARS? WANT TO START CALLING ALL RADIO, INTER-NET PROGRAM HOSTS? COULD YOU CALL 5 A WEEK? ONLY TAKES ABOUT 5 MINUTES OF YOUR TIME PER CALL. SEND THEM AN E-MAIL, A FAX!!! ASK THEM TO READ THIS ON THE AIR. IF THEY WON'T, ASK THEM, WHY NOT?

A LITTLE BIT OF INDIVIDUAL HISTORY:

Upon my research of Art. I, Sec. 2, par. 2; and Sec. 3, par. 3, I had a meeting with my Dad, John E. Kirk, who had extensive knowledge and experience with and in American Jurisprudence. After discussing my research, my Dad said, "I had hoped that you would never learn about this issue!"

I was floored to hear my Dad say this! He further confided in me that he had learned this in 'law school'! I asked him why he did not do something about this? His answer was, "What can one do about this complete fraud?"

My answer was to go after a Senator of the United States! And, if it by THE WILL OF THE FATHER In Heaven; I am not done, yet!

\*\*\*\*\*

Please CONSIDER THIS:

Upon the 'seating' of the second Congress of the United States, including the House and the Senate, 'How many members of the Federal Legislative Body were LEGALLY and LAWFULLY in OFFICE???

WHEN WAS ANY Legislative Body of Congress, after the first(1st), legally and lawfully seated? How many ACTS OF CONGRESS ARE LEGAL AND LAWFUL? Do you enjoy the FRAUD UPON WHICH THIS COUNTRY SEEMS TO IMERSE itself?

EXERCISE TO ACCOMPLISH:

GO to your state capitol. Look-up the term, 'resident' or 'residence', and SEE WHEN SAID TERM WAS FIRST WRITTEN IN YOUR SO-CALLED STATE LAWS?

SEE if you can find the term, INHABITANT!?!?

LOOK at the United States Supreme Court Decisions, on this subject, AND FIND A CASE WHICH DECIDES THIS ISSUE!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?

FIND A LAW OR BILL WHICH WAS PASSED IN CONGRESS TO ADDRESS THIS ISSUE, SO THAT i CAN HAVE SOME PEACE OF MIND?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?

DO YOU NOT ENJOY MAN'S LAWS? WERE YOU OFFERED  
THIS TRAIN OF THOUGHT IN YOUR Civic's Class in  
High School, IN YOUR History Courses in College?  
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING TO 'PHONE-IN' TO YOUR  
FAVORITE PATRIOT RADIO HOST. That favorite radio  
host is not me - CALL ALL THE OTHERS!!! I AM BUT  
ONE MAN. YOU ARE WHAT IS REQUIRED! One can not  
'hit-a-home-run' unless you step-up to the plate!!!

The Constitution of the United States of America:  
IS IT (6+6)? OR DO WE ADD THIS PAGE's #?

Article VII, par. 2, phrase - "...and of the independence of the  
United States of America the 12th..."

WANT to Re-read the above? WANT to get your own copy and see if  
your copy has this item? WANT to do a Connie Mack?

PLEASE search the Inter-Net and find an explanation for '12th'!

EXPLAIN to me about the 'CONTENT READING OF 12TH'!

TELL me about the eleven(11) previous 'independences of the United  
of the United STATES OF AMERICA!!!

I'll save my offering for a later date!!!

\*\*\*\*\*

At a later date, 'B', of this chapter will 'pop-up' on Freedom-  
School.com, which most likly will not have an announced 'verbal'  
discussion on First Amendment Radio.com!!

Part 'B' will 'offer' some history about HOW MANY CONSTITUTIONS, WERE  
LOCATED, WERE REGISTERED, etc., etc.!

\*\*\*\*\*

Those which fail to complete Rev. 18:4, will not necessarily cause  
a CRISIS on my behalf!

Blessings to those with 'Eyes and Ears',

*Jerry F. Kirk*  
Jerry F. Kirk

an attempting servant of THE LORD

Jerry F. Kirk, Sui Juris  
Calf Creek Township  
Section 19, Township 14 N., Range 17 W.  
Searcy County, Arkansas  
Non-Resident, Non-Domestic Delivery  
c/o HC 75, Box 287  
Witts Springs, Arkansas Republic  
United States of America

1-870-496-2727

*P.S. -  
Just want to make  
← sure that the  
'Disfunctional Cutters'*

*know how to find a simple  
man!*