

James

From: Legal Reality [legal_reality@earthlink.net]
Sent: Friday, August 20, 2010 7:23 AM
To: Legal Reality
Subject: Don't be fooled (again) by talk of "gold standard"

20 August A.D. 2010

As the new paper currency plans progress, there's talk of its being based on a "gold standard."

A "gold standard"-based paper medium of exchange is still going to be a paper-medium of exchange that is borrowed into existence. Also, as we've already experienced, that which the "congress" (or the "executive" via an "executive order") "add" today they may "subtract" back out tomorrow.

Until the paper is instantly convertible into a weight of the backing metal, it's still just paper. Where the paper is associated with "interest," it's still just paper being borrowed into existence. With a new "funny money" system, nothing at all will be different, except the system/entity that gets to dictate what law is chosen by the use of that new "funny money."

Key, Key, Key -- Where the term "dollar" is still not defined in terms of grains of fine silver (or gold), there is no legitimate Currency, but only more of the same "funny money." Where "dollar," or whatever unit is to be used ("pound," "deutsche mark," etc.), is not defined in terms of a weight measured in grains of a metal recognized as "the" form of the Currency, there is nothing but more of the Law of the Sea system, where "dollar" (etc.) remains undefined.

Where "dollar" is defined for use as an honest system of weights and measures, then the system is Scriptural, and God is honored. Where "dollar" is not defined in terms of grains of fine silver, then the system is of man, and we're still giving God the middle finger salute.

"The" reason we are subject to these generally "insane," murderous, God-hating, America-hating, justice-hating thugs is our rebellion against God. Fixing the "money" system won't come anywhere near fixing the whole of the problem, but it will be one step in the right direction.

The "currency" is the "best evidence" of the system of law adopted by the people in that place. At this level of choice of law analysis, there are but two choices: Law of the Land and Law of the Sea. First comes the adoption of the choice of law, then comes the "currency." As stated in previous notes, and as will likely be stated again, the banksters who "announce" that they don't care who makes the laws are liars. They most certainly do care! Until that system of laws is divorced from God, until that system of laws is unhinged from an honest system of weights and measures, the "central banking" system can't become a dictatorial power. So, first must come the change in choice of law, for then and only then is there any power deriving from the "funny money"-based systems.

That power doesn't derive from the money. That power derives from the evaluation standard associated with that choice of law. Without the demise of the "subjective" standard, there could be no "gotcha agreement" system, such as we have today.

Thus, it's the "choice of law" that allows not only the "funny money" system but also the "gotcha agreement" system under which we presently labor in vain. To change back to a Law of the Land system is to regain the foundation of a legitimate system, which is to regain the "subjective" standard.

In order to change back to a Law of the Land system, the term "dollar" must be defined in terms of grains of fine silver. (Yes, "or gold," but as is extremely clearly taught in Scripture, we need to define the Currency in terms of grains of fine silver, and then let the market determine the exchange rate into other materials. See my five-part Silent Pulpit series on Scripture and Money: http://www.diametrics.info/text/sp_money.shtml (5 part series)).

All those interested in a "political" experience, venture, etc., e.g., the Tea Party folks, are completely wasting their time unless and until this one issue is addressed. The term "dollar" must be defined in terms of grains of fine silver. Nothing else changes until that is changed. Nothing. Why? Because the present "federal" system derives its power from the fact that "dollar" is not defined, i.e., from everything associated with suckering us into agreeing to be regulated by them.

Since "dollar" will not be defined legitimately any time soon, the far more competent focus is on one's commercial presence in "this state." Why? Because "federal" means "federal." "Federal" doesn't mean "national." And, it most certainly doesn't mean "constitutional." (IF we had a "constitutional" system, THEN "dollar" would be defined in terms of grains of fine silver.) "Federal" means, at the level of a state, "by compact" or "by treaty." At the level of the individual, "federal" means "by private obligation."

As their very own label confesses, those "regulated" by the "federal" system have agreed to be so regulated. Purely voluntarily. Those secret, clandestine, undisclosed, "fraudulently induced" "gotcha agreements" are perfectly "legal" in "this state." As a matter of law in "this state," which is the "Constitution-free, maritime, commercial zone," those "gotcha agreements" are NOT secret, or clandestine, or undisclosed, or "fraudulently induced." It just feels that way to us, because we have an intuitive feel for the Common Law, which is NOT the choice of law on which the "federal," "funny money" system is based.

