

<http://www.maxexchange.com/ybj/>

YOU BE THE JUDGE and Jury

By

My Father's Son

You Be The Judge and Jury is a FREE on-line book exposing the acts of rebellion, sedition, insurrection and treason being committed by the Federal Reserve, IRS, U.S. Government against *We the People*. The United States Government, Federal Reserve Banks, IRS, Internal Revenue Service, the President, Congress, the Supreme Court, the Governors, lawyers, judges, FBI, and CIA have been committing treason, rebellion, insurrection, and waging war against its people under the War Powers Act of 1917, as amended, March 9, 1933. Read it and weep! And then take action!!!

Dedication

To the HOLY SPIRIT that dwells within those brave men and women who have taken a stand against the oppression of the Tyrants and Despots that plague our Nation. And, to my Sons and the Children of the my fellow countrymen, so that they may not have to suffer at the hands of the oppressor any longer.

To be a free generation everlasting.

SPECIAL THANKS

To Des Griffin for allowing me to quote, "The Master Plan", from his book, the "Fourth Reich of the Rich".

Introduction

The purpose of this book is three-fold: First, is to present the facts and evidence to you, the American people, of the treasonous acts being committed against *We The People*, by our own Government, members of private organizations, tax exempt foundations and the International MONEY-CHANGERS. Secondly, is to expose the Silent War declared covert war against *We The People* by our own government and their plans to abolish our Sovereignty and deliver the United States of America into the hands of a One-World Totalitarian Military Dictatorship under the disguise of the United Nations. Finally, is to provide you, the American people with two solutions to save the downfall of America and to put a stop to this madness.

As the prosecutor, the author chose the title "*You Be The Judge and The Jury*" because the final verdict of Guilt or Innocence will lie entirely in your hands. I now call upon you to carefully examine the facts and evidence of treason, war crimes, hunger and economic chaos bestowed upon the world by the defendants.

Table of Contents

Dedication	Introduction	Table of Contents	As We Slept
Money Facts	Ponzi Scheme	The Witnesses	The Courts Rule
Let's Make A Deal	Liars & Thieves	A Mockery Of Justice	An American Dictator
To Betray A Nation	The Profit\$ Of Blood	To Conquer The World	The Traitors Amongst Us
Do You Really Want?	The Great Foreclosure	To Disarm The People	The Wizard Of OZ
There Is A Solution	The Petition	When All Else Falls	Food For Thought
References			

While We Slept

While we were sleeping somebody stole America.

What if you were to wake up one morning to that headline in your morning paper? Well, it's time to WAKE-UP AMERICA, because as we slept, someone has stolen America. The thieves have dismantled our Constitution, eroded our rights and liberties and destroyed our economy.

WAKE-UP AMERICA AND LOOK AROUND YOU! What do you see? Our banks and savings and loans are failing, while you, the taxpayers are held responsible for the bail-out. Real estate foreclosures are at an all time high. American farmers are losing their land and the bread-basket of the world is being transferred to foreign interests. Our factories and steel mills are closing and unemployment continues climbing to unprecedented numbers. Giant companies like IBM, AT&T, XEROX, Hughes Aircraft, Boeing, G.M., and Ford Motor Co., etc. are laying-off more and more American workers. American jobs are being transferred to foreign countries under the GATT & NAFTA (North American Free Trade Agreement). Business failures are skyrocketing. Our streets are filling up with homeless men, women and children. Taxes continue to rise, while government services decrease. The national debt is exceeded \$5 trillion with no end in sight. Americans have become indentured servants! Wars and rumors of wars have reached the four corners of the earth. Our freedoms and liberties are being bartered for a dole. Our Constitution is ignored as it sits on a shelf collecting dust. Corruption has reached every facet of government. The judicial system that is supposed to protect our Inalienable Rights has failed us. Our sovereignty is being taken away in the name of "NEW WORLD ORDER". Our nation fears its own government and the people are saying, "There is nothing I can do about it." America was once a proud, free, and prosperous nation and now, we are the world's largest debtor nation . . . and the worst is yet to come. Economic collapse knocks at our door.

Thomas Jefferson, declared, "If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of their money, first by inflation and then by deflation, the banks and corporations that will grow up around them, will deprive the people of their property until their children will wake up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered."

Did Jefferson have a crystal ball when he spoke these words? Has a private bank taken control over our nation's money supply?

The following is a conversation with Mr. Ron Supinski of the Public Information Department of the San Francisco, Federal Reserve Bank. This is an account of that conversation reconstructed to the best of my ability from notes taken during the conversation on October 8, 1992.

CALLER - Mr. Supinski, does my country own the Federal Reserve System?

MR. SUPINSKI - We are an agency of the government.

CALLER - That's not my question. Is it owned by my country?

MR. SUPINSKI - It is an agency of the government created by congress.

CALLER - Is the Federal Reserve a Corporation?

MR. SUPINSKI - Yes

CALLER - Does my government own any of the stock in the Federal Reserve?

MR. SUPINSKI - No, it is owned by the member banks.

CALLER - Are the member banks private corporations?

MR. SUPINSKI - Yes

CALLER - Are Federal Reserve Notes backed by anything?

MR. SUPINSKI -Yes, by the assets of the Federal Reserve but, primarily by the power of congress to lay tax on the people.

CALLER - Did you say, by the power to collect taxes is what backs Federal Reserve Notes?

MR. SUPINSKI - Yes

CALLER - What are the total assets of the Federal Reserve?

MR. SUPINSKI - The San Francisco Bank has \$36 Billion in assets.

CALLER - What are these assets comprised of?

MR. SUPINSKI - Gold, the Federal Reserve Bank itself and government securities.

CALLER - What value does the Federal Reserve Bank carry gold per oz. on their books?

MR. SUPINSKI - I don't have that information but the San Francisco Bank has \$1.6 billion in gold.

CALLER - Are you saying the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco has \$1.6 billion in gold, the bank itself and the balance of the assets is government securities?

MR. SUPINSKI - Yes.

CALLER - Where does the Federal Reserve get Federal Reserve Notes from?

MR. SUPINSKI - They are authorized by the Treasury.

CALLER - How much does the Federal Reserve pay for a \$10 Federal Reserve Note?

MR. SUPINSKI - Fifty to seventy cents.

CALLER - How much do they pay for a \$100.00 Federal Reserve Note?

MR. SUPINSKI - The same fifty to seventy cents.

CALLER - To pay only fifty cents for a \$100.00 is a tremendous gain, isn't it?

MR. SUPINSKI - Yes

CALLER - According to the U.S. Treasury, the Federal Reserve pays \$20.60 per 1,000 denomination or a little over two cents for a \$100.00 bill, is that correct?

MR. SUPINSKI - That is probably close.

CALLER - Doesn't the Federal Reserve use the Federal Reserve Notes that cost about two cents each to purchase U.S. Bonds from the government?

MR. SUPINSKI - Yes, but there is more to it than that.

CALLER - Basically, that is what happens?

MR. SUPINSKI - Yes, basically you are correct.

CALLER - How many Federal Reserve Notes are in circulation?

MR. SUPINSKI - \$263 billion and we can only account for a small percentage.

CALLER - Where did they go?

MR. SUPINSKI - Peoples mattress, buried in their back yards and illegal drug money.

CALLER - Since the debt is payable in Federal Reserve Notes, how can the \$4 trillion national debt be paid-off with the total Federal Reserve Notes in circulation?

MR. SUPINSKI - I don't know.

CALLER - If the Federal Government would collect every Federal Reserve Note in circulation would it be mathematically possible to pay the \$4 trillion national debt?

MR. SUPINSKI - No

CALLER - Am I correct when I say, \$1 deposited in a member bank \$8 can be lent out through Fractional Reserve Policy?

MR. SUPINSKI - About \$7.

CALLER - Correct me if I am wrong but, \$7 of additional Federal Reserve Notes were never put in circulation. But, for lack of better words were "created out of thin air " in the form of credits and the two cents per denomination were not paid either. In other words, the Federal Reserve Notes were not physically printed but, in reality were created by a journal entry and lent at interest. Is that correct?

MR. SUPINSKI - Yes

CALLER - Is that the reason there are only \$263 billion Federal Reserve Notes in circulation?

MR. SUPINSKI - That is part of the reason.

CALLER - Am I mistaking that when the Federal Reserve Act was passed (on Christmas Eve) in 1913, it transferred the power to coin and issue our nations money and to regulate the value

thereof from Congress to a Private corporation. And my country now borrows what should be our own money from the Federal Reserve (a private corporation) plus interest. Is that correct and the debt can never be paid off under the current money system of country?

MR. SUPINSKI - Basically, yes.

CALLER - I smell a rat, do you?

MR. SUPINSKI - I am sorry, I can't answer that, I work here.

CALLER - Has the Federal Reserve ever been independently audited?

MR. SUPINSKI - We are audited.

CALLER - Why is there a current House Resolution 1486 calling for a complete audit of the Federal Reserve by the G.A.O. and why is the Federal Reserve resisting?

MR. SUPINSKI - I don't know.

CALLER - Does the Federal Reserve regulate the value of Federal Reserve Notes and interest rates?

MR. SUPINSKI - Yes

CALLER - Explain how the Federal Reserve System can be Constitutional if, only the Congress of the U.S., which comprises of the Senate and the House of Representatives has the power to coin and issue our money supply and regulate the value thereof? [Article 1 Section 1 and Section 8] Nowhere, in the Constitution does it give Congress the power or authority to transfer any powers granted under the Constitution to a private corporation or, does it?

MR. SUPINSKI - I am not an expert on constitutional law. I can refer you to our legal department.

CALLER - I can tell you I have read the Constitution. It does NOT provide that any power granted can be transferred to a private corporation. Doesn't it specifically state, all other powers not granted are reserved to the States and to the citizens? Does that mean to a private corporation?

MR. SUPINSKI - I don't think so, but we were created by Congress.

CALLER - Would you agree it is our country and it should be our money as provided by our Constitution?

MR. SUPINSKI - I understand what you are saying.

CALLER - Why should we borrow our own money from a private consortium of bankers? Isn't this why we had a revolution, created a separate sovereign nation and a Bill of Rights?

MR. SUPINSKI - (Declined to answer).

CALLER - Has the Federal Reserve ever been declared constitutional by the Supreme Court?

MR. SUPINSKI - I believe there has been court cases on the matter.

CALLER - Have they been Supreme Court Cases?

MR. SUPINSKI - I think so, but I am not sure.

CALLER - Didn't the Supreme Court declare unanimously in A.L.A. Schechter Poultry Corp. vs. U.S. and Carter vs. Carter Coal Co. the corporative-state arrangement an unconstitutional delegation of legislative power? ["The power conferred is the power to regulate. This is legislative delegation in its most obnoxious form; for it is not even delegation to an official or an official body, presumptively disinterested, but to private persons." Carter vs. Carter Coal Co.]

MR. SUPINSKI - I don't know, I can refer you to our legal department.

CALLER - Isn't the current money system a house of cards that must fall because, the debt can mathematically never be paid-off?

MR. SUPINSKI - It appears that way. I can tell you have been looking into this matter and are very knowledgeable. However, we do have a solution.

CALLER - What is the solution?

MR. SUPINSKI - The Debit Card.

CALLER - Do you mean under the E.F.T. Act (Electronic Funds Transfer)? Isn't that very frightening, when one considers the capabilities of computers? It would provide the government and all it's agencies, including the Federal Reserve such information as: You went to the gas station @ 2:30 and bought \$10.00 of unleaded gas @ \$1.41 per gallon and then you went to the grocery store @ 2:58 and bought bread, lunch meat and milk for \$12.32 and then went to the drug store @ 3:30 and bought cold medicine for \$5.62. In other words, they would know where we go, when we went, how much we paid, how much the merchant paid and how much profit he made. Under the E.F.T. they will literally know everything about us. Isn't that kind of scary?

MR. SUPINSKI - Yes, it makes you wonder.

CALLER - I smell a GIANT RAT that has overthrown my constitution. Aren't we paying tribute in the form of income taxes to a consortium of private bankers?

MR. SUPINSKI - I can't call it tribute, it is interest.

CALLER - Haven't all elected officials taken an oath of office to preserve and defend the Constitution from enemies both foreign and domestic? Isn't the Federal Reserve a domestic enemy?

MR. SUPINSKI - I can't say that.

CALLER - Our elected officials and members of the Federal Reserve are guilty of aiding and abetting the overthrowing of my Constitution and that is treason. Isn't the punishment of treason death?

MR. SUPINSKI - I believe so.

CALLER - Thank you for your time and information and if I may say so, I think you should take the necessary steps to protect you and your family and withdraw your money from the banks before the collapse, I am.

MR. SUPINSKI - It doesn't look good.

CALLER - May God have mercy on the souls who are behind this unconstitutional and criminal act called the Federal Reserve. When the ALMIGHTY MASS awakens to this giant hoax, they will not take it with a grain of salt. It has been a pleasure talking to you and I thank you for your time. I hope you will take my advice before it does collapse.

MR. SUPINSKI - Unfortunately, it does not look good.

CALLER - Have a good day and thanks for your time.

MR. SUPINSKI - Thanks for calling.

If the reader has any doubts to the validity of this conversation, call your nearest Federal Reserve Bank, YOU KNOW THE QUESTIONS TO ASK! You won't find them listed under the Federal Government. They are in the white pages, along with Federal Express, Federal Deposit Insurance Corp. (FDIC), and any other business. Find out for yourself if all this is true. And then, go to your local law library and look up the case of Lewis vs. U.S., case #80-5905, 9th Circuit, June 24, 1982. It reads in part: "Examining the organization and function of the Federal Reserve Banks and applying the relevant factors, we conclude that the federal reserve are NOT federal instrumentalities . . . but are independent and privately owned and controlled corporations . . . federal reserve banks are listed neither as 'wholly owned' government corporations [under 31 U.S.C. Section 846] nor as 'mixed ownership' corporations [under 31 U.S.C. Section 856] . . .

28 U.S.C. Sections 1346(b), 2671. 'Federal agency' is defined as: the executive departments, the military departments, independent establishments of the United States, and corporations acting primarily as instrumentalities of the United States, but does not include any contractors with the United States . . .

There are no sharp criteria for determining whether an entity is a federal agency within the meaning of the Act, but the critical factor is the existence of the federal government control over the 'detailed physical performance' and 'day to day operations' of that entity. Other factors courts have considered include whether the entity is an independent corporation . . . whether the government is involved in the entity's finances, . . . and whether the mission of the entity furthers the policy of the United States . . . Examining the organization and function of the Federal Reserve Banks, and applying the relevant factors, we conclude that the Reserve Banks are not federal instrumentalities . . .

It is evident from the legislative history of the Federal Reserve Act that Congress did not intend to give the federal government direction over the daily operation of the Reserve Banks . . .

The fact that the Federal Reserve Board regulates the Reserve Banks does not make them federal agencies under the Act . . . Unlike typical federal agencies, each bank is empowered to hire and fire employees at will. Bank employees do not participate in the Civil Service Retirement System. They are covered by worker's compensation insurance, purchased by the Bank, rather than the Federal Employees Compensation Act. Employees traveling on Bank business are not subject to federal travel regulations and do not receive government employee discounts on lodging and services . . .

Finally, the Banks are empowered to sue and be sued in their own name. 12 U.S.C. Section 341. They carry their own liability insurance and typically process and handle their own claims . . ."

According to the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, "When the Federal Reserve was created, its stock was sold to the member banks." ("The Hats The Federal Reserve Wears", published by the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia)

The original Stock-holders of the Federal Reserve Banks in 1913 were the Rockefeller's, J.P. Morgan, Rothschild's, Lazard Freres, Schoellkopf, Kuhn-Loeb, Warburgs, Lehman Brothers and Goldman Sachs.

The MONEY-CHANGERS wanted to be insured they had a monopoly over our money supply, so Congress passed into law Title 12, Section 284 of the United States Code. Section 284 specifically states, "NO STOCK ALLOWED TO THE U.S."

* Monopoly - "A privilege or peculiar advantage vested in one or more persons or companies, consisting in the exclusive right (or power) to carry on a particular business or trade, manufacture a particular article, or control the sale of the whole supply of a particular commodity, A form of market structure in which only a few firms dominate the total sales of a product or service.

`Monopoly', as prohibited by Section 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, has two elements: possession of a monopoly power in relevant market and willful acquisition or maintenance of that power, as distinguished from growth or development as a consequence of a superior power, business acumen, or historical product. A monopoly condemned by the Sherman Act is the power to fix prices, or exclude competition, coupled with policies designed to use and preserve that power." (Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Edition)

The Federal Reserve Act goes one step farther, "No Senator or Representative in Congress shall be a member of the Federal Reserve Board or an officer or director of a Federal Reserve Bank." They didn't want We The People to have any say in the operation of their monopoly through our elected officials.

Money Facts

The following are excerpts from "MONEY FACTS 169 Questions and Answers on Money - A Supplement to A Primer on Money", prepared by the Subcommittee on Domestic Finance, House of Representatives, 88th Congress, 2d Session:

Question 1 - "Who has the right to create money in the United States?"

Answer - "Under the Constitution, it is the right and duty of Congress to create money. It is left entirely to Congress."

Question 2 - "To whom has the Congress delegated this money-creating right?"

Answer - "To the banking system, that is, to the Federal Reserve System and to the commercial banks in the country."

Question 6 - "Does Congress supervise Federal Reserve policymaking?"

Answer - "No. In practice, the Federal Reserve is "independent" in its policy-making. The Federal Reserve neither requires nor seeks the approval of any branch of Government for its policies. The System itself decides what ends its policies are aimed at and then takes whatever action it sees fit to reach those ends."

Question 7 - "What problems are raised by an "independent" Federal Reserve?"

Answer - "There are two major problems. One is the problem of political responsibility for the country's economic policies. The other is the problem of final control over the Government's action in the economic sphere."

Question 8 - "What is the problem of political responsibility?"

Answer - "Since the Federal Reserve is independent it is not accountable to anyone for economic policies it chooses to pursue. But this runs counter to normally accepted democratic principles. The President and Congress are responsible to the people on election day for the past economic decisions. But the Federal Reserve is responsible neither to the people directly nor indirectly through the people's elected representatives. Yet the Federal Reserve exercises great power in controlling the money-creating activities of the commercial banks."

Question 35 - "Has the United States gone off the gold standard?"

Answer - "Yes, except in its international transactions."

Question 38 - "To whom does the Constitution give the power over money?"

Answer - "The Congress. The Constitution provides "the Congress shall have power to coin money, regulate the value thereof." The Supreme Court has interpreted this clause, again and again over a period of 150 years, to mean that "whatever power there is over the currency is vested in Congress."

Question 41 - "What is fractional reserve method of banking?"

Answer - "The fractional reserve method of banking originated with the goldsmiths - the predecessors of our present bankers. It is the method of banking used today. Briefly, it is a system whereby bankers maintain as reserves only a fraction of the amount needed to meet all claims against them. (The vast bulk of the claims against the banks are the deposits you and I hold. These are obligations which the bank must pay on demand.) The goldsmiths struck upon this method by noticing that the people who deposited gold with them for safekeeping only claimed a small portion of this gold at any one time. Therefore, the goldsmiths realized that they could lend out a good portion of the gold left with them. They then made loans, which in fact were not gold but warehouse receipts for gold. These receipts circulated as money. Notice, the gold - actually certificates of ownership - being loaned by the goldsmith was not his to lend. He did not own it, In other words, the goldsmith wrote receipts to people who were not depositing gold, i.e. to borrowers. So receipts for more gold meet the claims against him. This is the fractional reserve system. When the banks of the United States kept their reserves in gold, their reserves amounted only to a small fraction of the amount of money they had issued, all of which was guaranteed to be redeemable in gold."

[Editor's note: Could this be the reason many goldsmiths were taken out to a tree and hung, when the people discovered the fraud that had been perpetrated upon them?]

Fraud - "A false misrepresentation of a matter of fact, whether by words or by conduct, by false or misleading allegations, or by concealment of that which should have been disclosed, which deceives or is intended to deceive another . . . A generic term, embracing all multifarious means which human ingenuity can devise, and which are resorted to by one individual to get advantage over another by false suggestions or by suppression of the truth, and includes all surprise, trick, cunning, dissembling, and any unfair way by which another is cheated . . . `Bad faith' and `fraud' are synonymous, and also synonymous of dishonesty, infidelity, faithfulness, perfidy, unfairness, etc. . . ." (Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Edition)

Question 47 - "Where does the Federal Reserve get the money with which to create bank reserves?"

Answer - "It doesn't `get' the money, it creates it. When the Federal Reserve writes a check, it is creating money . . . The Federal Reserve is a total moneymaking machine. It can issue money or checks. And it never has a problem making its checks good because it can obtain the \$5 and \$10 bills necessary to cover its check simply by asking the Treasury Department's Bureau of Printing and Engraving to print them."

Question 69 - "If the Government can issue bonds, why can't they issue money and save the interest?"

Answer - ". . . There is little opposition to the Government's printing bonds and then permitting the banks to create the money with which to buy those bonds; but proposals that the Government itself create the money instead of the bonds have always set off tremendous political upheavals. For example, Abraham Lincoln set off a political furor when he insisted upon having the Government issue \$364 million in money, the so-called "greenbacks" instead of issuing interest-bearing bonds and paying interest on the money."

Question 70 - "If the Government issued more money instead of Government bonds, isn't there a danger that the Government would issue too much money and cause inflation?"

Answer - "No. It is no more or less inflationary for the private banks to create \$1 billion of new money than it is for the Government to create \$1 billion of new money . . ."

Question 91 - "What are the sources of revenue of the Federal Reserve?"

Answer - "By far the largest is interest on its holdings of U.S. Government securities. This accounts for almost 99 percent of the Federal Reserve income."

Question 125 - "Do private banks enjoy a special relationship with the Federal Government?"

Answer - "Yes, a very special relationship. The business of banks is to lend money. The profits comes from the difference between the cost of creating money and the price they charge borrowers for that money. Now the cost of creating money is negligible . . . The banks do not pay a license fee or a payment charge for their reserves. Thus the raw materials the banks use cost them nothing . . . Further, the Federal Government provides private banks with the protection from competition and the hazard of failure."

Question 131 - "Do private banks perform a service in buying Government bonds?"

Answer - "No, because they create the money - an obligation of Government - simply to buy bonds guaranteed by the Government. There is no risk involved . . . Their reward for buying bonds with money they create is the "subsidized" profits they enjoy."

Question 132 - "What is the burden of U.S. Government bonds, held by the private banking system?"

Answer - "The burden is the heavy bond interest payments, borne by the taxpayers, that go to private bankers when the same amount of money could be created by an agency of the government. Then the taxpayers would not bear this tremendous cost on Government bonds purchased with the reserves given to private bankers."

Question 161 - "Are the effects of money policy so unique that the monetary policymakers need to be free from all accountability?"

Answer - "No. It is hard to see what is so mysterious about monetary policy. Everyone is affected by tax and expenditures and by foreign policy. In both areas, the Government must take `unpopular action.' Raising taxes is unpopular. Sending men to fight in Korea is unpopular. No one suggests that we should have an independent `defense policy board' or an independent `tax policy board.' Why then an independent money policy board?"

Question 163 - "Is the Federal Reserve independence inefficient?"

Answer - "Absolutely . . . It is pure luck if the motor is not constantly stalling. We have not always been lucky. This is no way to run economic policymaking . . . Controlling the economy should come from one, and only one source - which must be the Congress in our democracy."

The following are excerpts from "MONEY FACTS 169 Questions and Answers on Money - A Supplement to A Primer on Money", prepared by the Subcommittee on Domestic Finance, House of Representatives, 88th Congress, 2d Session:

Question 164 - "Is the trustee notion of monetary policymaking alien to America democracy?"

Answer - "Of course. The claim that the people do not know what is good for them, and therefore a small group of men should be given the power to make decisions and then to take action without being held accountable to the people is 100 percent undemocratic. The essence

of democracy is that the people decide for themselves, through their elected officials, what is good or bad for them. Further, to give monetary control to a group like the Federal Reserve is to hand over enormous power unfettered by responsibility to anyone. In a democracy, especially the American form, the holders of power, almost without exception, are responsible to the people, through their elected officials in the use of this power. The Federal Reserve's ideas that they should be considered trustees rather than stewards runs counter to anything that Americans have believed about power and responsibility since the founding of the Republic."

Question 165 - "Who favors Federal Reserve independence?"

Answer - "The private banks who control the System, together with some allies - notably, Wall Street newspapers and other members of the financial community."

Ponzi Scheme

"The Federal Reserve earns interest on the government securities it owns." ("The Hats The Federal Reserve Wears", published by the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco)

Here is how this "PONZI SCHEME" works: When the government needs \$100 billion, action is taken as follows: (1) The U.S. Treasurer advises the Bureau of printing and engraving to print \$100 billion of U.S. Bonds (2) The Treasurer advises the Federal Reserve it will need \$100 billion of Federal Reserve Notes (3) The Federal Reserve advises the Bureau of printing and engraving to print \$100 billion of Federal Reserve Notes and pays \$20.60 per thousand denomination (4) The slight of hand trick takes place when the Federal Reserve Notes are swapped for the U.S. Bonds that pay interest. (See House of Representatives, Banking and Currency Committee hearing of September 30, 1941) It should be pointed out the Federal Reserve does not always exchange Federal Reserve Notes for U.S. Securities. They can simply create the money by a simple bookkeeping journal entry and eliminate the cost of printing and engraving.

Congressman Burkick reconfirmed this when he said, *"We want to sell \$4 billion worth of U.S. Bonds, and we sell them in New York to those who haven't got a dime, and they don't need any money because they simply enter credit to the government on their books . . . They bundle up the bonds and take them down here to Washington D.C. and get an amount equal in currency. Then they've got the money! But they didn't have the money before the government gave it to them."*

Lewis W. Douglas, former director of U.S. Budget said, *"When banks buy government bonds they create bookkeeping credit."*

OK, let's now apply what we have learned about the Federal Reserve System and we will see beyond a reasonable doubt, the total Public and Private debt can never be paid-off under the current money system. It is mathematically impossible since the money needed to pay off debt does not exist. If we apply the rule of 72, we will discover that money doubles every 10 years at 7.2% interest.

As illustrated, \$100 @ 7.2% payable in 70 years is \$12,800 and only \$100 was created and placed into circulation to pay off the \$12,800 debt (\$12,700 of interest).

Now let us take a look at how this influences our everyday life. As you will recall, according to Mr. Supinski, under the fractional reserve policy for every \$1 deposited \$7 can be lent. Now, let us go back to 1913 and assume the first bank was opened under the Federal Reserve with \$10 thousand of paid-in capital. The following day Mr. John Q. Public applied for and was granted a \$70,000 loan for 30 years @ 7.2% interest. Mr. Public's monthly principal and interest payment is \$475.30 and over 30 years he will pay \$171,108 Since the loan was made in Federal Reserve Notes, it must be paid back with Federal Reserve Notes. Mr. John Q. Public is the first victim of the PONZI scheme. He is in a Catch 22. He is obligated to pay back \$171,108 and the bank only put \$70,000 of Federal Reserve Notes in circulation. Remember, all money (except for coins) comes into circulation as a debt. In order for their PONZI SCHEME to continue, they must find another victim, or Mr. Public will catch on that the \$101,108 of interest charges was never created and put in circulation, and it would be impossible for him to repay the debt. He would soon realize he has been defrauded and cry wolf.

The PONZI SCHEME doesn't stop there! Let us assume for one minute Mr. John Q. Public's \$70,000 loan was for a home. Naturally, the bankster would want Mr. Public to make a 20% down payment of \$14,000. Mr. Public was a hard working American until 20 years later, the country was hit with a recession, he lost his job, fell on hard times and the bank foreclosed. WAKE-UP

and LOOK WHAT HAPPENS! Let us assume, Mr. Public's home had risen in value to \$125,000 and the bank sells the home for what Mr. Public owes on the mortgage, \$40,561.50. Not only does the bank keep the money from the sale of the home, they also keep the \$114,072 of principal and interest payments and the \$14,000 down-payment. Their total gain on \$10,000 has now risen to \$168,633.50 The Federal Reserve fractional reserve policy is nothing more than thievery, and Mr. Public and his family is out on the streets. The banks can now loan out under fractional reserves of 10% another \$1,517,701.50 (one million, five-hundred seventeen thousand seven-hundred one dollars and fifty cents) to the next victim.

Their PONZI SCHEME is revealed in "The Story Of Banks", published by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, they confess, "*with a \$5,000 deposit and a fractional reserve of 10% in just three transactions, \$12,195 in loans can be made.*" When we complete the fractional reserve system as outlined in the book, we will discover over \$45,000 can be lent from the initial deposit of \$5,000. Don't you wish you could wave a magic wand over \$5,000 of your own money and presto, have another \$40,000 appear "out of thin air"? That is exactly what the Magician at the bank is doing. Nice trick!

Talk about pulling the wool over our eyes. It's a heads they win, tails we lose situation. It seems so senseless as to be unbelievable. The Federal Reserve is nothing more than a "PONZI SCHEME" sucking the blood out of our economy. It is the greatest fiscal fraud that has ever been perpetrated upon the nation. Just how much money are the MONEY-CHANGERS making. On Nov. 15, 1914 the total assets listed by the Federal Reserve was \$143 million. According to the Federal Reserve Bulletin of September 9, 1994, the total assets of the Federal Reserve as of June 30, 1994, had grown to \$419.61 billion. Who knows if this is a true figure, they never been independently audited. Instead, they audit themselves. Isn't that convenient?

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Bob Mulford, of the Legal Department with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, Mr. Mulford confessed to the following "COVER-UP":

CALLER - "Has the Federal Reserve ever been audited?"

MR. MULFORD - "Yes."

CALLER - "Internally or externally?"

MR. MULFORD - "What do you mean externally?"

CALLER - "Has the General Accounting Office ever audited you?"

MR. MULFORD - "Oh yes! They are here quite often."

CALLER - "They are?"

MR. MULFORD - "YES!"

CALLER - "Then why does Congressman Gonzales, the current head of the Banking Currency Committee say, the Federal Reserve has never been independently audited, but you are audited by your own internal forces?"

MR. MULFORD - "Well first of all we do have our own auditors. Each Reserve Bank has its own of auditors. They are not auditors, we call them examiners from the Federal Reserve Board itself that comes out and really rakes us over the coals.

Finally, you do have the General Accounting Office. They are authorized to audit most aspects of the Federal Reserve System operations. They are not allowed to audit our money market functions and a couple of other functions, reserves on deposits . . . But the banks do have access to the Federal reserves, what we call the discount window, to 'COVER-UP' any temporary shortages in funds, if someone has lent out too much money."

I could not believe my ears when he admitted the GAO was not authorized to audit the money market functions, reserves on deposits, and that the discount window is used as a "COVER-UP". The "discount window" is one of the other functions Mr. Mulford eluded too, that the GAO cannot audit. If they would audit the discount window, they would be able to uncover the "COVER-UP".

More of this incredible and revealing conversation with Mr. Mulford will be in another chapter. But for now, let's dig deeper into how the PONZI SCHEME works, what damages it has done to our Nation, and what the Department of Treasury has said about money and the national debt, etc.

Before the passage of the Federal Reserve Act in 1913, taxes in America were relatively low. The national debt was virtually nonexistent. Inflation was a foreign word to the ears of Americans. Yet, during 8 years of President Reagan's term in office, our national debt increased 3 times the amount of all the preceding presidents combined. That's right! When Reagan and his trickle down economic policies took office, the total national deficit was less than \$1 trillion. When he left office the total national debt was over \$3 trillion and climbing. On the day this part of the book was written, November 8, 1994 (election day) the U.S. Treasury Department released the following figures: The national debt is \$4,723,801,000,000 (\$4.723 trillion). Your share of the federal debt is \$18,084. Multiply this \$18,084 by the average family size and each American family owes \$67,091.64. (San Diego Union-Tribune, November 8, 1994)

The Treasury Department also reported the national debt increased in October by \$30,829,000,000 (\$30.82 billion). Now let us apply some simple basic math to see just how fast the national debt is growing. The \$30,829,000,000 increase in debt for October divided by 31 days = \$994,483,870.97 divided by 24 hours = \$41,436,27.96 divided by 60 minutes = \$690,8613.80 divided by 60 seconds = \$11,510.23 per second, with no end in sight. At the current rate the national debt will reach \$7,043,489,000,000 (\$7.04 trillion) by the year 2000. Your share will be approximately \$26,986.55 and the typical family's share will be approximately \$97,421.44. How many families do you know of that if they sold everything they own and paid off all of their bills would have enough money left over to pay their fair share of the national debt? It is a house of cards that will eventually collapse and the Great Foreclosure on our nation by the MONEY-CHANGERS will become a reality.

They have stolen America and your hard earned money. All the money, except for our coins and the few remaining U.S. Notes, have been borrowed into circulation as DEBT! Talk about balancing the Federal Budget is just that -- TALK! We are paying the price for our own oppression and enslavement, while, our elected Representatives sit back and do nothing about this senseless atrocity.

In a series of letters written by Byron Dale to the Department of the Treasury provides further evidence of the crimes being perpetrated on our unsuspecting nation. Russell Munk, Assistant General Counsel, International Affairs, Department of the Treasury, responded: *"If the money supply is to be increased, money must be created [as debt]. The Federal Reserve Board (or 'the Fed' as it is often called) has several ways of allowing money to be created, but the actual creation of money always involves the extension of credit . . . A private commercial bank which has just received extra reserves from the Fed can make roughly six dollars in loans for every one dollar in reserves it obtains from the Fed. How does it get six dollars from one dollar? It simply makes book entries for its loan customers saying 'you have a deposit of six dollars with us' . . . You may want to know whether the bank is the one*

getting the benefit of the new money, since the bank owns the new money while the customer has merely borrowed the money. The bank does indeed get the benefit of the new money."

In another letter, Mr. Munk writes: *"Federal Reserve Notes are not redeemable in gold or silver or in any other commodity. They have not been redeemable since 1933. In the sense that they are not redeemable, Federal Reserve Notes have not been backed by anything since 1933 . . . The term `lawful' money, and `lawful money of the United States' shall be held and construed to mean gold or silver coin of the United States."*

In a letter dated December 14, 1982 to Byron Dale, M.M. Schneider, Acting Executive Assistant, Department of the Treasury, writes: *"Money without backing is worthless."*

Talk about self incriminating evidence. They tell us our money has not been backed by anything since 1933 and then they tell us, money without backing is worthless. So why are we using their "worthless" money?

In a letter dated March 16, 1988, Donna Pope, Director of the Mint, Department of the Treasury, wrote: *"Federal Reserve Notes are printed by the Bureau of Printing and Engraving, which is also an agency of the Department of the Treasury. The notes are sold to the Federal Reserve at the cost of manufacture, not at face value."*

In another letter from Russell Munk, Assistant General Counsel, Department of the Treasury, he writes: *"It costs the Bureau of Printing and Engraving a little more than 2 cents to make a Federal Reserve Note, whether the note is for \$1, \$5 or \$10 [\$20, \$50, \$100] . . . I hope this information is useful to you."*

This information proves the MONEY-CHANGERS pay about \$20.60 for \$100,000.00 (1,000 x \$100.00 = \$100,000.00). Don't you wish you could get that kind of return, plus interest on your money? The MONEY-CHANGERS do!

Jim Benfield, Department of the Treasury, writes: *"What gives money its value is merely your faith in the United States government. `Money' is nothing more than articles of faith. My economics professor used to call money `ceremonially blessed dirty rags and mud pies'. The funny thing is, he's right. Kind of scary, isn't it? The answer your more likely to get in an economic's book is that money is `a promise to pay' with future goods and services . . . We've got a lot of work to do!"* Yeah, about \$5 trillion plus interest of work as economic slaves with no chance of economic freedom.

The saddest part of all, is the \$5 trillion national debt can be paid with a SINGLE COIN! It does sound crazy doesn't it? But here is the proof: In a letter dated January 15, 1981, from the Bureau of the Mint, Office of Budget and Finance, Department of the Treasury, they wrote: *"Enclosed is a chart giving the weight, metal composition, and metal cost per denomination."*

Total Metal of Strip Manufacturing Cost	Manufacturing Cost	Total Manufacturing Cost	Seigniorage	Profit
1 cent	.00064	.0014	.0078	.0022
5 cents	.0142	.0050	.0192	.0308
10 cents	.0062	.0033	.0095	.0905
25 cents	.0138	.0079	.0217	.2283
50 cents	.0274	.0130	.0404	.4596
\$1 Susan B. Anthony	.0261	.0049	.0310	.9690

Seigniorage is the difference (profit) between the cost of manufacturing and the actual value. In the case of Federal Reserve Notes the Federal Reserve pays a little over 2 cents for a \$1.00 Federal Reserve Note and has a Seigniorage (profit) of \$.98 (\$99.975 on a \$100 bill). In the case of a \$1 Susan B. Anthony the cost is .0310 cents and the U.S. government has a seigniorage of \$.9690. Could it be the Susan B. Anthony was cutting into the profits of the Federal Reserve System on their \$1.00 Federal Reserve Notes, and that is the reason the Susan B. Anthony dollar is no longer used?

In a letter dated April 9, 1990 from the Coin Coalition, they wrote: *"The government only makes about \$500 million a year in seigniorage on quarters, dimes, nickels and pennies. Remember, this is rather like 'funny money'. The former chairman of the House Coinage Subcommittee correctly points out that we could pay off the national debt with a single \$3 trillion coin. Just mint it and keep the \$3 trillion seigniorage."*

Unfortunately our leaders represent our enemies and continue to aid and abet in the crime of keeping us as indentured servants. If they truly represented "WE THE PEOPLE", they would mint the coin, pay off the unlawful debt, and free us from the unseen hands of the MONEY-CHANGERS. However, there is a problem using this method, this method would leave the MONEY-CHANGERS with \$5 trillion in their pockets.

Fortunately, there are two other solutions to putting an end to this thievery. The first solution is found in Article 30 of the Federal Reserve Act. According to Article 30, Congress can buy-back the Federal Reserve and all their assets for the original paid-in capital of \$144 million. Utilizing this clause would leave the stock-holders with what they invested to begin with, a \$144 million.

Speaking of the Federal Reserve System, Justice of the Peace, Martin Mahoney said, *"The law leaves wrongdoers where it finds them."* Article 30 of the Federal Reserve Act does just that!

However, the best solution to putting an end to this giant hoax would be for Congress declare the Federal Reserve unconstitutional and null and void. Such an Act of Congress would return all their ill-gotten gains back to the U.S. Treasury and the MONEY-CHANGERS would be left empty handed. This solution will be given later in the book.

Ladies and gentlemen, the only difference between Federal Reserve Notes and Monopoly Money is, we accept their counterfeit "fiat" money as being legal tender and won't accept Parker Brother's. As the Federal Reserve stated in their book "The Hats The Federal Reserve Wears", *"Nearly a hundred trillion dollars are transferred over the Fedwire network yearly . . . Faith in the strength, soundness, and stability of the American economy is the real backing*

of our [counterfeit] *money.*" As for me, I would rather put my faith in Parker Brothers, they don't charge interest.

The Federal Reserve had this to say about Karl Marx, ". . . *despite his appearances, he was a formidable scholar and intellect. 'Das Kapital', Marx's famous indictment against capitalism, was accomplished through slow and agonizingly meticulous work.*" ("Great Economists", by the San Francisco Federal Reserve Bank)

Despite all this fraud and deception, Alan Greenspan, Chairman, Federal Reserve Board of Governors had the audacity to say, "*We simply cannot tolerate unfair and illegal activity that puts some of our citizens at a disadvantage.*" (1993 Annual Report, Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco) What a HYPOCRITE! He should run for President.

The Witnesses

The first witness to be called is Abraham Lincoln. He warned, *"I see in the near future a crisis approaching that unnerves me and cause me to tremble for safety of my country; corporations have been enthroned, an era of corruption in High Places will follow, and the Money Power of the country will endeavor to prolong its reign by working upon the prejudices of the People, until the wealth is aggregated in a few hands, and the Republic destroyed."*

Congressman Wright Patman declared, *"In the U.S. today, we have in effect two governments. We have the duly constituted government, then we have an independent, uncontrolled and uncoordinated government in the Federal Reserve, operating the money powers which are reserved to congress by the Constitution."*

Congressman Charles Lindbergh maintained, *"Our financial system is a false one and a huge burden on the people . . . The Federal Reserve Act establishes the most gigantic trust on earth. When the President signs this bill, the invisible government of the monetary power will be legalized . . . The people must make a declaration of independence to relieve themselves from the Monetary Power . . . The worst legislative crime of the ages is perpetrated by this banking and currency bill. From now on, depressions will be scientifically planned and created . . . The people must make a declaration of independence to relieve themselves of from the Monetary Power . . . The present is the first scientifically one, worked out as we figure a mathematical problem."*

In 1928, the House Hearings on the Stabilization of the Purchasing Power disclosed evidence the Federal Reserve was working closely with the heads of the European banks. The committee warned a major crash had been planned in 1927. HISTORY SPEAKS FOR ITSELF!

"This is a staggering thought. We are completely dependent on the commercial Banks. Someone has to borrow every dollar we have in circulation, cash or credit. If the Banks create ample synthetic money we are prosperous; if not, we starve. We are absolutely without a permanent money system. When one gets a complete grasp of the picture, the tragic absurdity of our hopeless position is almost incredible, but there it is. It is the most important subject intelligent persons can investigate and reflect upon. It is so important that our present civilization may collapse unless it becomes widely understood and the defects remedied very soon." Robert H. Hemphill, former Credit Manager of Federal Reserve Bank, Atlanta, GA. (Senate Document No. 23, January 24, 1939)

"The Fed (Federal Reserve) was designed to have power over the treasury . . . it is NOT subservient to the Treasury Department - and never was." William McAdoo, Secretary of the Treasury in 1913.

"The Federal Reserve is answerable to no one . . . not even the president." President Reagan

"Monetary policy ought to be the responsibility of publicly accountable officials . . . not redelegated to an independent, self-perpetuating board [Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve] that is not accountable to anyone . . . All history shows that no society has been able to endure usury" Congressman Henry Gonzalez, Chairman of the Banking Committee

"The Bill (Federal Reserve Act) as it stands seems to me to open the way to a vast inflation of the currency. I do not like to think a law can be passed which will make it possible to submerge the GOLD-STANDARD in a flood of irredeemable paper currency." Congressman Henry Cabot Lodge

"When England paid us approximately \$100,000,000 in gold the Treasury should have taken that gold . . . Instead, the gold was delivered to the private banks (Federal Reserve Banks) and the government given credit for the amount. The banks used a part of it as a base to issue considerable money, which they loaned to their customers, and the remainder, which was most of it, was sold back to England by the bankers . . . The gold that is given up by the people in the present crisis should be delivered to the government. It should be used to issue additional money upon which the people will not have to pay interest while it is in circulation." Congressman McGuin (Congressional Record March 9, 1933)

"All the perplexities, confusion and distress in America arise not from defects in the Constitution or Confederation: not from want or honor or virtue, as much as the downright ignorance of the nature of coin and circulation." President John Adams

"Banking establishments are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies. Already they have raised up a money aristocracy that has set the government at defiance. The issuing power of the money should be taken from the banks and returned to the people to whom it rightfully belongs." Thomas Jefferson

"Allow me to control and issue the nation's money and I care not who makes the laws." Amshell Rothschild (18th century banker)

"The monetary system of the U.S. and the major nations of the free world was established in 1694 by the Bank of England. This system subverts the legal and constitutional government and leads to socialism or fascism through favored corporate creation and control of the government's money and credit; directs the policies of government and holds in their hands the destiny of the people." Reginald McKenna, Chairman of the Board and President, Midlands Bank of England (National Economy and the Banking System, Senate Document, Vol. 3, No. 23, 76th Congress)

Isn't the Golden-Rule, he who has the gold makes the rules?

"Whoever controls the volume of money in any country is absolute master of all industry and commerce . . . When you realize that the entire system is easily controlled, one way or another, by a few powerful men at the top, you will not have to be told how periods of inflation and depression originate." President James A. Garfield

"They [the Federal Reserve] are the most avariciously predatory parasites upon a body politic in world history. The national bonded indebtedness is heavily held by the Fed. The process by which it acquires our bonds is not beyond understanding, but it is beyond belief." George E. Hiscott IV, Former Intelligent Officer

"People who will not turn a shovel full of dirt on the project (Muscle Shoal Dam) nor contribute a pound of material, will collect more money from the United States than will the People who supply all the material and do all the work. This is the terrible thing about interest . . . But here is the point: If the Nation can issue a dollar bond it can issue a dollar bill. The element that makes the bond good makes the bill good also. The difference between the bond and the bill is that the bond lets the money broker collect twice the amount of the bond and an additional 20%. Whereas the currency, the honest sort provided by the Constitution, pays nobody but those who contribute in some useful way. It is absurd to say our Country can issue bonds and cannot issue currency. Both are promises to pay, but one fattens the usurer and the other helps the People. If the currency issued by the People were no good, then the bonds would be no good either. It is a terrible situation when the Government, to insure the National Wealth, must go in debt and submit to ruinous

interest charges at the hands of men who control the fictitious value of gold. Interest is the invention of Satan." Thomas A. Edison

"The fact is the greatest steal ever permitted by a legislative body in American history occurred with the passage of the Federal Reserve Act . . . The great unanswered question is, Why do we keep on in this camouflage and financial policy . . . Members including myself, who were aware of the dangers lurking in a bill of this kind could not bring ourselves to sell out the people of the United States in this subtle way." Congressman Shoemaker (73rd Congress, 2nd Session, May 1, 1934)

On July 18, 1974, before the House Banking Currency Committee, and just prior to being relieved from the position of President of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, Darrell R. Francis delivered this message to Committee members: *"Since the direct method of printing money to finance government expenditures is prohibited in the United States Constitution, the monetization of government deficits has occurred indirectly. I can find no benefit accruing to the whole society from debt monetization, but the risks are very serious."*

"The fact that bankers insist on defending their immoral fractional reserve money ratio system is the greatest crime on a worldwide scale against man and society." Rev. Charles Coughlin

"The Federal Reserve System, is a criminal conspiracy, the ill-gotten gains, this trillion dollar debt, a lien against all private property in the United States, obviously is a criminal act against the people of the United States." A. Roberts, Director Committee To Restore The Constitution (Idaho Senate State Affairs Committee, March 7, 1983)

"The banks have brought our country to the brink of ruin by the deliberate destruction of our monetary system through the fraudulent practice of fractional reserve banking." Anonymous

"In its 60 year history, the Federal Reserve System has never been subjected to a complete, independent audit, and it is the only important agency that refuses to consent to an audit by the Congress' agency, the General Accounting Office . . . GAO audits of the Federal Reserve will, moreover, fill the glaring gap that now exists in our information about the Fed's activities and programs. As things now stand, the only information that we get on programs of the Fed is what the Fed itself wants us to have." Congressman Patman (Congressional Record May 5, 1975)

Why hasn't the Federal Reserve ever been audited? Could it be that a total audit would reveal the vast amount of money that has been looted from the taxpayers?

"You are a den of VIPERS. I intend to rout you out and by the ETERNAL GOD I will rout you out . . . The bank is trying to kill me - but I will kill it. If the people only understood the rank injustice of our money system, there would be a revolution before morning." President Andrew Jackson

"History records that the MONEY-CHANGERS have used every form of abuse, intrigue, deceit and violent means possible to maintain their control over governments by controlling the money and its issuance." James Madison

"Banking was conceived in iniquity and was born in sin. The Bankers own the earth. Take it away from them, but leave them the power to create deposits, and with the flick of the pen they will create enough deposits to buy it back again. However, take it away from them,

and all the great fortunes like mine will disappear, and they ought to disappear, for this would be a happier and better world to live in. But, if you wish to remain the slaves of Bankers and pay the cost of your own slavery, let them continue to create deposits." Sir Josiah Stamp, President of the Bank of England in the 1920.