The reason the "gotcha agreements" are perfectly legal is that the system of law adopted in and by way of those "gotcha agreements" is a Law of the Sea system. An agreement formed under the Common Law (Law of the Land) standards would carry with it the "subjective" evaluation standard. Via the "subjective" standard, the alleged defendant gets to make inquiry into where the meeting of minds was, where the full disclosure was, etc. The "gotcha agreements" formed under the Law of the Sea system don't come with the "subjective" standard. They come with the "objective" standard. Under the "objective" standard, about the only question that matters, especially where the other party is the "government," is this: Is that your signature? Everything else about the agreement is presumably perfectly Ok with the signing party. They're presumed to have read everything they wanted to read. They're presumed to know everything about the law (which is a hilarious presumption in light of how *pro se* litigants are treated in today's system!). And, in short, they're presumed to have reached a meeting of the minds about everything once that signature appears on the page.

Some want to play mental games with the term "signature." That approach is not helpful. Far better is to realize that "federal" means "federal," as in "by private obligation," and then to act with that understanding by simply not agreeing to be regulated by those people in the first place.

A "gold standard" system is not a Silver Certificate system or a Gold Certificate system. The term is just politically appeasing "talk" to get otherwise uninformed people to "buy into" the new currency. If you think the people that (not who, but that) have created the present problems via their "funny money" system are repenting and turning to a Scriptural Money system, then you'll have an extremely rude awakening in the near future.

No Currency is good for America unless the unit of exchange, e.g., "dollar," is defined in terms of grains of fine silver. The instant the exchange rate between silver and gold is fixed, the Currency, again, just as before, has just been clobbered. A "gold standard" "funny money" "currency" is still "funny money." The choice of law that goes with it is the Law of the Sea, just as we have now with the "federal reserve notes."

Just as it is phrased in the "Constitution," Liberty is a Blessing. Blessings come from God, and they are bestowed upon those who are at least trying, in good faith, to be obedient. Obedient people do not use a dishonest system of weights and measures. Thus, obedient people don't want a "gold standard" "currency." They want an honest system of weights and measures. How do we know whether the system qualifies? In America, the system will qualify when "dollar" is defined in terms of grains of fine silver. (In Great Britain, the system will qualify when "pound" is defined in terms of grains of fine silver. In Germany, the system will qualify when "mark" or "deutsche mark" is defined in terms of grains of fine silver. And, etc.)

Nothing in America changes from its present course of self-destruction until "dollar" is defined in terms of grains of fine silver. When the choice of law changes back to the Law of the Land, the legal mechanism "supporting" the present "gotcha agreement" scams will no longer work. They work under the Law of the Sea system, because the "objective" evaluation standard is designed to allow / foster / perpetuate / endorse the "gotcha agreement" mechanism. When there is a return to the Law of the Land, then the "subjective" evaluation will apply to the agreements entered under *that* choice of law, and the "federal," "funny money" (Law of the Sea) scam system will cease to exist / function.

Those who enjoy our present conditions will be excited out of their minds under any new "currency" that is based on a "gold standard" where the term "dollar," which, like ton, and pound, and ounce, is a unit of weight, is still not defined in terms of grains of fine silver. Those who think auditing "the fed" is tough to do now will have the thrill of a life time trying to audit that next system, which won't even *appear* to be based in America.

Who holds which office matters, but where "dollar" is not defined, there is no control over those people, for everything functions by way of "gotcha agreements." To have control over the officeholders, there must first exist a legitimate system of law by which that control may be exerted. Nazi-communo-fascists thrive in the Law of the Sea system, for the "objective" evaluation standard allows them to trick us into the "gotcha agreements." Nazi-communo-fascists have no authority in a Law of the Land system, for the "subjective" evaluation standard doesn't tolerate "gotcha agreements."

An alleged "gold standard," another "here today gone tomorrow" concept subject to political whim, no more provides a definition of "dollar" than the man in the moon.

Nothing in America changes from its present course of self-destruction until "dollar," a unit of weight, is defined in terms of grains of fine silver, as it was when this nation first showed up on the political maps. The choice of law, hence, the "money" system, is not the only thing that has to change, but until that changes, we're still controlled by the Nazi-communo-fascists' "gotcha agreement" system, i.e., by our own signature on agreements the vast majority still don't realize even exist.

Harmon L. Taylor
Legal Reality
Dallas, Texas

Subscribe / unsubscribe : legal_reality@earthlink.net