"The modern Banking system manufactures money out of nothing. The process is perhaps the most astounding piece of sleight of hand that was ever invented. Banks can in fact inflate, mint and unmint the modern ledger-entry currency." Major L. B. Angas

During hearings of the House Banking Currency Committee, on September 30, 1941, Congressman Patman asked then Chairman of the Federal Reserve Board, Mr. Eccles the following questions:

Congressman Patman - "Mr. Eccles, how did you get the money to buy two billion dollars of government securities?"

Eccles - "We created it."

Congressman Patman - "Out of what?"

Eccles confessed - "Out of the right to issue credit money."

Congressman Wright Patman further declared, "The dollar represents a dollar debt to the Federal Reserve System. The Federal Reserve Board creates money out of thin air to buy government bonds from the United States Treasury, lending money into circulation at interest, by bookkeeping entries of checkbook credit to the United States Treasury . . . Which the American people are obligated to pay with interest." (House Banking Currency Committee, 1964)

"Banks lend by creating credit. They create the means of payment out of nothing." Ralph M. Hawtrey, Former Secretary of the British Treasury

"Banks hath the benefit of the interest on ALL monies that it creates out of nothing." William Patterson, Founder of the Bank of England

"The Federal Reserve is not an agency of the government, it is a private banking monopoly." Congressman John Rarick (Congressional Record February 1, 1971)

"What further proof do we need that the Fed is not an agency of the government than to understand that when the government needs money, the Fed does not merely create and print it as it would do were it a government agency. No the Fed creates it as a loan and charges the government interest on it . . . The Federal Reserve System is nothing more than a group of private banks which charge interest on money that never existed." Senator Jack Metcalf (National Conference of State Legislatures, December 10, 1982)

"The Federal Reserve Bank is an institution owned by the stock holding member banks . . . The government [WE THE PEOPLE] has not a dollars worth of stock in it . . . From a legal standpoint these banks are private corporations under a special act of Congress, namely the Federal Reserve Act. They are not in the strict sense of the word Government banks." W.P.G. Harding, former Governor Federal Reserve 1921

"An act of war was perpetrated against U.S. citizens and their descendants on December 23, 1913. On this day of infamy a private banking cartel affected passage of the Federal Reserve Act, usurped the government and assumed control of the American destiny." Lt. Col. Archibald Roberts ("The Most Secret Science")

"The inability of the colonists to get the power to issue their own money permanently out of the hands of George III, and the international bankers was the PRIME reason for the REVOLUTIONARY WAR." Benjamin Franklin

"Federal Reserve Notes are not Dollars." Russell Munk, Assistant General Counsel, Department of the Treasury

"Despite my views about the value to society of greater publicity for the affairs of corporations, there was an occasion, near the close of 1910, when I was as secretive, indeed, as furtive, as any conspirator . . . our secret expedition to Jekyll Island as the occasion of the actual conception of what eventually became the Federal Reserve System." Frank Vanderbilt (Saturday Evening Post, Feb. 9, 1935)

"My agency in promoting the passage of the National Bank Act was the greatest financial mistake of my life. It has built up a monopoly which affects every interest in the country. It should be repealed, but before that can be accomplished, the people will be arrayed on one side and the bank on the other, in a contest such as we have never before seen in this country." Salmon Chase, former Secretary of the Treasury

"A great industrial Nation is controlled by its system of credit. Our system of credit is concentrated. The growth of the Nation and all our activities, are in the hands of a few men. We have become to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated Governments in the world - no longer a Government of free opinion, no longer a Government by conviction and vote of the majority, but a Government by the opinion and duress of small groups of dominate men . . . I have unwittingly ruined my country" President Woodrow Wilson (National Economy and the Banking System, Senate Documents, Col. 3 No. 23) [Editor's Note: The Federal Reserve Act was passed during his administration He is quoted by close friends and relatives as having said on his death bed, "I have betrayed my nation."]

"Money is the worst of all contraband. The money power preys upon the nation in times of peace, and conspires against it in times of adversity. It is more despotic than monarchy, more insolent than autocracy, more selfish than bureaucracy. It denounces, as public enemies, all who question its methods, or throw light upon its crimes. It can only be overthrown by the awakened conscience of the nation." William Jennings Bryan, former Secretary of Treasury

"A disordered currency is one of the greatest political evils. It undermines the virtues necessary for the support of the social system, and encourages propensities destructive to its happiness. It wars against industry, frugality and economy, and it fosters evil spirits of extravagance and speculation. Of all the contrivances for cheating the laboring classes of mankind, none has been more effectual than that which deludes them with paper money." Daniel Webster (Congressional Record, March 4, 1946)

"The real truth of the matter is, as you and I know, that a financial element in the large centers has owned the government of the United States since the days of Andrew Jackson." President Franklin Roosevelt

"This year, I authorized the issuance of United State Notes. They are the same appearance except for the heading at the top. The difference is that we pay interest to the Federal Reserve on their notes, whereas we pay no interest on United State Notes." President John Kennedy (He was assassinated 10 days later)

President Kennedy's Executive Order 11110 called for the issuance of \$4.2 billion in a new currency called United States Notes. These notes were interest free and debt free. They were issued through the U.S. Treasury. The first thing agent Lyndon B. Johnson did as President was to revoke Kennedy's executive order. Could this be the primary cause of President Kennedy's assassination?

"Capital [traitors] must protect itself in every way, through combination and through legislation. Debts must be collected and loans and mortgages foreclosed on as soon as possible. When, through a process of law, the common people have lost their homes, they will be more tractable and more easily governed by the strong arm of the law, applied by the central power of wealth, under control of the leading financiers. People without homes will not quarrel with their leaders. This is well known among our principal men now engaged in forming imperialism of capital to govern the world. By dividing the people we can get them to expend their energies in fighting over questions of no importance to us except as teachers of the common herd." (Civil Servant's Handbook, "The Organizers" Jan. 1934)

"Those influences and individuals most responsible for the direful conditions through which we are now passing have resisted us at every point. We have been ridiculed and abused by the money changers whose misconduct produced this terrible panic, with all its misery, its poverty, its hunger, its human suffering and human distress. `Whatsoever man soweth, that he shall also reap'. The very ones who sowed the seeds of this panic are now reaping the fruits of their own misconduct as they see their monetary Tower of Babel crash amid a confusion of tongues." Congressman Rankin (Congressional Record, March 9, 1933)

"We have stricken the shackles from four million human beings and brought all laborers to a common level, not so much by the elevation of the former slaves as much as by practically reducing the whole working population, white and black, to a condition of serfdom. While boasting of our noble deeds we are careful to conceal the ugly fact that by our iniquitous money system we have nationalized a system of oppression which, though more refined, is not less cruel than the old system of chattel slavery." Horace Greely

"Congress sold out our nation to private bankers." Rev. Casimir Frank Glerut ("Repeal the Federal Reserve Bank, Pandora's Box of Criminal Acts")

Congressman Louis McFadden, former Chairman of the House Banking and Currency Committee, and one of the strongest critics of the Federal Reserve had this to say, *"The Federal Reserve Banks are one of the most corrupt institutions the world has ever seen. There is not a man within the sound of my voice who does not know that this Nation is run by the International Bankers . . . They have cheated the government of the United States and the people out of enough money to pay the national debt. This evil institution has impoverished and ruined the people of the United States and has practically bankrupted our government . . . The depression was not an accident, it was a carefully contrived occurrence. The International Bankers sought to bring about a condition of despair here so that they might emerge as ruler of us all . . . every effort has been made by the Fed to conceal its power but the truth is - - the Fed has usurped the government. It controls everything in Congress and it controls all foreign relations. It makes and breaks governments at will . . . The Federal Reserve has never been independently audited and have resisted all attempts to be audited."*

On May 23, 1933, Congressman McFadden, brought impeachment charges against members of the Federal Reserve Board and the heads of the 12 member banks. He said, "Whereas, I charge them jointly and severally with having brought about a repudiation of the national currency of the United States in order that the gold value of said currency might be given to private interest . . ."

I charge them with having arbitrarily and unlawfully of taking \$80 billion from the United States in 1928 . . .

I charge them with having brought about a decline in the prices on the N.Y. Stock Exchange . . .

I charge them with having arbitrarily and unlawfully raised and lowered interest rates on money. Increased and diminished the volume of currency in circulation for the benefit of private interest . . .

I charge them with having conspired to concentrate United States securities and thus having conspired to transfer to foreigners and international money lenders title to and control of the financial resources of the United States . . .

I charge them with having published false and misleading propaganda intended to deceive the American people and to cause the United States to lose its independence . . .

I charge them with the crime of having TREASONABLY conspired and acted against the peace and security of the U.S."

Congressman McFadden's shocking indictment was moved to the Committee of the Judiciary. It still awaits reporting (61 years later) to the House floor to impeach both former and present members of the Board of Governors and the Federal Reserve Banks for criminal conspiracy against We The People of the United States of America.

"By a continuing process of inflation, governments can confiscate, secretly and unobserved, an important part of the wealth of their citizens. There is no subtler, no surer means of overturning the existing basis of society than to debauch the currency. The process engages all the hidden forces of economic law on the side of destruction, and does it in a manner which not one man in a million is able to diagnose." John Maynard Keynes

The Courts Rule

This is what the courts had to say about the Federal Reserve System. The following are excerpts from the case of First National Bank vs. Jerome Daly, Presiding Justice of the Peace Martin V. Mahoney ruled:

"The emission of Bills of Credit (by banks) upon their Books, without consideration and the issuance of Federal Reserve Notes without consideration to circulate as legal tender for the payment of debts is not permitted expressly or implied by the Constitution of the United States. Paper, whether money or not, is always illegal unless it is fully representative of some material commodity . . .

The issues in this case were simple. There was no material dispute on the facts for the Jury to resolve.

Plaintiff admitted that it, in combination with the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis, which are for all practical purposes, because of their interlocking activity and practices, and both being Banking Institutions Incorporated under the laws of the United States, are in law to be treated as one and the same bank, did create the entire \$14,000 in money or credit upon its own books by bookkeeping entry. That this was the Consideration used to support the Note dated May 8, 1964 and the Mortgage of the same date. The money and credit first came into existence when they created it. Mr. Morgan admitted that no United States Law or Statute existed which gave him the right to do this. A lawful consideration must exist and be tendered to support the Note . . .

It has been determined, independent of Acts of Congress, that sailing under the license of an enemy is illegal. The emission of Bills of Credit upon books of these private Corporations, for the purposes of private gain is not warranted by the Constitution of the United States and is unlawful . . .

NOTICE OF REFUSAL TO ALLOW APPEAL

To: Hugo L. Hentges, Clerk of the District Court

You will please take Notice that the undersigned Justice of the Peace, Martin V. Mahoney, hereby, pursuant to law, refuses to allow the Appeal in the above entitled action, and refuses to make an entry of such allowance in the undersigned's Docket. The undersigned also refuses to file in the office of the clerk of the District Court in and for Scott County, Minnesota, a transcript of all entries made in my Docket, together with all process and other papers relating to the action and filed with me as Justice of the Peace.

The undersigned concludes and determines that M.S.A. 532.38 was not complied with within 10 days after entry of Judgment in my Justice of the Peace Court. Subdivision 4 thereof requires that \$2.00 shall be paid within 10 days to the clerk of the District Court, for the use of the Justice before whom the cause was tried.

Two so-called "One Dollar" Federal Reserve Notes were deposited with the Clerk of the District Court to be tendered to me.

These Federal Reserve Notes are not lawful money within the contemplation of the Constitution of the United States and are null and void. Further the Notes on their face are not redeemable in Gold or Silver Coin nor there a fund set aside anywhere for the redemption of said Notes . . .

MEMO

I am bound by oath to support the Constitution of the United States and laws passed pursuant thereto and the Constitution and Laws of Minnesota not in conflict therewith. This is an important Case to both parties and involves issues, apparently, not previously decided before. It is also important to the public. The Clerk of the Court is an officer of the Judicial Branch of the State of Minnesota. His act is the act of the State. U.S. Constitution Article 1 Section 10 provides "No State Shall make anything but Gold and Silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts." The tender of the two Federal Reserve Notes runs counter to the fundamental Law of the land.

The Federal Reserve and National Banks exercise an exclusive monopoly and privilege of creating credit and issuing their notes at the expense of the public, which does not receive a fair equivalent. This scheme is obliquely designed for the benefit of an idle monopoly to rob, blackmail and oppress the producers of wealth. . . It has defied the lawfully Constituted Government . . .

Slavery and all its incidents, including Peonage, thralldom and debt created by fraud is universally prohibited in the United States. This case represents but another form of Slavery by the Bankers. Their position is not supported by the Constitution. The people [jury] have spoken their will in terms which cannot be misunderstood. It is indispensable to the preservation of the Union and independence and liberties of the people that this Court adhere only to mandates of the Constitution and administer it as written . . .

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

JUDGMENT AND DETERMINATION

1. That the Federal Reserve Banking Corporation is a United States Corporation with twelve banks throughout the United States. That the First National Bank of Montgomery is also a United States Corporation, incorporated and existing under the laws of the United States and is a member of the Federal Reserve System.

2. That because of the interlocking control activities, transactions and practices, the Federal Reserve Banks and the National Banks are for all practical purposes, in the law, one and the same.

3. As is evidenced from the book "The Federal Reserve System; Its purpose and Function", put out by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and other evidence adduced herein, the Federal Reserve Banks and National Banks create money and credit upon their books and exercise the ultimate of expanding and reducing the supply of money or credit in these United States.

This creation of money or credit upon the Books of the Banks constitutes the creation of fiat money by bookkeeping entry.

Ninety percent or more of the credit never leaves the books of the Banks so they need produce no specie as backing.

When the Federal Reserve Banks and National Banks acquire United States Bonds and Securities, State Bonds and Securities, State Subdivision Bonds and Securities, mortgages on private Real property and mortgages on private personal property, the said banks create the money and credit upon their books by bookkeeping entry. The first time that the money comes into existence is when they create it. The banks create it out of nothing. No substantial fund of gold or silver is back of it, or any fund at all . . .

The Federal Reserve Notes in question in this case are unlawful and void upon the following grounds:

A. Said Notes are fiat money, not redeemable in gold or silver coin upon their face, not backed by gold or silver, and the notes are in want of some real or substantial fund being provided for their payment in redemption.

B. The Notes are obviously not gold or silver Coin.

C. The sole consideration paid for the One Dollar Federal Reserve Notes is in the neighborhood of nine-tenths of one cent, and therefore, there is no lawful consideration behind said Notes.

D. That said Notes do not conform to Title 12, United States Code, Sections 411 and 418. Title 31 USC, Section 462, insofar as it attempts to make Federal Reserve Notes and circulating Notes of the Federal Reserve Banks and National Banking Associations a legal tender for all debts, public and private, it is unconstitutional and void, being contrary to Article 1, Section 10, of the Constitution of the United States, which prohibits any State from making anything but gold and silver coin a tender, or impairing the obligation of contracts.

Now, therefore, by the virtue of the authority vested in me pursuant to the Declaration of Independence, the Northwest Ordinance of 1787, the Constitution of the United States of America and the Constitution of the State of Minnesota;

It is hereby DETERMINED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, that the Appeals Statutes of the State of Minnesota for Civil Appeals from this Court to the District Court is not complied with within 10 days after entry of Judgment. Therefore the Appeal is not allowed by this Court and my Docket so shows."

BY THE COURT

Martin V. Mahoney

Justice of the Peace

Credit River Township

Scott County, Minnesota

The Sheriff's sale of Mr. Daly's home was declared null and void. The court ordered the Sheriff to return the home to Mr. Daly, or he, the judge would have the Citizens of the County carry out the order. This landmark case has been kept from the eyes of the sleeping nation. Their motto is, "What the people don't know can't hurt us. Let us keep it that way."

Regarding the power to delegate the control of our money supply to a private corporation can be found in 16 Am Jur 2d, Section 347, which states: "The rule has become fixed that the legislature may not delegate legislative functions to private persons or groups, or to private corporations or a group of private corporations." Maybe someone needs to read the law to Congress because the have delegated the legislative function of controlling or money supply to a "private" corporation.

The following court cases support the fact that the banks are fraudulently making loans:

"A national bank has no power to lend its credit." (Farmers & Miners Bank vs. Bluefield National Bank, 11 F2d 83, 271 US 669)

"Banking Associations from the very nature of their business are prohibited from lending credit." (St. Louis Savings Bank vs. Parmalee 95 U. S. 557)

"National Banks may lend their money but not their credit." (Norton Grocery vs. Peoples National Bank, 144 S.E. 501, 151 Va. 195)

"Neither, as to include in its powers not incidental to them, is it a part of a bank's business to lend its credit. If a bank could lend its credit as well as its money, it might, if it received compensation and was not careful to put its name only to solid paper, make a great deal more than any lawful interest on its money would amount to. If not careful, the power would be the mother of panics . . . Indeed, lending credit is the exact opposite of lending money, which is the real business of banking, for while the latter creates a liability in favor of the bank, the former gives rise to a liability of the bank to another." (American Express Co. vs. Citizens State Bank, 194 NW 429)

"A bank can lend its money but not its credit." (First National Bank of Tallapoosa vs. Monroe, 135 Ga 614, 69 SE 1123, 32 LRA)

"It is not within the statutory powers for a national bank, even though solvent, to lend its credit . . ." (First Intermediate Credit Bank vs. Herisson, 33 F 2nd 841)

"A national bank, under federal law being limited in its powers and capacity, cannot lend its credit." (Howard & Foster Co. vs. Citizens National Bank of Union, 133 SC 202, 130 SE 758)

"Banking corporations cannot lend credit." (First National Bank of Amarillo vs. Slaton Independent School District, Tex Civ App 1933, 58 SW 2d 870)

"There is no doubt but what the law is that a national bank cannot lend its credit or become an accommodation endorser." (National Bank of Commerce vs. Atkinson, 55 Fed Rep 465)

"Nowhere is the express authority granted to the corporation to lend its credit." (Gardilner Trust vs. Augusta Trust, 134 Me 191; 291 US 245)

"A national bank has no authority to lend its credit." (Johnston vs. Charlottesville National Bank, C.C. Va. 1879, Fed Cas. 7425)

"A contract made by a corporation beyond the scope of its power corporate powers is unlawful and void." (McCormick vs. Market National Bank, 165 U.S. 538)

"A national bank . . . cannot lend its credit to another by becoming surety, endorser, or guarantor for him, such an act is ultra vires . . ." (Merchants' Bank vs. Baird, 160 F 642)

Despite the above court cases, Ralph Gelder, Superintendent, Department of Banks and Banking, State of Maine, said on Feb. 20, 1974, "A commercial bank is able to make a loan by simply creating a new demand deposit (so called checkbook money) through bookkeeping entry." This is in total contradiction to what the courts have said. Yet, that is exactly how the banksters create the money to loan to its customers or to buy government bonds.

"Federal Reserve bank credit does not consist of funds that the Reserve authorities get somewhere in order to lend, but constitute funds that they are empowered to create." (Federal Reserve Bank: Its Purposes and Functions, 1939 Edition)

"Act is ultra vires when corporation is without authority to perform it under any circumstance or for any purpose. By doctrine of ultra vires a contract made by a corporation beyond the scope of its corporate powers is unlawful." (Community Fed S&L vs. Fields, 128 F 2nd 705)

"A bank is not the holder in due course upon merely crediting the depositors account." (Bankers Trust vs. Nagler 229, NYS 2nd 142)

"A holder who does not give value cannot qualify as a holder in due course." (Uniform Commercial Code 3-303.1)

"Checks, drafts, money orders and bank notes [Federal Reserve Notes] are not lawful money of the United States." (State vs. Nealan, 48 Ore. 155)

"When an instrument [notes] lacks an unconditional promise to pay a sum certain at a fixed and determined time, it is only an acknowledgement of the debt and statutory presumptions like the presence of a valuable consideration, are not applicable." (Bader vs. Williams, 61 A 2d 637)

"A note is not negotiable unless it is payable at a time in the future." (Rhodes vs. Schofield, 82 So. 2d 236)

In a letter dated May 7, 1981, Michael Hodge, Assistant Attorney General, State of Michigan wrote, "Please be advised the United States Constitution Article I, Section 10 is binding on the States."

This is confirmed in Carol Zurn vs. Val Bjornson, Treasurer, State of Minnesota. Whereas, the plaintiff sued the defendant for payment in gold or silver Coin on a check drawn on the Treasury of the State of Minnesota. Justice of the Peace, Bill Drexler handed down this ruling: "Plaintiff is entitled to receive payment in gold and silver Coin in satisfaction of said check . . . Pursuant to LAW ONE DOLLAR is equal to 23.22 grains of pure gold or 371.25 grains of pure silver . . . Pursuant to Law neither this court nor the Treasurer of the State of Minnesota, the Defendant herein, can make any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in payment of debts."

Justice of the Peace, Bill Drexler took his decision one step farther, he declared, "That Title 12, Sections 95a and Title 31, Section 443 making it a criminal offense to buy and sell gold and providing for penalties and confiscation of gold by reason of the possession thereof is unconstitutional and void."

In Bronson vs. Rhodes, the court ruled, "Lawful money of the United States could only be gold and silver, or that which by law is made its equivalent, so as to be exchangeable therefore at par and on demand." (74 U.S. 229, 247, 19 L. Ed. 141)

According to 12 USCS, Section 411, ". . . They [Federal Reserve Notes] shall be redeemed in lawful money on demand at the Treasury Department of the United States, in the city of Washington, D.C., or at any Federal Reserve bank." If Federal Reserve Notes shall be redeemed in `lawful' money, what kind of money would be unlawful?

"If money does not have the value it purports to have on its face, it cannot be legal tender." (Craig vs. Missouri, 29 U.S. 410) Try to redeem your legal tender Federal Reserve Notes for Gold or Silver. Good Luck!

"The United States is a national state which has a central banking system, the Federal Reserve System, and whose currency, for domestic purposes, is not convertible into any commodity." Beardsley Ruml, Chairman of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, 1946

The Honorable Larry Moritz, Municipal Judge, Spearville, Kansas, declared in 1981, "If Congress won't keep its part of the Constitutional bargain and coin money of gold and silver like Article I, Section 8, Clause 5 commands, there's no way my court can require anyone to pay fines. I am not here to protect certain people's investments, I am here to carry out the mandate of the U.S. and the Kansas Constitutions." Thank God we have some righteous judges still left in our Nation.

Bruce A. Budlong of the Department of the Treasury said, "The same monetary system that was established on April 2, 1792, is in effect today."

Section 20 of the 1792 Coinage Act, 1 Stat. 246 stipulates: ". . . That the money of the account of the United States shall be expressed in dollars . . . and that all accounts in the public offices and all proceedings in the courts of the United States shall be kept and in conformity to this regulation." This section of the Act has never been repealed and is still in effect today.

Section 314 of USCS 31 states the standard unit value as "the dollar consisting of 24 8/10 grains of gold, nine tenths fine and/or 371.25 grains of .999 fine silver as established shall be the

standard unit of value, and all forms of money issued and coined by the United States shall be maintained at a parity of the value with this standard, and it shall be the duty of the Secretary of the Treasury to maintain such parity."

The Section 19 of the 1792 Coinage Act states, "That if any of the gold or silver coins which shall be struck or coined at the said mint shall be debased or made worse as to the proportion of fine gold or silver therein contained, or shall be less weight or value than the same out to be pursuant to the direction of this act, through the default or with connivance of any officers or persons who shall be employed at the said mint, for the purpose of profit or gain, or otherwise with a fraudulent intent, if any of the said officers or persons shall embezzle any of the metals . . . every such officer or person who shall commit any or either of the said offenses, shall be deemed guilty of felony, and shall suffer DEATH."

Reach into your pocket, look at the money. The silver and gold have been embezzled by the Federal Reserve! The law did not say, 25 years to life imprisonment, it says "DEATH"! They have been charged with the crime and must be sentenced accordingly.

Pursuant to 12 United States Code, (hereafter, U.S.C.) Sections 341, Paragraph 8: 12 USC 104, 109, 123, and 110. Federal Reserve Notes must express ". . . upon their face that they are secured by United States Bonds deposited with the Treasurer of the United States, by the written or engraved signatures of the Treasurer and Register, and by the imprint of the seal of the Treasury; and shall also express upon their face the promise of the association receiving the same to pay on demand, attested by the written or engraved signatures of the president or vice president and cashier; and other such statements and in such form as the Sec. of Treasury directs."

Do the Federal Reserve Notes in your pocket meet these requirements? Just don't sit there, take one out and see for yourself.

18 USC 334 makes it a "FELONY" to deliver and put in circulation any Federal Reserve Notes in violation of the above statues in Title 12. See 18 USC Sections 1, (Offenses classified); Sec. 2. (Principals); Sec. 3. (Accessory after the fact); Sec. 4. (Misprision of felony); Sec. 371. (Conspiracy); Sec. 1341. (Frauds and swindles); Sec. 1343 (Fraud by wire;) and most of all 18 USC Sec. 1960 to 1965. (Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations) Aren't all banks guilty of the above?

* Counterfeiter - "One who unlawfully makes base coin in imitation of the true metal, or forges false currency, or any instrument of writing bearing a likeness and similarity to that which is lawful and genuine, with an intention of deceiving and imposing another."

* Counterfeit coin - "Coin not genuine, but resembling or apparently intended to resemble or pass for genuine coin, including genuine coin prepared or altered so as to resemble or pass for a coin of a higher denomination."

* Lawful Money - "Money which is legal tender in payments of debt. See Legal Tender."

* Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Edition

Section 5103 of 31 USC, defines legal tender as, "United States coins and currency (including Federal reserve notes and circulating notes of Federal Reserve Banks and national banks) are legal tender for all debts, public charges, taxes, and dues. Foreign gold and silver coins are not tender for debts."

Isn't it amazing how they have changed the definition of lawful money (gold and silver), to mean legal plunder (tender)?

The thieves have gone to far with their TREASONOUS laws. They are forcing us to use their counterfeit "DEBT" Notes and outlawing foreign gold and silver coins for payment of debt. What would you rather have, an ounce of foreign gold or their "FIAT" money? The simple law of math proves that we cannot finance ourselves out of debt by going into debt. Yet, our political paid "TRAITORS" (leaders) keep playing right into their hands. It is time the ALMIGHTY MASS puts a stop to this MADNESS!

Lincoln proclaimed, "To compel a man to furnish funds for the propagation of ideas he disbelieves and abhors is sinful and tyrannical . . . The government should create, issue and circulate all the money and currency, needed to satisfy the spending power of the government and the buying power of the consumer. The privilege of creating and issuing money is not only the supreme prerogative of government, but it is the governments greatest opportunity . . . The people can and will be furnished with a currency as safe as their own government. Money will cease to be the master and become the servant of humanity . . . We gave the people of this Republic the greatest blessing they ever had - their own paper money to pay their own debts . . . Democracy will rise superior to the money power."

The MONEY-CHANGERS realized the loss they would incur if, their paper-money was replaced with "government paper-money". As a result, this is what they had to say about President Lincoln's issuance of a national paper currency, sometimes referred to as "Greenbacks." "If that mischievous financial policy, which had its origins in the North America Republic during the late war [Civil War] in that country, should become indurated down to a fixture, then that government will furnish its own paper money without cost. It will have ALL the money necessary to carry on its commerce. It will become prosperous beyond precedent in the history of the civilized governments of the world. That government must be destroyed or it will destroy every monarchy on the globe." (London Times 1863)

Bismark, Chancellor of Germany, made the following statement regarding the death of Lincoln: "The death of Lincoln was a disaster for Christendom. There was no man in the United States great enough to wear his boots, and [the MONEY-CHANGERS] went anew to grab the riches of the world. I fear that foreign bankers with their craftiness and tortuous tricks will entirely control the exuberant riches of America, and use it to systematically corrupt modern civilization. They will not hesitate to plunge the whole Christendom into wars and chaos in order that the earth should become their inheritance."

If you think our Constitution is antiquated, and Gold and Silver will not work, then how do you explain the Island of Guernsey, located of the English Channel? Guernsey has followed our constitutional taxing system along with our constitutional honest money - no interest banking procedures, backed by Gold and Silver for over 175 years. Today, Guernsey enjoys a high standard of living, no unemployment, a modern infrastructure and no government debt. Guernsey is proof that it can and does work.

WAKE-UP AMERICA and take a closer look at what happened to some of those out spoken critics of the Federal Reserve. Lincoln was assassinated by Booth! Kennedy was (supposedly) assassinated by Oswald! Garfield was assassinated by Guiteau! Mckinley was assassinated by Czolgosz! After 3 attempts on his life, McFadden was found dead in his ransacked office! His autopsy shows he died of natural causes. Assassination attempts were made on the lives of Presidents Jackson and Reagan! Lindbergh's grandson was kidnapped! Justice of the Peace Mahoney died mysteriously within months after the bank lost its case and that historical decision was handed down. The defendant, Jerome Daly, an Attorney, was later disbarred from the Bar Association and became a political prisoner. Justice of the Peace Bill Drexler was also disbarred

and he too became a political prisoner. Will this ADVERSARY stop at nothing? Or, is it just a coincidence that those who were strong critics of the MONEY-CHANGERS and supporters of the Constitution were targeted for assassination or became political prisoners?

"How long will they kill our prophets, while we stand aside and look. Some say it's just a part of it, we've got to fulfill the book." Bob Marley (another victim of assassination.)

They have been charged with the crimes against our nation. If our elected representatives will not do anything to stop this atrocity, then We The People must take action.

Or are we going to sit around like the 3 monkeys, "Hearing no evil! Seeing no evil! Not speaking of the evil!" If so, evil will prevail. If, you do not want to do something about these truths, then listen to the politicians. They will tell you all the lies you want to hear. Their rhetoric will keep you dreaming the American dream. What action you, as a citizen can take will be discussed later.

Let's Make A Deal

Prior to the Great Depression, America was experiencing the Roaring 20's. The economy was flourishing, manufacturing out-put was on the rise, and all of a sudden on October 29, 1929, the stock market crashed and the Nation fell into the Great Depression. The events that lead up to the Great Depression were unique, to say the least. America did not lack able bodied workers, consumer needs did not decrease, and the country did not lack manufacturing capabilities. Nevertheless, America went from the Roaring 20's and virtually over-night fell into a Great Depression. What America did lack was an adequate supply of money. Credit dried up as the Federal Reserve retracted the money supply. Consequently, banks stopped making loans to businesses and consumers and, started calling in outstanding loans. As a result, businesses and investors started selling their stocks in order to raise the necessary capital to keep their head above the water and pay off their loans. The people also withdrew their money from the banks to pay their debts, etc. The Great Depression was the direct result of actions taken by the Federal Reserve System. The same system that was created under the pretense of providing the nation with an elastic money supply, turned against us during years of good fortune. There is no question about it, the Federal Reserve created the Great Depression and, has caused every recession since 1913. The Great Depression was nothing more than a massive transfer of wealth from the hands of the working class to the hands of the MONEY-CHANGERS. The Federal Reserve System must be destroyed.

In his first inaugural address, March 4, 1933, President Roosevelt said of the Great Depression, "Values have shrunk to fantastic levels; taxes have risen; our ability to pay has fallen; . . . the withered leaves of industrial enterprise lie on every side; farmers find no market for their produce; the savings of many years in thousands of families are gone. More important a host of unemployed citizens face the grim problem of existence, and an equally great number toil with little return . . . Yet our distress comes from no failure of substance . . . Nature still offers her bounty. Plenty is at our doorstep, but a generous use of it languishes in the very sight of supply. Primarily this is because the rulers [MONEY-CHANGERS] of the exchange [banks] of mankind's goods have failed, . . . have admitted their failure and have abdicated. Practices of the unscrupulous money-changers stand indicted in the court of public opinion, rejected by the hearts and minds of men . . . They know only the rules of a generation of self-seekers . . . Yes, the money-changers have fled from their high seats in the temple of our civilization. We may now restore that temple to the ancient truths. The measure of that restoration lies in the extent to which we apply social values more noble than mere monetary profit." This speech sounds like the MONEY-CHANGERS were about to be sent away empty handed. Roosevelt's words were no more than political rhetoric to appease the people. He was one of their agents, as you will see.

We already know the Federal Reserve has taken possession of our nation's gold, but the real question we should be asking is, "How did the THIEVES get possession of our gold and silver?"

After creating the Great Depression, the Federal Reserve Board of New York created the following resolution: "WHEREAS, In the opinion of the Board of Directors of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, the continued and increasing withdrawal of currency and gold from the banks of the country has now created a national emergency, and

WHEREAS, It is understood that adequate remedial measures cannot be enacted before tomorrow morning,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That in this emergency the Federal Reserve Board is hereby requested to urge the President of the United States to declare a bank holiday . . ."

The MONEY-CHANGERS created the emergency and then requested the President to declare a bank holiday. President Roosevelt followed their resolution and declared March 6-9, 1933 a Bank Holiday and, the worst was still to come. What trick did the MONEY-CHANGERS have up their sleeve.

They drafted the following Proposed Executive Order to be issued by the President:

"WHEREAS the nation's banking institutions are being subjected to heavy withdrawals of currency for hoarding; and

WHEREAS there is increasing speculative activity in foreign exchanges; and

WHEREAS these conditions have created a national emergency in which it is in the best interest of all bank depositors that a period of respite be provided with a view of preventing further hoarding . . .

WHEREAS it is provided in Section 5(b) of the Act of October 6, 1917. . . that "The President may investigate, regulate, or prohibit under such rules and regulations as he may prescribe . . .

WHEREAS it is provided in Section 16 of the said Act that "Whoever shall willfully violate any provisions of this Act. . . Shall, upon conviction, be fined not more than \$10,000, or . . . imprisoned for not more than ten years, or both . . ."

What did President Roosevelt do 30 days after he made the aforesaid inaugural address? On April 5, 1933, President and Traitor Franklin D. Roosevelt signed the following Executive Order: "By virtue of the authority vested in me by Section 5 (B) of the Act of Oct. 6, 1917, as amended by Section 2 of the Act of March 9, 1933, entitled 'An Act to provide relief in the existing national emergency in banking and for other purposes', in which a mandatory Act Congress declared that a serious emergency exists, I Franklin D. Roosevelt, President of the United States of America, do declare that said national emergency still continues to exist and pursuant to said section do hereby prohibit the hoarding of gold coins, gold bullion, and gold certificates within the continental United States by individuals and corporations . . .

All persons are hereby required to deliver on or before May 1, 1933 to a Federal Reserve bank or agency thereof or any member bank of the Federal Reserve System all gold coin, gold bullion and gold certificates now owned by them or coming into their ownership on or before April 28, 1933, except the following:

(b) Gold coin or gold certificates in an amount not exceeding in the aggregate \$100.00 belonging to any one person; and gold coins having been recognized special value to collectors of rare and unusual coins . . .

Section 9; Whoever willfully violates any provisions of this Executive Order or of these regulations or of any rule, regulations, or license issued there under may be fined not more than \$10,000, or, if a natural person, may be imprisoned for not more than ten years, or both . . ."

It is amazing the Federal Reserve even went as far as informing the President that his power and authority was provided in Section 5(b) of the "Act" of October 6, 1917. When Roosevelt followed "the unscrupulous money-changers" proposed Executive Order to confiscate our gold, what did the American people do? They fell for the con-game hook, line and sinker. They quietly marched down to their local bank and turned in their gold. Just like good little sheep do when the herder herds them up to be fleeced. If you still believe in anything a politician says, you need to have your head examined to see if there is any gray matter between your ears.

The "Act" of October 6, 1917, as amended, brought the following fury from members of Congress:

Congressman McFadden - Mr. Speaker, I regret that the membership of the House has had no opportunity to consider or even read this bill. The first opportunity I had to know what this legislation is was when it was read from the Clerk's desk. It is an important bill. It is a dictatorship over the finance in the United States. It is complete control over the banking system in the United States . . . I have been calling attention for some years past to the manner in which the Federal Reserve has been conducted, and have predicted that it would lead to this kind of situation . . . The first thing that must be done is to audit the United States Treasury. [Applause]

We want to know, the people of the United States want to know, the condition of the Public Treasury and the obligations that are outstanding. We want to know the amount of gold in the Federal Reserve System. We want to know the total outstanding Government obligations. This is the time to draw the line, and may I say to you Democrats here that if you do not draw the line through the Treasury operations now and the Federal Reserve operations, you will be enmeshed in all the things that they have been doing, and they have been doing some things, as I have pointed out heretofore, or we would not be in the condition we are in today . . . This situation demands a house cleaning. Confidence in this country will not be restored until the people of the country know the condition of the United States and the condition of the Federal Reserve Banks . . .

The first section of the bill, as I grasp it, is practically the war powers that were given back in 1917, with some slight amendments."

Congressman Lundeen - "Mr. Speaker, today the Chief Executive sent to this House of Representatives a bill for immediate enactment. The author of this bill seems to be unknown. No one has told us who drafted this bill. There appears to be a printed copy at the Speaker's desk, but no printed copies are available for House Members. The bill was driven through the House with cyclonic speed after 40 minutes' of debate . . .

I have demanded a roll call, but have been unable to get the attention of the Chair. Others have done the same . . . Fifteen men were standing, demanding a roll call, but that number is not sufficient; we therefore have the spectacle of the great House of Representatives of the United States of America passing, after 40 minute debate, a bill its Members never read and never saw, a bill whose author is unknown. The great majority of the Members have been unable to get a minute's time to discuss this bill; we have been refused roll call; and we have been refused recognition by the Chair . . .

I want to put myself on the record against a procedure of this kind and against the use of such methods in passing legislation affecting millions of lives and billions of dollars. It seems to me under this bill thousands of small banks will be crushed and wiped out of existence, and that money and credit control will be still further concentrated in the hands of those who now hold the power . . .

I want the Record to show that I was, and am, against this bill and this method of procedure; and I believe no good will come out of it for America. We must not abdicate our power to exercise judgment. We must not allow ourselves to be swept off our feet by hysteria, and we must not let the Executive paralyze our legislative action. If we do, it would be better for us to resign and go home - and save the people the salary they are paying us." (Congressional Record, March 9, 1933)

In 40 minutes the greatest Gold Heist in the history of civilization took place on Capital Hill.

he confiscation of America's gold supply in 1933 was only the beginning. According to the book, "The Federal Reserve System, Purposes and Functions", published by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve (Dec. 1985): "The GOLD CERTIFICATE ACCOUNT represents, in effect, warehouse receipts issued to the Reserve Banks by the Treasury against its gold holdings. In return the Reserve Banks issue an equal value of credits to the Treasury deposit account, computed at the statutory price of \$42.22 per fine troy ounce. Because all gold held by the Treasury as of the date has been monetized in this fashion, the Federal Reserve Bank's gold certificate account of \$11.1 billion represents the nation's entire gold stock. New gold certificate credits may be issued only if the Treasury acquires additional gold . . ."

"The title to the Gold owned by the United States is in the Treasury and that the Reserve Banks hold claims on it." ("The Federal Reserve System Purposes and Functions", Published by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve, 1954)

Further proof that our entire gold stock has been transferred to the Federal Reserve can be found in "Statistical Abstracts of the United States". In 1992 the total value of the U.S. gold stock was \$11.1 billion. (Published by, "The Bureau of the Census", 1993)

There you have it straight from the horse's mouth! The Federal Reserve holds claim to our entire nation's gold supply in the form of Gold Certificates. In return they have given us credit in the amount of \$42.22 per ounce. If we apply simple math, we will discover the Federal Reserve has not only taken our gold, they have greatly understated the value on their "Consolidated Statement of Condition of All Federal Reserve Banks" of March 28, 1984 in order to hide their theft:

Gold certificate account - \$11,114,000,000 (\$11.114 billion) divided by \$42.22 per ounce = 263,240,170.53 (263.240 million) ounces of gold.

However, on March 28, 1984 gold was selling on the open market for \$389.50 per ounce (N.Y. Times, March 29, 1984) x 263,240,170.53 ounces = \$102,532,046,423.50 (\$102.53 billion).

Between 1992 and September of 1994, the government acquired another 9 million ounces of gold were transferred to the Federal Reserve under this Gold Give-Away Act.

Not only have the MONEY-CHANGERS created the "credits" that they used to buy our gold out of thin air. They cheated us out of \$91,418,046,423.50 (\$91.41 Billion) of credits. With the thousands of volumes of Laws, there must be a law against cheating us out of \$91.41 billion of Funny-Money credit. How stupid of me not to realize they have already created a law that says, "Robbing the Peter to pay Paul is OK, as long as, Peter doesn't WAKE-UP."

Now, let us take a closer look at how the Federal Reserve was able to strike such a deal. The statutory price of \$42.22 was the result of Section 2 of the "Par Value Modification Act", Public Law 93-110, as amended, passed by Congress on September 21, 1973. However, on September 21, 1973 gold was selling on the open market for \$126.30 per ounce. Nearly three times than the statutory price of \$42.22 per ounce. In return for our gold, the MONEY-CHANGERS gave us "out of thin air credits". Soon after the deal was made, Gold was selling on the open market for as high as \$850 per ounce. How does it feel to be fleeced?

I wonder if the Government will let American Citizens participate in this swindle. I would be more than happy to give the government \$42.22 of "worthless" Federal Reserve Notes for an ounce of our gold. So I decided to write the Secretary of the Department of Treasury a letter requesting the necessary forms and information to carry out this transaction. Something tells me

he is going to respond by saying, "I am sorry but you don't have a license to steal. We only issue those to banksters."

In his private diary the Secretary of the Treasury, Mr. Morgenthau wrote: "If anybody ever knew how we set the gold price through a combination of lucky numbers, and so forth, I think they would really be frightened." ("The Peoples Pottage" by Garet Garrett) It is just a game to them; they make up the rules as they go along. Let alone playing by their rules.

I hate to say it, but we have the kind of government we deserve. We have allowed them to continue down the path that will ultimately lead to our destruction. We must stop turning to government for solutions. They are the enemy and by no means are they the cure. I challenge the reader to find anywhere in the Constitution that gives the President the power or authority to issue an Executive Order. If, We The People did not grant the President the power or authority under the Constitution, then Executive Orders are simply unconstitutional. If they are lawful and valid under the Constitution, what would prevent the President from issuing an Executive Order such as: "By the powers vested in me, I hereby invoke upon the people Executive Order #666, whereas, all citizens must report to the U.S. Department of Mental Health for psychiatric evaluation, no later than Dec. 31, 1994. Failure to do so will result in a 20 year prison sentence in a padded cell and/or a \$10,000 fine."

Perhaps, the above described Executive Order isn't such a bad idea after all, our nation does need to undergo a psychiatric examination as to why, and we are allowing these Traitors to get away with this what they are doing to us.

Men like Washington, Adams, Jefferson and Patrick Henry must be turning over in their grave. I am sure if they were alive today, the Second Shot would have already been heard around the world.

The United States Code, 12 U.S.C., states ". . . the terms lawful money and lawful money of the United States shall be construed to mean gold or silver coin of the United States." If "lawful" money is gold and silver, what kind of money would be "unlawful"?

Even the State Constitutions prohibit what the Federal Reserve is doing. For example, Article IV, Sections 34, of the Constitution of the State of California (1849) reads: "The legislature shall have no power to pass any act granting any charter for banking purposes; but associations may be formed, under general laws, for the deposit of gold and silver: but no such association shall make, issue, or put into circulation of any bill, check, ticket, certificate, promissory note, or other paper, or the paper of any bank, to circulate as money."

Section 35 reads: "The legislature of this state shall prohibit, by law, any person or persons, association, company or corporation from exercising the privileges of banking, or creating paper to circulate as money."

Our founding Fore-Fathers were so adamant on gold and silver based currency, they made it a part of the Constitution of the United States of America. Article 1 Sec. 10 Clause 1 states, "No state shall coin money; emit Bills of Credit; make any thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts." The Tenth Amendment reads: "The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by the States are reserved to the states respectively, or to the people." We gave Congress and only Congress the power to coin and issue our money supply and to regulate the value thereof. We did not give them the power or authority the transfer our money to a private corporation. America has the best Congress money can buy. We are victims of our own inactions.

Despite what the Constitution says, Chapter 48 of the 73d Congress, reads as follows: "Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That (a) every provision contained in or made with respect to any obligation which purports to give obliges a right to require payment in gold or a particular kind of coin or currency, or in an amount in money of the United States measured thereby, is declared to be against public policy . . .

All coins and currencies of the United States (including Federal Reserve Notes and circulating notes of the Federal Reserve banks and national banking associations) heretofore or hereafter coined or issued, shall be legal tender for all debts, public and private, public charges, taxes, duties, and dues, except that gold coins, when below the standard weight and limit of tolerance provided by law for the single piece, shall be legal tender only at valuation in proportion to their actual weight." (Approved, June 5, 1933, 4:40 P.M.)

Please note the above is declared to be against public policy, and not against the law. There is a clear and distinct difference between policy and law. Also note that they made Federal Reserve Notes legal (not lawful) tender for all debts. They even had the audacity to say if a \$1 gold coin did not have the standard weight, you would receive less of their COUNTERFEIT money. I guess if you are going to take someone to the cleaners you should clean them out of everything you can.

In essence, the MONEY-CHANGERS have emptied our Treasury and forced us to deliver our Gold to them via an Executive Order signed by one of their paid agents. In return for our gold they gave use their worthless "fiat" money! How can it be that a piece of paper represents \$1.00 and the same paper with two 00's added to it can be worth \$100.00? Can an ounce of gold be worth \$350.00 and another ounce of gold be worth \$35,000.00? Of course not! Nevertheless, the MONEY-CHANGERS have done exactly that with a worthless piece of paper. To pour salt on the wound they charge us interest on their counterfeit money. It is time we awaken to the truth and demand the return of our money supply to who it rightfully belongs, WE THE PEOPLE.

Specifically officers and agents of the Federal Reserve System, members of the United States Congress, the President and judges are engaged in a Conspiracy and a Racketeering enterprise to use, tender and circulate money in the form of criminal Federal Reserve Paper that is in violation of criminal statues. Don't you think it is time to bring them to trial, for their crimes against our nation?

The law is simple! The Supreme Court declared in Marbury vs. Madison: "Law repugnant to the Constitution is void." And so are their Federal Reserve Notes and the loans they have created out of thin air.

The Sixteen American Jurisprudence, 2nd Section, 177 states: ". . . An unconstitutional statue though having the form and name of the law, is in reality no law, but is wholly null and void and ineffective for any purpose. It implies no duty, confers no rights, creates no office, bestows no power or authority on acts under it. No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional statute and no courts are bound to enforce it."

In 1933 the MONEY-CHANGERS robbed us of our Gold and in 1965 they robbed us of our silver, leaving us with fiat paper money and copper clad coins. At the signing of the Coinage Act of 1965, President Lyndon B. Johnson said, "When I have signed this bill before me, we will have made the first fundamental change in our coinage in 173 years. The Coinage Act of 1965 supersedes the Act of 1792. And that Act had the Title: `An Act Establishing a Mint and Regulating the Coinage of the United States . . .' Now I will sign this bill to make the first change in our coinage system since the 18th Century. To those members of Congress, who are here on this historic occasion, I want to assure you that in making this change from the 18th Century we have no idea of returning to it."

Ladies and gentlemen, the Coinage Act of 1965 provided, "The Treasury is authorized to sell any silver in excess of that required to be held against outstanding silver certificates for \$1.29 per troy oz. to the Federal Reserve." And that's how the MONEY-CHANGERS stole our gold and silver.

The general misconception is that any statute passed by legislators bearing the appearance of law constitutes the law of the land. Nothing could be farther from the truth. The United States Constitution is the Supreme Law of the land, and any statute, to be valid, it must agree with the Constitution. It is impossible for both the Constitution and a law violating it to be valid; one must prevail. The Federal Reserve Act, Roosevelt's Executive Order and the Coinage Act of 1965 are in direct conflict with Article 1, Section 10 of the Constitution of the United States of America.

If Article 1, Section 10 of the Constitution can be negated by the legislative, by the judiciary, by the executive, or by anyone, then any part of the Constitution of the United States can be broken when deemed advisable by the legislative, the judiciary or the executive. If this part of the Constitution can be breached, then there is no Constitution of the United States of America. And your guaranteed rights, and freedoms are a forgotten dream. It is impossible for both the Constitution and a law violating it to be valid; one must prevail. Americans are standing still like docile cattle in a slaughter-house. Don't you think its time for the ALMIGHTY MASS to AWAKEN and put a stop to this senseless debt monetary system?

I hate to say it, but we have the kind of government we deserve. We have allowed them to continue down the path that will ultimately lead to our destruction. We must stop turning to government for solutions. They are the enemy and by no means are they the cure. If we do not learn from history, we are condemned to repeat it. Have our fore-fathers words fallen on deaf ears of a sleeping nation? Do our leaders truly represent us? Are they upholding their oath of office to preserve and defend against all enemies both foreign and domestic? The MONEY-CHANGERS are not just looting our nation, they are committing TREASON! Think about it! Is freedom still in the hearts of Americans, or has apathy taken its place? Let freedom ring and we will triumph in our quest to freedom and peace on earth. If apathy has taken its place, let us awaken to the truth and knowledge that shall set us free.

Liars and Thieves

When the Constitution was established, it gave Congress full and all embracing taxing powers. Except for the prohibition against taxing exports. The Constitution laid down two rules by which the two classes of taxes were to be governed. They were the rule of apportionment as to direct taxes, and the rule of uniformity as to indirect taxes (duties, imposts and excises). However, when the MONEY-CHANGERS took over control of our Treasury in 1913, they needed a way to collect the interest (tribute) from our nation. Was it through fraud and deceit just like the serpent in the Garden of Eden? Or, was it just a coincidence the 16th Amendment (Income Tax) was also passed in 1913? The IRS is nothing more than the collection agency of the tribute the Federal Reserve charges us on their "worthless" paper money. DON'T BELIEVE ME! Look at the last check you sent to the IRS. Its endorsed "PAY ANY F.R.B. [Federal Reserve Bank] BRANCH OR GEN. DEPOSITORY FOR CREDIT U.S. TREASURY. THIS IS IN PAYMENT OF U.S. OBLIGATIONS; MUST BE PAID AT PAR N.P. DO NOT WIRE NON-PAYMENT"

For the IRS to deposit your income tax check into Federal Reserve Banks is in direct violation of Internal Revenue Code, Section 7809 (a) Deposit of Collections, which states: ". . . the gross amount of all taxes and revenue received under the provisions of this title and collection of whatever nature received or collected by authority of any Internal Revenue law, shall be deposited daily into the Treasury of the United States under instructions of the Secretary as internal revenue collections . . ."

Why aren't your tax dollars deposited into the U.S. Treasury? Why, because while we were sleeping somebody STOLE AMERICA! WAKE-UP! We have been SOLD DOWN THE RIVER!

I am absolutely amazed how many people think their tax dollars are used for running the government. Nothing could be farther from the truth. Former President Reagan, stated the following in his 1984 Grace Commission Report on government waste: "100% of what is collect is absorbed solely by interest on the federal debt and by federal government contributions to transfer payments." In other words all individual income tax revenues are gone before one nickel is spent on the government services. These services are financed by the government going further into debt to the MONEY-CHANGERS.

Now let's take a closer look at the laws to see if we can find out the real truth about the IRS. The PREFACE of the supplement to the United States Code reads: "This third supplement to the 1988 edition to the United States Code has been prepared and published pursuant to section 285b of Title 2 of the Code. It contains the additions and changes in the general permanent laws of the United States enacted during the One Hundred First Congress and the One Hundred Second Congress, First Session. This supplement together with the 1988 edition establishes prima facie those laws in effect on January 2, 1992, except Titles 1,3,4,5,9,10,11,13,14,17,18,23,28, 31,32,35, 37,38,39, 44,46, and 49 have been revised, codified and enacted into positive law and the text thereof is legal evidence of the laws therein contained . . ."

Please note all the above Titles are positive law, but Title 26 (Internal Revenue Code) has not been enacted into positive law and according to their own words is only prima facie law.

* Positive Law - Law actually and specifically enacted or adopted by proper authority for the government of an organized jural society.

* Prima Facie - At first sight; on the first appearance; on the face of it; so far as can be judged from the first disclosure; presumably; a fact presumed to be true unless disproved by some evidence to the contrary. (In other words, it looks like a duck, quacks like a duck and walks like a duck, but it ain't no duck. It's a decoy!)

To prove Title 26, Internal Revenue Code is prima facie, we only need to look at Section 7806, "Construction of Title", of the Internal Revenue Code which reads:

"(a) Cross References - The cross references in this title to other portions of the title, or other provisions of law, where the word 'see' is used, are made only for convenience, and shall be given no legal effect.

(b) Arrangement and Classification - No inference, implication, or presumption of legislative construction shall be drawn or made by reason of the location or grouping of any particular section or provision or portion of this title, nor shall any table of contents, table of cross references, or similar outline, analysis, or descriptive matter relating to the contents of this title be given any legal effect . . ."

Is this their disclaimer?

Here is a simple question. According to Title 26 of the Internal Revenue Code, are California, New York, Florida, etc. States? If you said yes, you have been deceived again! Section 3121(e) defines State as: "The term `State' includes the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, and America Samoa.

P.L. 86-624 Amended 1954 Code Sec. 3121(e)(1), as it appears in the amendment note for P.L. 86-778, by striking out `Hawaii', where it appeared following `includes'. Effective 8-21-59 . . . By striking out Alaska . . . Effective 1-3-59"

Why are Alaska and Hawaii no longer states under the Internal Revenue Code? Because they became States of the Union of States called the United States of America. They are not "federal" states of the United States (Article 1, Section 8, Constitution of the United States of America). Therefore, most Citizens of the Republic of States of the United States of America are not subject to Title 26, Internal Revenue Code. To further prove this point, the case of U.S. vs. Virginia, 1805 declared, "the District of Columbia is not a `state' within the meaning of the constitution." Therefore the states as defined in Title 26 of the Internal Revenue Code must be federal states and not States of the United States of America.

The I.R.S. is nothing more than an out of control bureaucracy operating under the Color of Law. They are forcing our people into bondage. How many times have you heard or read about the I.R.S. seizing someone's home, bank account and wages etc.? It happens illegally hundreds or thousands of times every-day. Our Constitution requires "due process" before anyone's property can be seized. The I.R.S. does not know the meaning of due process. None of their victims are given due process! According to Title 26 of the Internal Revenue Code, "Subchapter D - Seizure of Property for Collection of Taxes, Sec. 6331(a) - Levy and Distraint, "AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY - . . . Levy may be made upon the accrued salary, or wages of any officer, employee, or elected official, of the United States, the District of Columbia, or any agency or instrumentality of the United States, by serving a notice of levy on the employer as defined in sec. 3401 (d) of such officer, employee, or elected official . . ." PERIOD!

Section 3401(c) of the Internal Revenue Code defines an employee as, ". . . an officer, employee, or elected official of the United States, a State, or any political subdivision thereof; or the District of Columbia, or any agency or instrumentality of any one or more of the foregoing. The term "employee" also includes an officer of a corporation." PERIOD!

In a letter from Congressman Hertel dated Dec. 30, 1985, he states that Section 6331(a), of the Internal Revenue Code: "*does not provide authority to levy wages of private citizens in the private sector.*"

* Color Of Law - The appearance or semblance, without the substance of legal right. State vs. Brechler, 185 Wis. 599,202 N.W. 144.148

In a letter written by Congressman Ron Paul, dated August 27, 1979, he tells it like it is: "*Strictly speaking, it probably is not necessary for the federal government to tax anyone directly; it could simply print the money it needs. However, that would be too bold a stroke, for it would then be obvious to all what kind of counterfeiting operation the government is running. The present system combining taxation and inflation is akin to watering the milk: too much water and the people catch on.*"

Most Americans have heard that income taxes are voluntary, but are they voluntary or are they mandatory? In an Internal Revenue Investigation before a Subcommittee of the Ways and Means, House of Representatives, 83rd Congress, Mr. Dwight Avis, Head of the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax Division, Bureau of the Internal Revenue confirmed income tax is VOLUNTARY, when he made the following statement, "Let me point this out now: Your income tax is 100% voluntary tax, and your liquor tax is 100% enforced tax. Now, the situation is as different as night and day . . ."

"Our system is based on voluntary assessment and payment, not on distraint." (362 US.S 145, 176, 80 S. Ct. 630, 647, 4 L ED 623)

* Voluntary - (1) done, made, or given freely and without compulsion (2) not accidental (3) of, relating, or regulated by the will (4) having power of free choice

* Distrain - (1) to seize for debt; to take a personal chattel from the possession of a wrong-doer to satisfy a demand, or compel the performance of a duty

* Webster's Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language

So why are you continuing to volunteer your hard earned money to a DEN of THIEVES? If payment is voluntary it cannot be mandatory.

"Voluntary compliance places the responsibility . . . on you to file a return . . . you also may have to determine the amount of your tax liability." (IRS Publication # 21)

Even former IRS officer Jack Warren Wade Jr. admitted in his book "When You Owe The IRS" the following facts, "The Tax Code represents the genius of legal fiction . . . The IRS has never really known why people pay income taxes . . . The IRS encourages voluntary compliance, through fear."

Former IRS Commissioner Mortimer Caplin said, "Our tax system is based on individual self assessment and voluntary compliance."

"An individual may be under no obligation to do a particular thing and his failure to act creates no liability, but if he voluntarily attempts to and do the particular thing, he comes under an implied obligation in respect to the manner in which he does it." (Guardian vs. Fisher, 26 S. Ct. 186, 188)

"When one files a return [voluntarily] showing a tax due, he has presumably assessed himself and is content to become liable for the tax and to pay it." (Lyddon Co. vs. U.S. 158 Fed Supp 951)

In 1979 Jerome Kuntz, Commissioner of the IRS said, ". . . To put these figures in context, individuals voluntarily reported nearly \$1.1 trillion in income and paid \$142 billion in [voluntary] income taxes." (1979 IRS Annual Report)

"Because what appears to be a lawful command on the surface, many citizens, because of respect for the law, are cunningly coerced into waiving their rights, due to ignorance." (U.S. vs. Minker, 350 U.S. 179, 187) And that is how the IRS gets the "taxpayers" who voluntarily and signs a contract (the 1040) under penalty of perjury that they had income. As soon as they sign the 1040 and send it in, they become liable for the taxes that they voluntarily assessed themselves and agreed to pay.

As former Congressman Ron Paul pointed out in his news letter, "The Government loves vague laws. They are essential to tyranny and Executive discretion." He should have also said, the government loves naive people. They are essential for the PONZI SCHEME to continue.

Former IRS headquarters agent, Paul Strassel had this to say about IRS audits: "The real point of audits is to instill fear, not to extract revenue; the IRS aims at winning through intimidation and getting maximum voluntary compliance."

Paul Desfosses, President, National Coalition of IRS Whistleblowers declared, ". . . I will not back down, I will not be silent, and I will not rest until our out-of-control tax agency is brought under control." If more Americans would take this stand, we could bring the IRS down to its knees. Our fore-fathers went to revolution over a 3% tax on tea and we're so spineless today, that we allow a private corporation to plunder our Nation. I cannot say that I am proud to be an American, because it would put me in the same boat as most of the spineless people who call themselves Americans. But I am proud to be part of those whom the Spirit of Resistance dwells within. I will proudly stand beside them when the Second Shot is Heard Around the World.

In a letter, Congressman George Hansen wrote the following to the Commissioner of the IRS: "This is not the first time that the evidence indicates that IRS works to create violence as an excuse to come to Congress and defend its conduct on one hand and to justify a budget increase to fund its private army."

William Phillips, Sub-Committee Director of the Committee on Government Operations (1972) said, "Of all the agencies of government who have been most flagrant in violating not only the spirit, but the letter of the Act has been the Internal Revenue Service."

Senator Paul Laxalt maintains, "The high-handed bureaucratic excesses of the IRS are a national disgrace . . . We appear to be witnessing an agency totally out of control, running roughshod over the taxpayers and making a joke out of our rule of laws." (Lynne Johnston, "Who's Afraid of the IRS")

Congressman Hansen warns, "Our basic freedoms, our basic liberties and our fundamental rights as free-thinking citizens of this great country are being eroded, insidiously and relentlessly, by this monstrous agency. The IRS must be brought under control no matter what the cost . . . If the IRS is not quickly brought under control, we will find ourselves living Orwell's 1984 before anyone recognizes what the agency is doing . . . The awesome powers of the IRS, not only to act under the law, but to act above the law, must finally be curtailed by a Congress made to realize that they have more to fear from their unhappy constituents, than they do from the self-serving intimidating ways of the IRS." (Congressional Record, Nov. 21, 1981)

"Only the rare taxpayer would likely to know that he could refuse to produce his records to IRS agents." (U.S. vs. Dickerson, 413 f2d 1111, CA7, 1969)

"Person cannot be forced to submit records for inspection." (U.S., and Fred Rosauer, Special Agent IRS vs. Johanna Van Poperin, U.S. District Court, District of Minn., 4th Division, 4-71 Civil 635)

"We are of the opinion that there is a clear distinction in this particular between an individual and a corporation, and that the latter has no right to refuse to submit its books and papers for an examination. The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights as a citizen. He is entitled to carry on his private business in his own way. His power to contract is unlimited. He owes no duty to the state or to his neighbors to divulge his business, or to open his doors to an investigation, so far as it may tend to incriminate him. He owes no such duty to the state, since he receives nothing therefrom, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State, and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. Among his rights are the refusal to incriminate himself, and the immunity of himself and his property from arrest or seizure except under a warrant of law. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights . . . An individual may lawfully refuse to answer incriminating questions unless protected by an immunity statute . . ." (Hale vs. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43)

Justice William Douglas declared, "The Fifth Amendment is an old friend and a good friend. It is one of the greatest landmarks in man's struggle to be free of tyranny, to be decent and civilized."

Justice Miller said, "To lay with one hand the power of government on the property of the citizen, and with the other to bestow it on favored individuals . . . is none the less robbery because it was under the forms of law and is called taxation." (Loan Assc. vs. Topeka, 20 Wall (87U.S.) 664, 1874)

On February 21, 1977, Congressman Steve Symms said, "The income tax is unconstitutional and was not part of the original intent of those who drafted our Constitution or government; I am supporting a resolution to repeal the 16th Amendment."

T. Coleman Andrews, former Commissioner of the IRS said, "Let's get rid of the income tax . . . its legalized confiscation . . . too complicated . . . destroying the middle class . . ."

Now let us look at what the courts have said about "Income Tax":

"Whatever may constitute income, therefore must have the essential feature of gain to the recipient. This was true when the 16th Amendment became effective, it was true at the time of Eisner vs. Revenue Code of 1938, and it is likewise true under sec. 61(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. If there is no gain there is no income . . . Congress has taxed income, not compensation [wages]." (Connor vs. U.S. 303 F. Supp. 1187)

"Income means only gain and profits, not wages." (So Pacific vs. Lowe, 247 U.S. 330; Stratton vs. Howbert, 231 U.S. 309)

"It is to be noted that by the language of the Act it is not salaries wages or compensation for personal service that are to be include in gross income." (Lucas vs. Earl, 281 U.S. 111 1930)

"Income excludes wages, salaries and tips." (Graves vs. People of N.Y., 59 S. Ct. 595)

"Tips are gifts and are therefore not taxable." Judge Thomas W. Clary (OkI vs. U.S., February 18, 1975)

"There is a clear distinction between profit and wages or compensation for labor. Compensation [wages] for labor cannot be regarded as profit within the meaning of the law." (Oliver vs. Halstead, 196 Va. 992; 86 S.E. 2d 858)

". . . There is a clear distinction between 'profit' and 'wages' or compensation for labor. Compensation for labor cannot be regarded as profit within the meaning of the law. The word 'profit' as ordinarily used, means the gain made upon business or investment - a different thing altogether from mere compensation for labor." (Commercial League Assc. Vs. The People, 90 Ill 166)

"Reasonable compensation [wages] for labor or services rendered is not profit." (Lauderdale Cemetery Assc. vs. Mathews 345 Pa. 239; 47 A.2d 277, 280)

"The phraseology of form 1040 is somewhat obscure; perhaps it means that there shall be included actual receipts (a) for services rendered in the year for which the return is made and (b) for unpaid accounts, or charges for services rendered in former years, and paid in the year for which the return is made. But it matters little what it does mean; the statute and the statute alone determines what is income to be taxed. It taxes only income 'derived' from many different specified sources: one does not derive income by rendering services [labor] and charging for them." (Edwards vs. Keith, 231 F110-112)

Once again the courts declared what "income" means in the case of So. Pacific v. Lowe, 238 F. 847 the court said, "Income as used in the statute should be given the meaning so as not to include everything that comes in. The true function of the words "gains" and "profits" is to limit the meaning of the word income."

"Income means only gain and profit, not earnings." (Staples vs. U.S. 21 F Sup. 737)

Why do you think it is called an "Income Tax" and not a "Labor Tax"?

"Freedom in the making of Contracts of Personal employment, by which labor and services are exchanged for money or other forms of property is an elementary part of the rights of personal liberty and private property, not to be struck down directly or arbitrarily with . . ." (Prudential vs. Cheek, 259 U.S. 530)

"Chief among contracts is that of personal employment, by which labor and other services are exchanged for money or other forms of property." (Coppage vs. Kansas, 35Sct243, 1915) The key word is exchanged. If you gave me \$10 worth of apples and I gave you \$10 worth of oranges would you have a profit or gain (income)? What the court is saying, is if I gave you 1 hour of my labor in exchange for \$10, my labor is worth \$10 per hour, per contract/employment agreement and there is no profit or gain (income).

"Legislature can name any privilege a taxable privilege and tax it by means other than an income tax, but legislature cannot name something to be taxable privilege. Constitution Article II, Section 28 . . . realizing and receiving income or earnings is not a privilege that can be taxed." (Jack Cole Co. vs. Alfred McFarland, Sup. Ct. Tenn. 337 S.W. 2d 453)

"The right to labor and to its protection from unlawful interference is a constitutional as well as a common law right. Every man has a natural right to the fruits of his own industry." (48 Am Jur 2d, Section 2)

"The property which every man has in his labor, is the original foundation of all other property, so it is the most sacred and inviolable." (Butcher's Union Co. vs. Crescent City Co., 45 Ct 661)

"Every man has a natural right to the fruits of his own labor, as generally admitted; and no other person can rightfully deprive him of those fruits, and appropriate them at his will." (The Antelope, 23 U.S. 66, 120)

In *Murdock vs. Pennsylvania*, the Supreme Court ruled: "A State may not impose a charge for the enjoyment of a right granted by the Federal Constitution." Isn't working and earning a living a God given right protected by the Constitution?

In *Redfield vs. Fisher* the Supreme Court held: "The individual, unlike the corporation cannot be taxed for the mere privilege of existing. The corporation is an artificial entity which owes its existence and charter powers to the state; but the individual rights to live and own property are natural rights for the enjoyment of which an excise tax cannot be imposed."

"The terms `excise' and `privilege' tax are synonymous." (*American Airways vs. Wallace*, 57 F 2d 877, 800)

"Congress may not, under the taxing power, assert a power not delegated to it by the constitution." (*Regal Drug Co. vs. Wardell*, 260 U.S. 386; 67 L ED 318; 43 S. Ct. 152)

"The Secretary of the Treasury cannot by his regulation alter or amend a Revenue Law." (*Morrill vs. Jones* 106 U.S. 407)

In *Evans vs. Gore* (1920) the court ruled: "The sixteenth does not justify the taxation of persons or things (their property) previously immune . . . it does not extend taxing power to new or excepted citizens . . . it is intended only to remove all occasions from any apportionment of income taxes among the states. It does not authorize a tax on a salary."

"The tax is, of course an excise tax, as are all taxes on income . . ." (*White Packing Co. vs. Robertson*, 89 F 2d 775, 779 the 4th Circuit Court)

"The income tax is, therefore, not a tax on income as such. It is an excise tax . . ." (Congressional Record, March 27, 1943)

In 1916, the Supreme Court verified the income tax is not a "Direct Tax." In *Brushaber vs. Union Pacific* the court declared: "The contention that the Amendment (16th) treats a tax as a direct tax (which must be apportioned) is wholly without foundation . . . The Amendment was drawn with the object of maintaining the limitations of the Constitution."

"The tax imposed . . . being a direct tax is unconstitutional and void because [it was] not apportioned . . . constituting one entire scheme . . . The Constitution divided federal taxation into two great classes - the class of direct taxes, and that of [indirect taxes] duties, imposts and excises - and the prescribed two rules which qualified the grant of power as to each class." (*Pollock vs. Farmers Loan and Trust*, 158 U.S. 601)

In 1930, the Supreme Court reaffirmed the *Brushaber* and *Pollock* cases in *Tyler vs. U.S.*: "A tax laid upon the happening of an event, as distinguished from its tangible fruits (of our labor), is an indirect tax."

"Income means 1909's excise tax." (*Merchants vs. Smietanka*, 255 U.S. 509)

"Income means 1909's excise and privilege tax." (*Bowers vs. Kerbaugh*, 271 U.S. 170)

In a report titled "Some Constitutional Questions regarding Federal Income Tax Law" prepared by Howard Zaritsky, Legislative Attorney, American Law Division, Congressional Research Service, Library of Congress # 84188A 784-275, states, "The Supreme Court, in a decision written by Chief Justice White noted that the 16th Amendment did not authorize any new type of tax, did not

repeal or revoke the tax clauses of Article I of the Constitution. Direct taxes are still subject to the rule of apportionment and indirect taxes are still subject to the rule of uniformity."

"The citizen is exempt from taxation unless the same is imposed by clear and unequivocal language." (Spreckels Sugar Fer. Co. vs. Mclain, 24Sct 382, 1904)

In a letter from Mark Forman, Legislative Correspondent for Senator Daniel Inouye dated June 26, 1989, he states, "Based on the research performed by the Congressional Research Service, there is no provision which specifically and unequivocally requires an individual to pay income taxes."

"The general term income is not defined in the Internal Revenue Code [Title 26]." (U.S. vs. Ballard, 400F2d404, 1976)

Where is the clear and equivocal language if income is not defined, or there are no provision that unequivocally requires an individual to pay income taxes?

In Stanton vs. Baltic Mining Co., 36 S. Ct. 281, 1916, the court ruled: ". . . the 16th Amendment conferred no new power of taxation."

Simply put, the so-called income tax is another GIANT HOAX that has been perpetrated on the unsuspecting sleeping tax-payers by the MONEY-CHANGERS! While the judges who are paid by the wolves are aiding and abetting the crime.

Jim Davidson, National Taxpayers Union said, "The politicians don't just want your money. They want your soul. They want you to be worn down by taxes until you are dependent and hopeless."

Congressman Hansen declared, "The IRS's violations of individual rights and freedoms are a mounting threat to the very existence of this country." ("To Harass Our People") Congressman Hansen eventually became a political prisoner too.

The Honorable Samuel Hooper, Chairman of the Ways and Means Committee said, "I regard the income tax as most obnoxious genius of our people . . . I am so satisfied that the evils more than counterbalance the benefits derived from its retention that I recommend its unconditional repeal." (The Internal Revenue Record Vol. XIII)

And most recently in U.S. vs. Long (No. CR-193-91) an informed jury found Mr. Long NOT GUILTY of willful failure to file a tax return. Mr. Long proved to the jury the so-called income tax is an excise tax. The I.R.S. is going to have a hard time covering up this landmark decision. It is amazing what powers a jury has when they exercise their supreme authority.

Oh, by the way, for those of you who still say we must pay our income taxes. In Don E. Williams vs. Commissioner of the Internal Revenues Service, the Supreme Court ". . . a note even when payable on demand and fully secured, is still only a promise to pay . . ." Since Federal Reserve Notes are not secured and payable on demand, according to the law, they are only a promise to pay and not payment. (429 US 569, L Ed 2d 48, 97 S Ct 850)

"A debt is not paid by the giving of a note." (Nolan Co. vs. Maryland Causality, 38 F. Supp. 479)

"A note is only a promise to pay and not payment." (Fidelity Savings Bank vs. Grimes, 131 P 2d 894)

"A check payable in notes is an altered instrument and void." (M.R.S. 1954 C. 188, Section 124 and 125)

"A check is not money." (School Dist, vs. U.S. National Bank 211 p2d 723)

Well, there you have it, the courts have ruled an excise tax cannot be imposed on citizens. And wages are not income within the meaning of the Internal Revenue Code. Congress has declared the "income tax" to be an excise tax, and the Constitution only allows for two kinds of taxes. Direct taxes which must be apportioned amongst the states and indirect taxes (duties, imposts and excise taxes) which do not have to be apportioned. Indirect taxes (income taxes) cannot be imposed directly on the citizens. Paying your debt (taxes) with Federal Reserve "Notes" is not payment of the debt.

The evidence doesn't stop there! In their book "The Law That Never Was", Bill Benson and "Red" Beckman proved beyond a shadow of a doubt, with over 17,000 State certified documents that the 16th Amendment was never properly ratified. For example, according to the government, the State of Kentucky passed the 16th Amendment. However, the state certified documents of Kentucky, shows an actual vote of 9 yeas and 22 nays against the passage of the 16th Amendment. Once again the wool was pulled over our eyes by the wolf and we were fleeced again.

In a letter dated February 19, 1985 to Bill Benson, Attorney Andrew Spiegel wrote, "I have reviewed the documents you have obtained on the 'ratification' of the 16th Amendment. I put 'ratification' in quotation marks because it is apparent, from those documents, that the 16th Amendment was never properly ratified and therefore has never been part of our Constitution.

The documents you have obtained establish that a fraud of massive proportions was perpetrated on the people of this country in 1913 by Secretary of State Philander Knox and his staff. The documents establish that Knox and the Solicitor - his lawyer - knew the states HAD NOT ratified the amendment as proposed by Congress.

The enormity of the problem this situation presents to the federal government is obvious. The courts will have difficulty accepting these facts . . . The courts, which are suppose to be the staunch guardians of our Constitutional rights vis-à-vis the government will be hard-pressed to live up to that role. This is especially true in the event that individual judges and prosecutors persist in enforcing a law that was never really a law . . . To the extent such individuals persist, they may well become co-conspirators in the fraud which was perpetrated on the American people in 1913.

You deserve a lot of credit for the task you have undertaken. I want to thank you for being so dedicated to liberty."

Mr. Benson has tried to have these State certified documents admitted as evidence in various court cases. However, the courts have continually denied them as evidence. Unfortunately, Mr. Benson in his quest to prove the fraud to the American people, finally became another political prisoner in the Land of the FREE and the Home of the Brave.

Despite the over-whelming evidence, the paid traitors (judges) still try to maintain that the 16th Amendment was ratified and it removed the rule of apportionment and income taxes are a direct tax. However, the traitors miss two crucial points; the rule of apportionment was not repealed. Even if the 16th Amendment was ratified it does not give Congress the power to enforce the amendment by appropriate legislation. As it did with the other Constitutional amendments. Do you think this could be the reason Title 26 was never enacted into positive law?

What about State and local taxes?

Section 411 of 12 USCS reads as follows: "Federal reserve notes, to be issued at the discretion of the Federal Reserve Board for purpose of making advances to Federal Reserve banks . . . The said notes shall be obligations of the United States . . ."

According to 31 USC 742, "All stocks, bonds, Treasury notes, and other obligations of the United States [Federal Reserve Notes are debt obligations of the United States] shall be exempt from taxation by or under the State or municipal or local authority. This exemption extends to every form of taxation that would require that either the obligation or the interest thereon, or both, be considered, directly or indirectly, in the computation of the tax . . ." In other words, since Federal Reserve Notes are obligations of the United States under Section 411 of 12 USCS, they are exempt under 31 USC 742 from State, municipal and local taxes.

The Supreme Court of Arkansas made the following ruling on Jan. 19, 1925: "Levying a gross income tax on all incomes, including those derived from professions, businesses, and occupations of all kinds provides an occupation tax, and not a privilege tax and is invalid, under Constitution Article 16, Section 5: the state having no authority to tax for revenue occupations which are of common right . . ."

Licenses - State cannot tax occupation for state revenue purposes.

A constitutional provision defining and limiting the state's taxing power necessarily excludes what is not enumerated, and while the Legislature . . . it cannot tax such occupations for state revenue purposes in view of Article 16, Section 5 of the Constitution . . .

Taxation - Gross income held unconstitutional.

Acts 1923, No. 345, commonly designated as Riggs Income Tax Law, imposing gross income tax on all persons and corporations, is invalid, as violating Article 16, Section 5 of the Constitution, relating to imposition of taxes . . .

Taxation - Income tax held 'excise tax,' not prohibited by Constitution. An income tax is neither a property tax, nor a tax on occupations of common right, but is an excise tax and is not inhibited by Article 16, Section 5. Legislature has no power to declare a privilege and tax for revenue purposes on occupations that are of common right." (Sims, State Comptroller vs. Ahrens)

"A right common to every citizen such as the right to own property or to engage in business of a character not requiring regulation cannot, however, be taxed as a special franchise by first prohibiting its exercise and then permitting its enjoyment upon payment of a certain sum of money." (26 R.C.L.; Stevens vs. State, 2 Ark. 291;35 Am. Dec. 72)

I will briefly touch on the Social Security Tax that is being confiscated from your paycheck. We keep hearing the Social Security fund is broke and the Bank of International Settlements in Switzerland puts it succinctly: "The United States Government has borrowed every penny of the Social Security Reserve and spent it. The worker's cash was replaced by government bonds, which are merely the government IOU's. Like the gold in Fort Knox, their money is gone with the wind." (Gary Allen, "Tax Target: Washington")

It has been said, "The power to tax is the power to destroy." Our Constitution gave us the right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. Isn't working and being compensated for it a GOD given right protected by your Constitution? Didn't our Fore-Fathers protect us from taxation without

representation? Isn't that what the BOSTON TEA PARTY was all about? Maybe its time we started boiling the water for another TEA PARTY.

"All acts of the legislature apparently contrary to natural rights and justice are, in our law and must be in nature of things, consider void . . . We are in conscience bound to disobey." (Robin vs. Hardaway, 1 Jefferson 109, Va., 1772)

Since the Federal Reserve Notes are in violation of the Constitution, the debt created is unconstitutional. Therefore, any law that collects taxes of any kind to repay something that is illegal and unconstitutional is null and void. If you are paying taxes, you are aiding and abetting the crime.

The chart on the following page illustrates just how much the working class is being fleeced and why the rich get richer and the poor get poorer.

1971 TAX BURDEN

NAME	NET PROFITS BEFORE TAXES	% OF INCOME PAID
ITT	\$ 413,858,000	5
TEXACO	\$ 1,391,468,000	2.3
STANDARD OIL CA.	\$ 855,692,000	1.6
GULF OIL	\$ 1,324,914,000	2.3
ALCOA ALUMINUM	\$ 50,199,000	0
CONTINENTAL OIL	\$ 109,030,000	0
GULF WESTERN	\$ 51,381,000	0
MCDONALD DOUGLAS	\$ 144,631,000	0
RALPH SENTERS	\$ 7,372	16

(Washington Post, Rape of the Taxpayer)

These eight corporations had a combined net profit before taxes of \$4,341,173,000 (\$4.34 billion). If, they had paid taxes at the same rate that Ralph Senters paid, they would have paid \$694,587,680 (\$694.58 million). Instead, they paid a combined tax of \$95,060,758 (\$95.06 million). Nearly \$600 million less then if they had paid the same rate as a working man. This is enough reason in my book to have another Boston Tea Party. Imagine how much the top 500 corporations are getting by without paying. The rich get richer and the poor get poorer because the common everyday sucker (worker) is volunteering to pay "income taxes". Maybe Americans think it is their Patriotic Duty to support the COUNTERFEITING PONZI SCHEME OF THE MONEY-CHANGERS.

Now that the reader should have a good understanding of our bogus money and tax system, here is a test question: The following statement refers to the Central Bank of what country? "The free resources consist of all that is left over from what the people are permitted by the state to consume."

If you said America, you are absolutely incorrect! It refers to the Central bank of Russia. (Bank Structure of the U.S.S.R., from the Information Bureau of the Embassy of the U.S.S.R., published by American Affairs, Jan. 1945)

To illustrate just how far the deception has reached the minds of the American people we only need to ask this simple question: Are you a United States citizen? If you said YES, the chances are you a victim of the deception, unless you are an immigrant or was born in Washington D.C., Guam, Puerto Rico, America Samoa, a federal territory or federal state, or in a military hospital

etc., you probably are not a United States citizen. Article II, Section 1 of the Constitution makes a clear distinction between a natural born Citizen and a Citizen of the United States. A natural born Citizen is a member of the FREE SOVEREIGN, whereas citizens of the United States are not members of the SOVEREIGN but are federal citizens and subject to the jurisdiction of the federal government. There is a clear distinction between the United States and the United States of America. The United States is the federal government and its federal states and territories. The United States of America is the Union of the Republic of States called the United States of America. The distinction of the two classes of citizenship is found in the following:

Section 1785 of 20 Corpus Juris Secundum states, "The United States government is a foreign corporation with respect to a State."

Title I of U.S.C., Section 242, Noncitizens as: "Persons in the State not its citizens are either: (a) Citizens of other States; or (b) Aliens" [EDITOR'S NOTE: citizens of the foreign corporation known as the United States government are aliens.]

Section 1983, 853 of 42 USCS states, "Rights under USCS Section 1983 are for citizens of the United States and not of States." (Wadleigh vs. Newhall, 136 F 941)

Another clear distinction is made in 18 USC Criminal Code, Section 241, "is for Citizens of the 50 States."

"A Person is born subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, for purpose of acquiring citizenship at birth, if this occurs in a territory over which the United States is sovereign." (3A Am Jur 1420, Aliens and Citizens)

"The States are separate sovereigns with respect to the federal government." (Health vs. Ala. 474 U.S. 187)

Upon introducing the provisions which eventually became 18 U.S.C. 242 its sponsor, Senator Stewart, explicitly stated the bill protected all "persons within the jurisdiction of the United States." He noted that the bill "simply extends to foreigners, not citizens."

"People of the States are entitled to all rights which formerly belonged to the king by his prerogative." (Lansing vs. Smith, 21 D. 89)

"Under the democratic form of government now prevailing the People are King so the Attorney General's duties are to that Sovereign rather than to the machinery of government." (Hancock vs. Terry Elkhorn Mining Co, 530 S.W. 2d 710 Ky.)

Again the Courts declared We the People are Sovereign Kings, "Under our system [in America] the people, who are there [in England] called subjects, are here called sovereign." (United States vs. Lee, 106 U.S. 196)

To illustrate that our rights which formerly belonged to the king have been usurped by the federal government we need only to look at how we now own title to our land verse how our fore-fathers held title. They held Allodial Title which is defined as:

* ALLODIAL - "Free; not holden to any lord or superior without obligation of vassalage or fealty; the opposite of feudal."

* ALLODIUM - "Land held absolutely in one's own right, and not of any lord or superior; land not subject to feudal duties or burdens."

An estate held by absolute ownership, without recognizing any superior to whom any duty is due on account thereof."

* FEUDAL - "Pertaining to feuds or fees; relating to or growing out of the feudal system or feudal law; having the quality of a feud, as distinguished from allodial."

* FEUD - "An estate in land held by a superior on condition of rendering him services to the lord [government] or proprietor [MONEY-CHANGER], who himself retains the property in the lands [Allodial title]."

To further prove that we have become mere "feuds", we only need to look at how the land we own is titled, "Joint Tenancy," and "Tenants in Common". We are nothing more than TENANTS and pay an annual fee called property taxes for the right to be TENANTS on the land. When we stop paying the TENANT fees we are evicted from the land by the Sovereign King (government).

* SOVEREIGN PEOPLE - "The political body, consisting of the entire member of citizens and qualified electors who in their collective capacity, possess the powers of sovereignty and exercise them through their chosen representatives."

"United States citizenship does not entitle citizen to rights and privileges of States Citizenship Citizenship of the United States does not entitle citizen to privileges and immunities of citizen of State, since privileges and immunities of one are not the same as the other." (K. Tass et al. vs. Jordan, Secretary of State, et al., S.F. 12346)

A NATURAL BORN FREE SOVEREIGN CITIZEN has all the rights to hold allodial title to his land, thereby removing his land from property taxes (fees) etc. Do you think the King of England paid taxes on his allodial owned land? However, a federal citizen cannot own land by allodial title and is subject to property taxes etc. A federal citizen is nothing more than a feud to the federal government and the MONEY-CHANGERS. What has happened to allodial titles in America? By deception we all became federal (feudal) citizens under the 14th Amendment. Every government form we fill out asks if we are U.S. Citizens and not citizens of the United States of America. By ignorance and deception we claim to be feuds of the federal government and the agents, the MONEY-CHANGERS. Our feud (federal) citizenship was created and forced upon the nation by the fraudulent 14th Amendment.

"Both before and after the Fourteenth Amendment to the federal Constitution, it has not been necessary for a person to be a citizen of the United States in order to be a citizen of his State." (United States vs. Cruikshank, 92 U.S. 542, 549 23 L.Ed. 588)

"Purpose of the Fourteenth Amendment - The purpose of the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States was to confer the status of citizenship upon a numerous class of persons domiciled within the limits of the United States who could not be brought within the naturalization laws because native born, and whose birth, though native, had at the time left them without status of citizenship." (Valkenburg vs. Brown, District Court of the Seventh Judicial District, County of Solano, Jan. 1872) For a natural born free sovereign citizen to claim constitutional rights under the Fourteenth Amendment is absolutely insane. But the story of the Fourteenth Amendment does not end here.

Just like the Sixteenth Amendment was never ratified, neither was the Fourteenth, as we shall see from the following Congressional Record, 100 years after the so-called passage of the 14th Amendment:

"THE 14TH AMENDMENT EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW OR TOOL OF USURPATION"

Congressman Rarick - Arrogantly ignoring the clear cut expressions in the Constitution of the United States, the declared intent of its drafters notwithstanding, our unelected Federal judges read out prohibitions of the Constitution of the United States by adopting the fuzzy haze of the 14th amendment to legislate their personal ideas, prejudices, theories, guilt complexes, aims, and whims.

Through the cooperation of intellectual educators, we have subjected ourselves to accept destructive use and meanings of words and phrases. We blindly accept new meanings and changed values to alter our traditional thoughts.

We have tolerantly permitted the habitual misuse of words to serve as a vehicle to abandon our foundations and goals. Thus, the present use and expansion of the 14th amendment is a sham - serving as a crutch and hoodwink to precipitate a quasi-legal approach for over-throw of the tender balances and protections of limitation found in the Constitution . . .

As our politically appointed Federal judiciary proceeds down their chosen path of chaotic departure from the peoples' government by substituting their personal law rationalized under the 14th amendment, their actions and verbiage brand them and their teams as secessionists - rebels with pens instead of guns - seeking to divide our union.

They must be stopped. Public opinion must be aroused. The Union must and shall be preserved . . .

House Congressional Resolution 208

Whereas the purported 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution was never lawfully adopted in accordance with the requirements of the United States Constitution because eleven states of the Union were deprived of their equal suffrage in the Senate in violation of Article V, when eleven states were excluded from deliberation and decision in the adoption of the Joint Resolution proposing said 14th Amendment; said Resolution was not presented to the President of the United States in order that the same should take effect, as required by Article 1, Section 7; the proposed amendment was not ratified by three-fourths of the states, but to the contrary fifteen states of the then thirty-seven states of the Union rejected the proposed 14th Amendment between the dates of its submission to the states by the Secretary of the State on June 16, 1866 and March 24, 1868, thereby nullifying said Resolution and making it impossible for ratification by the constitutionally required three-fourths of such states; said southern states which were denied their equal suffrage in the Senate had been recognized by proclamations of the President of the United States to have dully constituted governments with all the powers which belong to free states of the Union, and the Legislatures of seven of said southern states had ratified the 13th Amendment which would have failed of ratification but for the ratification of seven southern states; and

The deception of everyone being a "federal" citizen of the United States started after the so-called passage of the Fourteenth Amendment. After the passage of the Thirteenth Amendment which freed the slaves, a very big problem arose, namely one of "citizenship". The newly freed slaves did not qualify for citizenship as a Natural Born Free Sovereign and therefore the Fourteenth Amendment was "supposedly" passed which gave the "federal" citizenship.

Whereas the Reconstruction Acts of Congress unlawfully overthrew their existing constituted legislatures by military force and replaced them with rump legislatures which carried out military orders and pretended to ratify the 14th Amendment; and

Whereas in spite of the fact that the Secretary of State in his first proclamation on July 20, 1868, expressed doubt as to whether three-fourths of the required states had ratified the 14th Amendment and directed the Secretary of State to so claim, said Joint Resolution of Congress and the resulting proclamation of the Secretary of State included the purported ratifications of the military enforced rump of ten southern states whose lawful legislatures had previously rejected the 14th Amendment, and also included purported ratifications by the legislatures of the states of Ohio and New Jersey although they had withdrawn their legislative ratifications several months previously. All of which proves absolutely that said 14th Amendment was not adopted in accordance with the mandatory constitutional requirements set forth in Article V of the Constitution and therefore the Constitution itself strikes with nullity the purported 14th Amendment.

THE 14TH AMENDMENT IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL

The purported 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution is and should be held to be ineffective, invalid, null, void and unconstitutional for the following reasons:

1. The Joint Resolution proposing said Amendment was not submitted to or adopted by a Constitutional Congress. Article I, Section 3, and Article V of the U.S. Constitution.
2. The Joint Resolution was not submitted to the President for his approval. Article I, Section 7.
3. The proposed 14th Amendment was rejected by more than one-fourth of all the States then in the Union, and it was never ratified by three-fourths of all the States in the Union. Article V." (Congressional Record June 13, 1967)

If after you are done reading this entire book and you still participate in this fraud, give me a call, I have stock in a macaroni farm for sale.

Edmund Burke told us how all this is possible when he said, "*The only thing necessary for evil to triumph is for good men to do nothing.*"

The Attorney who successfully challenged the Income Tax Act of 1894, Joseph H. Coate, told the Supreme Court, "The act of Congress which we are impugning before you is Communist in its purpose and functions . . . If the provisions of the Constitution can be set aside by an act of Congress, where is the course of usurpation to end? The current assault upon capital is but the beginning. It will be but the stepping stone to others, larger and more sweeping, until our political contest will become a war of the poor against the rich; a war growing intensity and bitterness."

If you want to stop the crime, put your ear to the ground and find a group of modern day patriots. There are millions of patriots out there. You just have to seek, and you will find them. If not, don't call yourself an American, because a true American will stand-up and fight until his death against these heinous acts being perpetrated against their Nation.

A Mockery of Justice

Our current court system has made a mockery of law and justice. Our judges have become mere pawns in the game of destroying our freedoms and making us indentured servants. Perhaps we should ponder for a few moments on these words from our Declaration of Independence: "He has made Judges dependent on his will alone, for the tenure of their offices and the amount and payment of their salaries." I say unto you that these same words hold just as true today, as they did when our fore-fathers declared them over 200 years ago.

The Judicial system no longer represents the people. Instead it protects the system from the people. When former Attorney General Ramsey Clark, asked the question, "*Who will protect the public when the government violates the law?*" Did he also give us the answer? The Sovereign is of course, the highest authority as to the interpretation of the spirit of the law, or as to questions concerning its enforcement. We The People through our power of the Grand Jury and Trial Jury are the two most important branches of our lawful government. We are the final check and balance of our government. We must judge not from the appearance of the law. We must judge with righteous judgment. We must judge whether the law itself is righteous.

The current jury selection process opens the door to stacking the deck (jury). The lawyers question the prospective jurors and each decides which ones would be more likely to convict or acquit the defendant.

A prime example of this occurred in Dallas, Texas. Whereas, a prospective juror, Dianna Brandborg refused to answer questions regarding her income, religious beliefs, the kind of T.V. programs she watches, and the kind of books she reads. Ms. Brandborg felt the questions were an invasion of her privacy and did not have anything to do with the murder trial. Apparently the judge disagreed and sentenced her to 3 days in jail for contempt of court. The judge felt these questions were necessary in order to assure a fair murder trial. (San Diego Union-Tribune, May 6, 1994)

The following is the oath the jury takes: Code of Civil Procedure, Section 232 (b), "As soon as the selection of the trial jury is completed, the following acknowledgment and agreement shall be obtained from the jurors, which shall be acknowledged, `I do':

Do you * * * and each of you understand and agree that you will well and truly try the cause now pending before the court, and a true verdict rendered according only to the evidence presented to you and to the instructions of the court." Why don't they take an oath to preserve and defend the Constitution?

As we can see from the juror oath, the judge sits there in his black robe with his gavel in his hand, and allows only what evidence, he decides will be admitted in his court. He strikes from the record statements made by witnesses and instructs jurors to disregard such statements. He gives instructions to the jury and tells them they can only judge the facts and evidence (that he allowed) and he will determine the law. His power over evidence and jury instructions can convict the innocent and acquit the guilty. If this is not "Jury tampering", then I wish someone would write to me and explain the difference.

To illustrate the power of the judge over the jury, let us take the widely published Rodney King trial and how jury instructions could be used to acquit the police officers. For example, if the instruction to the jury was as simple as, "If the officers felt they were using reasonable force, you must acquit them." What choice would the jury have other than acquittal? The officers defense was "they thought they were using reasonable force" and the jury was under oath to

follow the instructions of the judge. Like it or not, this is the kind of injustice we have in America today, because that was part of the jury instructions given by the judge.

Ladies and gentlemen, too many good people are being jailed as they try to battle the "kangaroo courts" on the court's terms. Worse yet, some are being murdered by the government without even being given a chance of a trial by jury. As the old saying goes, "How much justice (bribery) can you afford?"

The County Grand Jury is also stacked. In California each Grand Jury member is nominated by a Superior Court Judge and the Presiding Judge selects the Jury Foreman. The Grand Jury is then indoctrinated by the local District Attorney. The jury foreman screens all correspondence from the citizens to the grand jury. He alone determines what shall go before the different grand jury committees. In short, by plan and design the government, acting through the U.S. Attorney's office, the District Attorney's office, and the Department of Justice, has converted the Grand Jury and Trial jury procedures into acts of total oppression against the people, and in total destruction of their constitutional rights.

Now, let us look at how the judicial system for trial and/or grand jury selection is made under the Common Law and the Compiled Laws of California; Acts of the Legislature passed at the Sessions of 1850-1851-1852-1853: The names of 50 people are taken from the assessment roll of the county, each name is written on a separate piece of paper and placed in a box prepared by the county clerk for that purpose. In the presence of the county judge and sheriff, the county clerk draws the names of 12 persons to serve as jurors. The sheriff summons the 12 persons and the jury is seated. These legislative acts have never been repealed. I think you will agree, it would be hard to stack the jury using this method.

Under the Common Law the judge sits there with gavel in his hand to keep order in the court, to act as a referee and to answer any questions from the jury regarding the law.

Since the jurors are the ones who judge whether the defendant is guilty or innocent, all the evidence is submitted directly to the jury. They determine as individuals what evidence is relevant or irrelevant to the case. Not the judge! This simple common sense, Common Law prevents the judge from withholding (tampering) evidence from the jury.

The jurors, as individuals, determine what statements made by the witness are relevant, and have the right to question the witness. The jurors will make the final judgment of innocence or guilt. So, it only makes common sense that they have the right to leave no question go unanswered.

Finally, under the Common Law, the jury instructions given by the judge empowers the jury to judge not only the facts and evidence, but the power to judge the law. For example, if a law is passed that reads, "Police officers may strike any suspect up to 50 times in their head with a lead pipe and it shall be deemed to be reasonable force." Of course this is a ridiculous law. Under the common law the jury has the power to bring in a guilty verdict against a police officer who only strikes a suspect 49 times. The jury is the final check and balance. This power is secured under Article 1 Section 9 of the California Constitution (1849), ". . . and the jury shall have the right to determine the law and the fact."

The Supreme Court had this to say about the power of the jury, ". . . it is presumed, that the juries are the best judges of facts; it is on the other hand, presumed that the courts are the best judges of law. But still both objects are within your power of decision . . . You [the jury] have a right to take upon yourself to judge both, and to determine the law as well as the facts in controversy." (State of Georgia vs. Brailsford)

In U.S. vs. Dougherty, the court declared the jury as a, ". . . constitutional thorn in the flesh . . . to the court because one Juror, standing firm, saying NOT GUILTY can strike down ANY law. The Court has ruled that the Jury has the power, but they are NOT being told of their power and right. The court went on to say, "The jury has an unreviewable and unreversible power . . . to acquit in disregard to the instructions on the law by a trial judge . . . The pages of history shine on instances of the jury's exercise of its prerogative to disregard instructions of the judge . . . Jury lawlessness is the greatest corrective of law in its actual administration. The will of the state at large imposed on a reluctant community, the will of a majority imposed on a vigorous and determined minority; find the same obstacle in the local JURY that formerly confronted kings and ministers."

Thomas Jefferson said, "I consider trial by jury as the only anchor ever yet imagined by man, by which government can be held to the principles of its Constitution."

Alexander Hamilton proclaimed, "Jurors should acquit even against the judges instructions . . . if exercising their judgment with discretion and honesty they have a clear conviction that the charge of the court is wrong."

Lord Denman declared, "Every jury in the land is tampered with and falsely instructed by the judge when it is told it must take as law that which is given to them, or that they must bring on a certain verdict, or that they can only decide the facts of the case."

As John Jay, 1st Chief Justice U.S. Supreme Court, put it, "The jury has the right to judge both the law as well as the fact in controversy." In other words, the jury can find a man NOT GUILTY of breaking a bad law. It is called "jury nullification."

"The jury who shall try the cause shall have the right to determine the law and the fact, under the directions of the court." (Sect. 3, of The Act of Congress of July 14, 1798, C 74)

The power of jury nullification is confirmed by the courts in the case of U.S. vs. Moylan, 417 F 2d 1002, 1006 (1969): "We recognize, as appellants urge, the undisputed power of the jury to acquit, even if the verdict is contrary to the law as given by the judge, and contrary to the evidence. This is a power that must exist as long as we adhere to the general verdict in criminal cases, for the courts cannot search the minds of the jurors to find the basis upon which they judge. If the jury [one juror] feels the law under which the defendant is accused is unjust, or the exigent circumstances justified the actions of the accused, or for any reason which appeals to their logic or passion, the jury has the power to acquit and the courts must abide by that decision."

"The common law right of the jury to determine the law as well as the facts remains unimpaired." (State vs. Croteau, 23 Vt, 14, 54)

John Adams said, "It is not only . . . [the trial juror's] right, but his duty, in that case, to find the verdict according to his best understanding, judgment, and conscience, though in direct opposition to the direction of the court."

"It seems that the court instructs the juries, in criminal cases, not to bind their consciences, but to inform their judgments, but they are not in duty bound to adopt its opinion as their own." (Lynch vs. State, 9 Ind 541)

"The jury have a right to disregard the opinion of the court, in a criminal case, even on questions of law, if they are fully satisfied that such opinion is wrong." (People vs. Videto, Cr. R. 603)

Lysander Spooner maintained, "For more than six hundred years - that is, since the Magna Carta, in 1215, there has been no clearer principle of English or American constitutional law, than in criminal cases, it is not only the right and duty of juries to judge what are the facts, what is the law, and what was the moral intent of the accused; but that it is also their right, and their primary and paramount duty, to judge of the justice of law, and to hold all laws invalid, that are, in their opinion unjust or oppressive, and all persons guiltless in violating, or resisting the execution of, such laws." ("An Essay on the Trial by Jury", 1852)

"It is universally conceded that a verdict of acquittal, although rendered against the instructions of the judge, is final, and cannot be set aside; and consequently that the jury have the legal power to decide for themselves the law involved in the general issue of guilty or not guilty." (Hansen vs. U.S., 156 U.S. 51, 172)

"In criminal cases, the jury are the judges of the law as well as the facts; and it is error in the court to restrict them to the law as given in charge by the court." (McGuthrie vs. State, 17 Ga. 497)

Let us take a look at what how Webster's Dictionary defined the word "Jury" in 1828 and how Black's Law Dictionary defines it in 1994.

Jury - "A number of freeholder's, selected in the manner prescribed by law, empaneled and sworn to inquire into and try any matter of fact, and to declare the truth on the evidence given them in the case . . . consisting usually of twelve men, attend courts to try matters of fact in civil causes, and to decide both the law and the fact in criminal prosecutions." (Webster's, 1828)

Jury - "A certain number of men and women selected according to law, and sworn to inquire of certain matters of fact, and to declare the truth upon evidence laid before them . . . see jury instructions." (Black's Law, 1994)

Jury Instructions - "A direction given by the judge to the jury concerning the law of the case; a statement made by the judge to the jury informing them of the law applicable to the case in general or some aspect of it; an exposition or the rules or principles of law applicable to the case or some branch or phase of it, which the jury are bound to accept." (Black's Law, 1994)

Isn't it amazing how the lawyers have changed the definition?

We refer to the trial judge as "the judge" but, this cannot be the case in a jury trial. In a jury trial the judges are twelve in number and, are seated in the jury box. They are the ones who will judge the facts and evidence as they see it, not as somebody else sees it.

Samuel Chase, U.S. Supreme Court Justice and signer of the Declaration of Independence had this to say, "The jury has the right to determine both the law and the facts."

Supreme Court Justice, Oliver Wendell Holmes said, "The jury has the power to bring a verdict in the teeth of both the law and the facts." (Horning vs. D.C.)

Harlan Stone, 12th Chief Justice U.S. Supreme Court declared, "The law itself is on trial quite as much as the cause which is to be decide."

The Honorable Parsons had this to say at the Massachusetts convention in 1788, "The people themselves have it in their power effectually to resist usurpation, without being driven to an appeal to arms [revolution]. An act of usurpation is not obligatory; it is NOT law; and any man may be justified in his resistance. Let him be considered as a criminal by the general

government, yet only his fellow citizens can convict him; they're his jury, and if they pronounce him innocent, not ALL the powers of Congress can hurt him; and innocent they certainly will pronounce him if the supposed law he resisted was an act of usurpation."

"If a juror accepts the law that which the judge states to them that juror has accepted the exercise of absolute authority of a government employee and has surrendered a power and right that once was the citizens safeguard of liberty. For the saddest epitaph which can be carved in memory of a vanished liberty is that which is lost because its possessors failed to stretch forth a saving hand while yet there was time." (2 Elliot's Debates)

Thomas Jefferson proclaimed, *"I know of no safe depository of the ultimate powers of the society but the people themselves, and if we think them not enlightened enough to exercise their control with a wholesome discretion, the remedy is not to take it from them, but to inform them."*

"If the Government dictate the standard of trial . . . it dictates the results of the trial." L. Spooner (An Essay on the Trial by Jury)

Despite the overwhelming evidence of the power of the jury. The following memo was issued to all jurors by Presiding Judge Donald Londer, Fourth Judicial District, Multnomah County:

"As you entered the courthouse you may have been given a handout by a person on the sidewalk. That material suggests that as a juror, you have the legal right ignore the judge's jury instructions in your deliberation.

This information is absolutely wrong.

As a Juror, you will take an oath that you will `render a true verdict according to the law and evidence given you during the trial'

This is a solemn pledge which binds each of you to follow established legal procedures that have existed for over 200 years.

The jury system is the most precious component of our democratic society. It is based upon the fundamental belief that citizen jurors should evaluate the trial evidence and then apply to that evidence the law as given to them by the trial judge.

As your presiding Judge, I urge each of you to conscientiously abide by your jurors oath."

Perhaps someone should tell this tin god of a judge about the plaque near courtroom number 5 of Old Bailey in London, the memorial has the following words inscribed:

"Near this site William Penn and William Meade were tried in 1670 for preaching to an unlawful assembly . . .

This tablet commemorates the courage and endurance of the jury . . . who refused to give a verdict against them although they [the jury] were locked up without food for two nights, and were fined for their final verdict of not guilty. The case of these jurymen was reviewed on a writ of habeas corpus and Chief Justice Vaughan delivered the opinion of the court which established the right of juries to give their verdict according to their convictions."

In Mayville, New York, Chautauqua County Town Justice Edward Misfud told us in plain language how his court feels about following the Law, "I may be breaking the laws, but that is the ruling of this court." With justice like this who needs tyranny?

I think things would be quite different if, Americans would take on the spirit that our ancestors had back in the Old West. In the 1800's, when a judge came to town and heard a case and decided the case with righteous judgment, he jumped on his horse and rode off to the next town. If he decided the case with the same attitude as our current judges decide cases, he never made it to the next town. Consequently, the judges of the Old West were very careful about tampering with the jury or in the rendering of their own verdicts.

Isn't the current system of justice demonstrating contempt for Americans by not telling the jurors what true powers they possess, and flagrantly breaking the law? It really does not seem to matter to them, they think they are above the law?

Doesn't all this make you wonder why judges wear "black" robes? Black is a symbol of deception and darkness.

Abraham Lincoln said, "We the people are the rightful masters of both Congress and the courts - not to overthrow the Constitution, but to overthrow the men who pervert the Constitution."

In his book "HOW COURTS GOVERN AMERICA", Judge Richard Neely, of the West Virginia Supreme Appellate Court probably summed up our current judicial system best, when he wrote these words, "Lawyers, certainly, who take seriously recent Supreme Court historical scholarship as applied to the Constitution also probably believe in the Tooth Fairy and the Easter Bunny."

Judge Neely goes on to write, "Police officers routinely lie, particularly to save their own skins, and when they do, they make credible witnesses."

More recently, at a campaign meeting for District Attorney candidate, Mr. Paul Pfingst of San Diego, his spokesman made the following speech: The police officers must drum up additional charges so during plea-bargaining, we will have some leverage. Imagine a candidate for the office of District Attorney who supports this kind of flagrant abuse of power by officers of the law who have sworn to uphold the law, not to pervert the law. He will make one hell of a D.A., he'll fit right in with the rest of the low-life corrupt judges and politicians. San Diegan's should be proud to have a D. A. with such fine ethics and honesty prosecuting the lawless criminals.

Ladies and gentlemen, the current system of justice has nothing to do with justice, it has become nothing more than another source for collecting revenue from the people. This revenue collecting enterprise has even gone as far as creating "Victimless Crimes." Think about it! How can someone possibly be guilty of committing a crime without there being a victim to the crime? I think you will agree, if there is no victim, then no crime could have been committed. Isn't that the way it should be? Yet, the good old lawyers and politicians found a way to get around the necessity of having a victim. They made the criminal the "Victim" to the crime.

* Victimless Crime - "Term applied to a crime which generally involves only the criminal, and which has no direct victim."

* Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition

A wise man once said, "You can only protect your liberties by protecting the other mans freedom. You can only be free if I am FREE." When We The People are guarding the chicken coop, we can enforce any precept that should be our will. So, if you are chosen for jury duty,

remember, you have the final say. YOU ARE THE SUPREME AUTHORITY and THE FINAL CHECK and BALANCE AGAINST TYRANNY!

If you are selected for grand jury duty, call for an investigation of the Federal Reserve, the judicial system, the District Attorney's office etc. USE YOUR POWER TO INDICT!

If you are called for trial jury forget what the Judge has to say, he is only there as a referee, and to answer questions concerning the law. Say "NOT GUILTY" to bad laws and indict those who seek to usurp the Constitution. Be faithful to your countrymen and become a thorn in the flesh to those who seek to overthrow your liberties. Remember, you are free only when your fellow countrymen are free. Do not let them know, you have the power. Otherwise, they would never let serve on the jury to guard the chicken coop.

An American Dictator

"There can be no liberty - if the power of judging be not separated from the legislative and executive powers." (Searle vs. Yenson, 118 Neb. 835, 226 N.W. 464, 69 2D 953)

Now, let us take a look at the areas of jurisdictions allowed under the Constitution to see if, we can find out what has happened to justice in America.

Common Law - In order to have criminal penalties, there must be an injured party [victim].

Equity Law - Deals with contracts, criminal penalties cannot be invoked under equity jurisdiction.

Admiralty/Maritime - Admiralty is military law and maritime is the laws of the seas. Whereas, the captain of the ship makes all laws and contracts. Criminal penalties can be invoked without an injured party. For example, the captain can issue an order to, "wash the deck or walk the plank".

Now, let us take a simple traffic ticket for "failure to wear a seat belt" and see if we can determine which of the three jurisdictions is being used by the courts. According to the state of California, the person has broken the law and committed a crime for which the state imposes a \$100 fine. The real question is, "Who is the injured party?"

The answer is obvious, no one has been injured and the law says no injured party, no crime. The evidence speaks for itself. Therefore, the common law cannot be the jurisdiction being used by the courts for not wearing a seat belt.

The courts cannot use equity jurisdiction because it does not provide for criminal penalties.

Maritime jurisdiction does provide criminal penalties without an injured party. However, there is one major problem, maritime is the law of the seas, not of the land.

Could it be the courts are using a "secret" jurisdiction? If so, what would prevent passing a law under a secret jurisdiction requiring all citizens to pay \$500 a year for a license to watch T.V.? What would prevent them from giving the police the power to enter anyone's house and demand to see their "T.V. WATCHING LICENSE"? Furthermore, what would prevent them from passing a law that, failure to have a T.V. WATCHING LICENSE, would be a crime punishable by 6 months in jail and/or a \$1,000 fine for the first offense, \$5,000 fine and/or 5 years in jail for the second offense, and upon the third conviction, the person is deemed a habitual criminal and sentenced to life imprisonment? Of course the law just described is pretty far-fetched. Under a secret jurisdiction what would prevent the passing and enforcement of such a law? What would prevent the judge from giving this instruction to the jury, "If the defendant did not have a T.V. Watching License, you must find him guilty?" So, off he goes, directly to jail, does not pass go, to spend the rest of his life in jail. The common law was created to prevent usurpation of rights by giving the power to the jury to determine both the facts and the law.

By the way, this far-fetched T.V. Watching License is required by law in England. (Inside Edition, May 3, 1994)

By now it must be obvious to the reader that the jurisdiction being used by the courts for not wearing a seat belt or not having a T.V. Watching License, cannot be common law, equity law or maritime law. Therefore, it must be a secret jurisdiction. So, let us take a look inside the court

room to see if we can find any clues to the secret. As we open the door and step inside we see chairs for the spectators, the jury box, the plaintiff and defendant tables, the witness stand, the judge's bench and the American and State flags. Everything has the appearance of being a "lawful" courtroom. Until we take a closer look at the flag and discover a gold-fringe around it. The gold-fringe is not for decoration. The gold-fringe represents the Executive Branch, it is the flag of the Commander-In-Chief and only flies where the President has jurisdiction. It is a military flag. This is confirmed in 35 Am Jur 2d, Flag Sections 1 & 7, "Placing of fringe on the flag, is not a matter of detail controlled by statute, but are within the discretion of President as Commander-In -Chief of Army and Navy." The questions we should be asking are, why is the Executive Branch flying its flag in the Judiciary and, is the secret jurisdiction Admiralty/Martial Law? Unfortunately, Martial Law is the only jurisdiction it can be. When martial law is imposed upon the nation, the Constitution is suspended along with our rights and liberties. Most Americans find this truth hard to swallow. Before I submit the evidence that Martial Law Rule is in effect, I would like to quote Frederick Douglass, 1857

"Those who profess to favor freedom, and yet deprecate agitation, are men who want crops without plowing up the ground. They want rain without thunder or lightening. They want the ocean without the roar of its waters.

This struggle may be a moral one; or it may be a physical one; or it may be both moral and physical; but it must be a struggle. Power concedes nothing without demand.

Find out just what people will submit to, and you have found out the exact amount of injustice and wrong which will be imposed upon them; and these will continue until they are resisted with either words or blows, or with both.

The limits of tyrants are prescribed by the endurance of those whom they oppress."

Here is the real bomb shell; the "Act" of October 6, 1917, used by Roosevelt to confiscate our gold was the "Trading With The Enemy Act". They have declared a COVERT-WAR on us and we have been sleeping right through it.

According to the Supreme Court decision of *Stoehr vs. Wallace*, 1921: "The Trading with the Enemy Act, originally and as amended, is strictly a war measure, and finds its sanction in the provision empowering Congress 'to declare war, grant letters of marque and reprisal and make all rules concerning captures on land and water' Const. Art. I, Sect. 8, cl. 11." Since the "Act" is strictly a war measure and America was not at war in 1933, who were the enemies of the Federal Government of the United States under the "Trading With The Enemy Act" as amended. Go take a look in the mirror!

Here is further proof that Martial Law Rule and a Covert-WAR has been DECLARED and is in effect in the United States of America!

On October 6, 1917, at the beginning of America's involvement in World War I, Congress passed the "Trading with the Enemy Act", empowering the government to take control over any and all commercial, monetary or business transactions. In Section 17 of the "Act" we find the following orders: "That the district courts of the United States are hereby given jurisdiction to make and enter all such rules as to notice and otherwise; and all such orders and decrees; and to issue such process as may be necessary and proper in the premises to enforce the provisions of this Act."

These powers were continued after the war via "The Trading With the Enemy Act", as mended, and the "Agricultural Adjustment Act, Emergency Powers" of May 12, 1933, by President Franklin

D. Roosevelt. Whereas, he said, "We will never go back to the old order." In other words, we will not return to the Constitution. As I shall prove.

Remember, President and TRAITOR Roosevelt used the authority of "Trading With The Enemy Act", which according to the Supreme Court is strictly a war measure to confiscate the Gold from the person you saw in the mirror, the ENEMY. The "Act" prescribes for orders, regulations, rules, and licensing of the Enemy. On December 20, 1937 the Supreme Court became a party in the "Covert-War" with this declaration:

"My Dear Mr. Attorney General:

By direction of the Supreme Court, I transmit to you herein with the Rules of Civil Procedure for the District Courts of the United States which have been adopted by the Supreme Court pursuant to the Act . . .

In accordance with Section 2 of that Act, the Court has united the general rules prescribed by it for cases in equity with those in actions at law so as to secure one form of civil action and procedure for both. The Court requests you, as provided in that section, to report these rules to the Congress at the beginning of the next session in January next.

I am requested to state that Mr. Justice Brandeis does not approve of the adoption of the rules. I have the honor to remain,

Respectfully yours,

(signed) Charles E. Hughes,

Chief Justice of the United States"

The powers to regulate, license, etc. under the "Trading with the Enemy Act" is also reflected in Section 3366 of the Political Code which provides: "Boards of supervisors of the counties of the state, and the legislative bodies of incorporated cities and towns therein, shall, in the exercise of their police powers, and for the purpose of regulation, as herein provided, and not otherwise, have the power to license all and every kind of business not prohibited by law, and transacted and carried on within their respective jurisdictions . . ."

Perhaps, now you know why virtually every aspect of our every day life is governed by rules, and regulations. And why it takes a permit or license for you (the ENEMY) to do anything in America.

* Permit - "In general, any document which grants a person the right to do something. A license or grant of authority to do a thing. A written license or warrant, issued by a person in authority, empowering the grantee to do some act not forbidden by law, but not allowable without such authority.

See also Certificate, License, Special use permit."

* Permission - "A license to do a thing; an authority to do an act which, without authority, would have been unlawful. An act of permitting, formal consent, authorization, leaves, license or liberty granted . . ." [Editor's Note: In essence, it is not against the law, but you can't do it until you obtain permission from the master. And if you do it without permission, you are breaking the law. I thought the Constitution said our rights are inalienable. So why do we have to ask for permission to exercise a right to do something that is not against the Law? Do they think they're our God?]

* Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition

In Senate Report 93-549 of 1973, we will find these true but yet startling words: "Since March the 9th, 1933, the United States has been in a state of declared national emergency (WAR). . . For 40 years, freedoms and governmental procedures guaranteed by the Constitution have, in varying degrees, been abridged by laws brought into force by states of national emergency . . . This vast range of powers, taken together, confer enough authority to rule the country without reference to normal constitutional processes. Under the powers delegated by these statutes, the President may: seize property; organize and control the means of production; seize commodities; assign military forces abroad; institute martial law; regulate the operation of private enterprise; and, in a plethora of particular ways, control the lives of all American citizens . . . Enormous scope of powers . . . A time bomb."

The report goes on to read: "Furthermore, it would be a largely futile task unless we have the President's active collaboration. Having delegated this authority to the president - in ways that permit him to determine how long it shall continue, simply through the device of keeping declarations alive - we now find ourselves in a position where we cannot reclaim the power without the President's acquiesce. We are unable to terminate these declarations without the President's signature, so we need a large measure of Presidential cooperation . . .

If the President can create crimes by fiat and without Congressional approval our system is not much different from that of the Communists, which allegedly threatens our existence."

Congressman Beck had this to say about the Emergency Powers, "But the Constitution of the United States, as refraining influence in keeping the federal government within the carefully prescribed channels of power, is moribund, if not dead. We are witnessing its death-agonies, for when this bill becomes a law, if unhappily it becomes a law, there is no longer any workable Constitution to keep Congress within the limits of its Constitutional powers." (Congressional Record, March 9, 1933)

Senator Church made this remarkable statement, "Like a loaded gun laying around the house, the plethora of delegated authority and institutions to meet almost every kind of conceivable crisis stand ready for use for purposes other than their original intention . . . Machiavelli, in his "Discourses of Livy," acknowledged that a great power may have to be given to the Executive if the State is to survive but warned of great dangers in doing so. He cautioned; Nor is it sufficient if this power be conferred upon good men; for men of frail, and easily corrupted, and then in a short time, he that is absolute may easily corrupt the people . . . These powers, if exercised, would confer upon the President total, authority to do anything he pleased." (Senate Report 93-549)

Executive Order 11677 signed by President Nixon on August 1, 1972 also exercised the "Trading With The Enemy Act": "By virtue of the authority vested in the President of the United States, including Section 5 (b) of the Act October 6, 1917, as amended.."

Speaking of the powers of the President under Executive Orders, Senator Mathias declared, "So I rather think, that under the concepts of Nuremburg, the answer to your question is: That one who is called upon to enforce the orders of the President would look to the Constitution and be guided by it. Otherwise, he would suffer the penalties of Nuremburg." (Senate Report 93-549) In other words, if anyone is called upon to enforce the unlawful, unconstitutional Executive Orders, they would be guilty of TREASON! And must be hung!

From the case of United States vs. Butler we find these disturbing but nevertheless true words: "If the novel view of the General Welfare Clause now advanced in support of the tax were accepted, this clause would not only enable Congress to supplant the states in the regulation of

agriculture and all other industries as well, but would furnish the means whereby all other provisions of the Constitution, sedulously framed to define and limit the powers of the United States and preserve the powers of the states, could be broken down, the independence of the individual states is obliterated, and the United States converted into a central government exercising uncontrolled police power throughout the union superseding all local control over local concerns."

Although Public Law 94-412 of September 14, 1976 did repeal certain emergency powers and other statutes, it did not repeal Section 5(b) of the "Trading With The Enemy Act", as amended, 12 U.S.C. 95a; 50 U.S.C. App. 5 (b).

The Supreme Court of the United States in *ex parte Milligan* had to say about martial law, "Martial law cannot arise from a threatened invasion. The necessity must be actual and present, the invasion real, such as effectually closes the courts and deposes the civil administration."

Chief Justice Chase had this to say, "We by no means assert that Congress can establish and apply the laws of war where no war has been declared or exists. Where peace exists, the laws of peace must prevail." Does war exist in the United States today? Yes it does, and you have been declared the enemy!

President Johnson's veto message of the "Reconstruction Act" that placed the Nation under Martial Law Rule for the first time in our nation's history is enlightening and reads, in part as follows:

"I have examined the bill 'to provide for more efficient government of the Rebel States' with the care and anxiety which its transcendent importance is calculated to awaken. I am unable to give my assent for reasons so grave that I hope a statement of them may have some influence on the minds of the patriotic and enlightened men with whom the decision must ultimately rest.

The bill places all the people therein named under the absolute domination of military rules; and the preamble undertakes to give the reason upon which the measure is based and the ground upon which it is justified. It declares that there exists in those States no legal governments and no adequate protection for life or property, and asserts the necessity of enforcing peace and good order within their limits. This is not true as a matter of fact.

The excuse given for the bill in the preamble is admitted by the bill itself not to be real. The military rule which it establishes is plainly to be used, not for any purpose of order or the prevention of crime, but solely as a means of coercing the people into the adoption of principles and measures to which it is known that they are opposed, and upon which they have an undeniable right to exercise their own judgment.

I submit to Congress whether this measure is not in its whole character, scope, and object without precedent and without authority, in palpable conflict with the plainest provisions of the Constitution, and utterly destructive to those great principles of liberty and humanity for which our ancestors on both sides of the Atlantic have shed so much blood, and expended so much treasure.

The authority here amounts to absolute despotism. Such a power has not been wielded by any Monarch in England for more than five hundred years. It reduces the whole population - all persons, of every color, sex, and condition, and every stranger to the most abject and degrading slavery. No master ever had a control so absolute over the slaves as this bill gives . . .

This proposition is perfectly clear, that no branch of the Federal Government - executive, legislative, or judicial - can have any just powers except those which it derives through and exercises under the organic laws of the Union. Outside of the Constitution we have no legal authority more than private citizens, and within it we have only so much as that instrument gives us. This broad principle limits all our functions and applies to all subjects. It protects not only the citizens of the States which are within the Union, but shields every human being who comes or is brought under our jurisdiction. We have no right to do in one place more than in another that which the Constitution says we shall not do at all . . . When an absolute sovereign reduces his rebellious subjects, he may deal with them according to his pleasure, because he had that power before. But when a limited monarch puts down an insurrection, he must still govern according to law . . . This is a bill by Congress in time of peace. There is not in any one of the States either war or insurrection. The laws of the States and of the Federal Governments are all in undisturbed and harmonious operation. Actual war, foreign invasion, domestic insurrection - none of the appear; and none of these, in fact exists. It is not even recited that any sort of war or insurrection is threatened.

We see that martial law comes in only when actual war closes the courts and deposes civil authority; but this bill, in time of peace, makes martial law operate as though we were in actual war, and becomes the cause instead of the consequence of the abrogation of civil authority . . . This is sufficiently explicit. Peace exists in all the territory to which this bill applies. It asserts a power in Congress, in time of peace, to set aside laws of peace and to substitute the laws of war . . . The purpose and object of the bill - the general intent which pervades it from beginning to end - is to change the entire structure and character of the States Governments and to compel them by force to the adoption of organic laws and regulations which they are unwilling to accept if left to themselves . . ."

Despite President Johnson's veto message, the Reconstruction Act was passed and Martial Law fell upon the land. It remains in effect over the Citizens of the Sovereign States today, through the continued use of Executive Orders under the pretense of national emergencies. Further proof that MARTIAL LAW remained in effect after the Civil War can be found in the Congressional Globe (now called the Congressional Record). The following are excerpts from the April 20-29, 1870 Congressional Globe concerning H.R. 1328 which established the Department of Justice to continue to carry out Martial Law nearly five years after the end of the Civil War:

"The following bureaus shall be established in this department [Department of Justice]: a bureau of international law; a bureau of revenue law; a bureau of military and naval law; a bureau of postal law; a bureau of land management law. . ."

Congressman Lawrence: "This bill, however, does transfer to the law department, or the Department of Justice as it is now called, the cognizance of all subjects of martial law, and the cognizance of all subjects of military and naval law, except that portion of the administration of military justice which relates to military court martial, their proceedings, and the supervision of records. If a question of martial law is to be determined by the law officers of Government it will now belong to the Attorney General or to this department of justice. It will not belong to the Judge Advocate General of the Army. He will not be called upon for any opinion relating to martial law or military law, except as to that portion of the administration of military law which relates to military justice. In other words, the Judge Advocate General, instead of giving legal opinions to the Secretary of War relating to the status of the States of the Union, their right to call upon the Government for military protection or military aid, and other grave constitutional questions, will be limited. The Judge Advocate General will perform duties administrative in their character and almost exclusively so. [Editor's Note: This is nothing but typical double talk from the lips of a politician. Out of one side of his mouth, the Congressman said "all subjects of martial law and military law is transferred to the Department of Justice." And out of the other side of his mouth he said, "This bill does not interfere with the Judge Advocate of the Army or

his assistants so far as their official existence is concerned." The key words are their official existence is concerned. In other words, they officially exist but only with administrative duties. Its call appeasement, as we shall see from the following statement.]

But I will state to the House why, in my judgment, no transfer of the Judge Advocate General or of his duties to the Department of Justice has been proposed in this bill. If this had been done the bill would have encountered the opposition of some of the officers of the Bureau of Military Justice and their friends, and so great is the power of men in office, so difficult is it to abolish an office, that we were compelled in the consideration of this subject to leave officers in this bureau untouched in their official tenure in order that this bill might get through Congress. But so far as the solicitor and naval Judge Advocate General is concerned, he is transferred with all his supervisory power over naval court martials, and the records and proceedings of such courts; so that to that extent this bill accomplishes the great purpose which it has in view of bringing into one department the whole legal service of the Government. . . It is unfortunate that there should be different constructions of the laws of the United States by different law officers of the United States."

These traitors knew they would have encountered a opposition from the military, so they decided to leave the military officers untouched during their "tenure" and transfer them to supervisory positions over court martials. This appeased the military leaders who didn't have the foggiest idea as to what was really going on. Had the traitors fleeced the military of all of their powers during their tenure in office they would have realized something was happening at the hen house and would have possibly taken military action. Unfortunately for America, our military leaders slept right through the over-throwing of the Constitution.

The traitors were now faced with a serious problem, namely what to do with the powers of the office of the Judge Advocate General when their tenure in office expired. They solved this dilemma by adding the following amendments:

"Congressman Jenckes: I move to amend section three by inserting the word `naval' before the words Judge Advocate General."

The amendment was agreed to and later Congressman Finkelburg stated: "I would suggest the propriety of amending the third section of this bill by inserting after the words `the naval solicitor and naval Judge Advocate General' the words `who shall hereafter be know as naval solicitor."

Mr. Jenckes: I have no objection to that amendment."

This amendment was also agreed to and the office of the Judge Advocate General became know as the naval solicitor. Thus, when the existing tenure was over, the new office would have a different set of rules and regulations so that the bill accomplished the great purpose which it had in view of bringing into one department the whole legal service of the Government, without the power of the office of the Judge Advocate General getting in their way. This was a necessary step to bring the President into the position of DICTATOR over America. But they had one other problem facing them, namely direct access to the Treasury for the Department of Justice without interference. They accomplished this by the following three sections of the bill:

"The eighth section provides that the Attorney General is hereby empowered to make all necessary rules and regulations for the government.

The eleventh section provides that all moneys hereafter drawn out of the Treasury upon requisition of the Attorney General shall be disbursed by such one of the clerks herein provided

for the Attorney General as he may designate; and so much of the first section of the act making appropriations, passed March 3, 1859, as provides that money drawn out of the Treasury upon requisition of the Attorney General shall be disbursed by such disbursing officer as the Secretary of the Treasury is hereby repealed.

The fifteenth section provides that the supervisory powers now exercised by the Secretary of the Interior over the accounts of the district attorneys, marshalls, clerks, and other officers of the courts of the United States shall be exercised by the Attorney General"

Remember earlier in the chapter under the "Trading with the Enemy Act" the district courts of the United States are hereby given jurisdiction to make and enter all such rules as to notice and otherwise; and all such orders and decrees; and to issue such process as may be necessary and proper in the premises to enforce the provisions of this Act. And now we find out that the district attorneys, marshalls, clerks, and other officers of the courts are under the Department of Justice.

Here is another test: Under what branch of government are the following departments?

The Department of State

(a) executive (b) legislative (c) judicial

The Department of Treasury

(a) executive (b) legislative (c)judicial

The Department of Defense

(a) executive (b) legislative (c)judicial

The Department of Justice

(a) executive (b) legislative (c)judicial

The Department of Interior

(a) executive (b) legislative (c)judicial

The Department of Agriculture

(a) executive (b) legislative (c)judicial

The Department of Commerce

(a) executive (b) legislative (c)judicial

The Department of Health Education and Welfare

(a) executive (b) legislative (c)judicial

The Department of Housing and Urban Development

(a) executive (b) legislative (c)judicial

The Department of Transportation

(a) executive (b) legislative (c)judicial

The Department of Energy

(a) executive (b) legislative (c)judicial

The Department of Education

(a) executive (b) legislative (c)judicial

The Department of Veteran Affairs

(a) executive (b) legislative (c)judicial

The answer is found under Section 101 of Title 5 of the United States Code: All of the above departments are under the Executive Branch. Which raises a few more questions: Where is the separation of powers if the Department of Justice is under the Executive Branch? Shouldn't it be part of the Judiciary?; If only Congress has the power regulate commerce (Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution), why are the Department of Commerce and Department of Transportation under the Executive Branch and not under the Legislative Branch?

* Commerce Department - "Part of the Executive branch of federal government headed by a cabinet member (Secretary of Commerce) which is concerned with promoting domestic and international business and commerce."

To further illustrate the take-over by the Executive Branch of government via Martial Law, the following are under the Department of Justice:

Solicitor General

Office of Solicitor General

FBI

DEA

Bureau of Prisoners

Immigration and Naturalization

U.S. Marshall Service

Office of Justice Program

United States Parole Commission

United States National Central Bureau

Interpol
Office of the Pardon Attorney
Executive office of the United States Attorney
Criminal Division
Civil Division
Anti-Trust Division
Civil Rights Division
Tax Division
Environmental and Natural Resource Division
Community Relations Services
Foreign Claim Settlement Division
Executive Office of United States Trustees
Executive Office for Immigration Review
Justice Management Division
Office of Legal Counsel
Office of Policy Development
Office of Legislative Affairs
Office of Public Affairs
Office of Liaison Services
Office of Intelligence and Policy Review
Office of International Affairs
Office of the Inspector General
Office of Professional Responsibility
(American Jurisprudence 2nd Ref. Kf 154 .A42)

Ladies and gentlemen, it doesn't take a genius to realize if the President has control over all the above departments and offices he has control over the country. The Commander-in-Chief has

therefore become a Dictator hiding behind the title of President. Martial Law can be in force without placing an armed soldier on every street corner. Martial Law comes in many shapes, sizes and jurisdictions and it can be imposed without the public knowing it is in effect. This is accomplished by the extending of "National Emergencies" as in the case when President Billary Clinton signed an order on May 25, 1993 extending the national emergency declared by President George (Traitor) Bush under Executive Order # 12808, for another full year and Bush extended the emergency signed by Reagan, and Reagan extended the emergency signed by Carter etc. etc. etc.

Last year alone over 67,000 pages of laws was printed in the Federal Register. And here are some words from the book "Who Runs Congress", "The foreign policy equivalents of executive orders are executive agreements. Although these secret deals, signed by the president and a foreign country, bind the nation with the force of treaties, Congress knows nothing about them. According to Senator Clifford Chase, some 4,000 of these agreements are now in effect."

The President of the U.S. has become the most powerful man in the world and the most dangerous. He is a declared enemy and traitor to our country. When the President signs an Executive Order it becomes law. Of course the President was never granted the authority to make law as that function belongs only to Congress. However, unconstitutional, Executive Orders have become an accepted unlawful practice. It follows the political maxim so common among corrupted men today, "I won't challenge your grab for power if you won't challenge mine." In this way the State and Federal politicians can build themselves little empires which soon become institutionalized but with no formal grant of power from the Constitution or Bill of Rights, therefore, their every act is unlawful, EXCEPT BY THE GUN. Enter here the mercenaries called law enforcement to legitimize the powers of the police state and the reign of terror begins.

* TRAITOR - "One who, being trusted, betrays; one guilty of treason."

Thomas Jefferson said, "What has destroyed liberty and the rights of man in every government which ever existed under the sun? The generalization and concentrating all cares and powers under one body . . . In questions of power, let no more be heard of confidence in man but bind him down from mischief by the chains of the constitution . . ."

Well its time for the sleeping GIANT to WAKE-UP. The central government our fore-fathers warned us about is here, with all of their federal rules and regulations over the states, business, and industry. They have their police force within the states to see to it that their rules are followed, i.e. OSHA, FBI, CIA, BATF, FDA, IRS, DEA, EPA, city, county and state Police, etc.

They did not stop their TREASONOUS acts there. In the 65th Congress, 1st Session Doc. 87, under the section entitled "Constitutional Sources of Laws of War", Clause II, we find: "The existence of war and the restoration of peace are to be determined by the political department of the government, and such determination is binding upon the courts, and deprives the courts of the power of hearing proof and determining as a question of fact either that war exists or has ceased to exist."

By continuing to declare emergencies, the President has absolute dictatorial powers. He and only he will determine if, and when the Covert-War and martial law rule is over, and when his Flag will be removed from the court rooms.

Could these Acts be the reason our rights have been turned into privileges? Yes! It's a DE-FACTO government of tyrants operating under the color of constitutionality. It is in total violation of the separation of powers doctrine and over-throws the judicial and legislative branches. The enemy has carefully selected their paid traitors (judges) to keep and enforce the secret from the people

and to protect them from We The People, as they plunder our inalienable rights and liberties. A silent coup has taken place in the Land of the Free and Home of the Brave.

* De Facto - "In fact, in deed, actually. This phrase is used to characterize an officer, a government, a past action, or a state of affairs which must be accepted for all practical purposes, but is illegal or illegitimate. Thus, an office, position or status existing under a claim or color of right such as a de facto corporation. In this sense it is the contrary to de jure, which means rightful, legitimate, just, or constitutional. Thus, an officer, king, or government de facto is one who is in actual possession of the office or supreme power, but by usurpation, or without lawful title; . . ."

* De Facto government - "One that maintains itself by a display of force against the will of the rightful legal government and is successful, at least temporarily, in overturning the institutions of the rightful legal government by setting up its own in lieu thereof."

* Color of Law - "The appearance or semblance, without the substance, of legal right. Misuse of power, possessed by virtue of state law and made possible only because wrongdoer is clothed with authority of state, is action taken under 'color of state law.'

When used in the context of federal civil rights statutes or criminal law the term is synonymous with the concept of state action under the Fourteenth Amendment."

* Martial Law - "Such may exist either in time of war or when civil authority has ceased to function or has become ineffective. A system of law, obtaining only in time of actual war and growing out of the exigencies thereof, arbitrary in its character, and depending only on the will of the commander of an army, which is established and administered in a place, or, district of hostile territory held in belligerent possession, or, sometimes, in places occupied or pervaded by insurgents or mobs, and which suspends all existing civil laws, as well as the civil authority and the ordinary administration of justice." [Editor's Note: The Federal rules of civil procedures completely suspended all existing laws and the ordinary administration of justice according to the Constitution via codes and regulations. There is a difference between Martial Law and Martial Law Rule. Under Martial Law rule the military is not visible as long as the enemy co-operates. When the enemy does not co-operate the military is called out to enforce the Law]

* Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Edition

George Washington, in his farewell address, gave us solemn warning, *"It is important likewise, that the habits of thinking in a free country should inspire caution to those entrusted with its administration, to confine themselves within their respective Constitutional spheres; avoiding in the exercise of powers on one department to encroach upon another. The spirit of encroachment tends to consolidate the powers of all departments in one, and thus to create, whatever form of government, a real DESPOTISM."*

On Sept. 11, 1858, Lincoln had this to say, *"Accustomed to trample on the rights of others, you have lost the genius of your own independence and become fit subjects of the first cunning tyrant who rises among you."*

Well, its time for the sleeping GIANT to WAKE-UP because the tyrants and despots have arisen!

Our Declaration of Rights, in Congress, at Philadelphia, Oct. 14, 1774, declared, "Whereas, since the close of the last war, the British Parliament [U.S. Government], claiming a power of the right to bind the people of America, by statute, in all cases whatsoever, hath in some acts expressly imposed taxes on them, and in others, under various pretenses, but in fact for the

purposes of raising revenues, hath imposed rates and duties payable in these colonies established a board of commissioners, with unconstitutional powers, and extended the jurisdiction of admiralty, not only for collecting the said duties, but for the trial of causes merely arising within the body of a county . . .

An Act for the impartial administration of justice, in the cases of persons questioned for any act done by them in the execution of the law . . .

. . . And whereas, assemblies have been frequently dissolved, contrary to the rights of the people, when they attempted to deliberate on grievances; and their dutiful, humble, loyal, and reasonable petitions have been repeatedly treated with contempt by His Majesty's ministers of state:" Does all of this sound familiar to what is happening in America today?

In his book "The Law", Bastiat wrote, *"The law Perverted! And the police powers of the state perverted along with it! The law, I say, not only turned from its proper purpose but made to follow an entirely contrary purpose! The law became the weapon of every kind of greed! Instead of checking crime, the law itself is guilty of the evils it is suppose to punish! If this is true, it is a serious fact, and moral duty requires me to call the attention of my fellow-citizens to it."*

Alexander Hamilton proclaimed, *"If the representatives of the people betray their constituents, then there is no source left but the exertion of that original right of self-defense which is paramount to all positive forms of government, and which against the usurpations of the natural rulers of the individual State. In a single State, if the person entrusted with supreme powers becomes usurpers, the different parcels, subdivisions, or districts of which it consists, having no district governments in each, can take no regular measures for defense. The Citizens must rush tumultuously to arms, without concert, without system, without resource; except their courage and despair. The usurpers, clothed with the forms of legal authority can too often crush the opposition in embryo."*

Thomas Jefferson gave us these solemn words, *"When it shall be said in any country in the world: 'My poor are happy; neither ignorance nor distress is to be found among them; My jails are empty of prisoners; My streets of beggars; The aged are not in want; The taxes not oppressive; The rational world is my friend because I am a friend of its happiness.' When these things can be said, then may that country boast of its Constitution and of its Government."*

The facts and evidence speak for themselves, our Constitution and the rights and liberties secured therein have been usurped under a secret jurisdiction of "Martial Law Rule" imposed by a Defacto Government under a Covert-War. We have some serious decisions and actions to take before Jefferson's words come true.

And remember our good old friend, Mr. Mulford with the Legal Department of the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco? When I told him how the MONEY-CHANGERS had taken our gold via the "Trading With The Enemy Act", as amended, and had declared a COVERT-WAR against the people he laughed and replied, "It's been a long war hasn't it? . . . If we [the Federal Reserve] were that efficient, Clinton wouldn't be worrying about his health plan. He would simply issue it by legislative fiat." When I asked him, "What do you call an Executive Order", he started stuttering and avoided the question. What else could I expect from an attorney, but to avoid the truth and slither away on his stomach?

Finally, we should take a look at the Senate Seal; it is a bundle of sticks with an axe head on top. It also appears on the old silver dimes. This seal is the symbol of fascism and was adopted by the Senate on January 20, 1886. (The Flag Book of the United States)

* FASCISM - "a political philosophy, movement, or regime that exalts nation and often race and stands for a centralized autocratic government headed by a dictatorial leader, severe economic and social regimentation, and forcible suppression of opposition."

* AUTOCRACY - "Government by one person having unlimited power."

Ladies and gentlemen all of this is not just by coincidence, it has been planned. ALL Americans should become instant belligerent PATRIOTS just as our Founding Fathers did when they discovered the truth about their Government. Turning the other cheek and remaining a cowardly American after learning the truth, only prolongs our enslavement and the loss of our God Given rights to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. If you are happy about working 6 months out of the year to pay taxes, holding feudal title to your property, having a license or permit to exercise your rights, you should be proud to be an American Slave living under a DICTATORSHIP. America was founded as a free society not as a control society under the dictatorship of the President and other corrupt politicians.

To Betray a Nation

Congressman Lawrence McDonald warned the nation with these words, "Money alone is not enough to quench the thirst and lust of the super-rich. Instead many of them use this vast wealth, and the influence such riches give them to achieve even more power. Power on a world scale. Power over people, not just products. Do I mean conspiracy? Yes, I do, I am convinced there is such a plot, international in scope, generations old in planning and incredibly evil in its intent." ("The Rockefeller Files", by, Gary Allen) Congressman Lawrence McDonald died on the mysterious Korea Air Lines Flight 007!

According to testimony given by Norman Dodd, former Director of the Committee to Investigate Tax Exempt Foundations, U.S. House of Representatives found the following Acts of Treason in the minute books of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace: "The trustees of the Carnegie Endowment bring up a single question, namely if it is desirable to alter the life of an entire people, is there any means more efficient than war to getting that end and they discussed this question at a very high academic and scholarly level for a year and they came up with an answer. There is no known means more efficient than war, assuming the objective is altering the life of an entire people. That leads them to the question. How do we involve the United States in war. This was in 1909." Were WORLD WAR I, World War II, the Korean and Vietnam conflicts etc. just coincidences?

Mr. Dodd goes on to testify, "The trustees then answered the question of how to involve us in a war by saying we must control the diplomatic machinery of the United States. That brings up the question of how to secure that control and the answer is: We must control the State Department . . .

Now at that point, research discloses a relationship between the effort to control the State Department and an entity which the Carnegie Endowment set up, namely the Council on Learned Societies and through that entity, are cleared all of the appointments, high appointments in the State Department. They have continued to be cleared that way since then."

Mr. Dodd continues has testimony with these words: "Now, finally we are in a war, eventually the war is over and the trustees turn their attention then to seeing to it that life does not revert in this country to what it was prior to 1914 and they hit upon the idea that in order to prevent that reversion they must control education in this country. They realized that is a very tremendous, very stupendous and complex task, much too great for them alone. So they approach the Rockefeller Foundation with the suggestion that the task be divided between the two of them. The Carnegie Endowment takes on that aspect of education that has a tinge of international significance and the Rockefeller Foundation takes on that portion of education which is domestic in relationship. These two run along in tandem that way disciplined by a decision, namely that the answer lies entirely in changing of the teaching of the history of the United States." (Lt. Col. A. Roberts, "Peace By The Wonderful People Who Brought You Korea and Vietnam")

Testifying before the Illinois Joint Legislative Committee on Regional Government, Mr. Dodd spoke these startling words: ". . .I was greeted by Mr. Roman Gaither, the President of the Ford Foundation with this statement: `Mr. Dodd, we invited you to come to New York and stop in and see us in the hope that off the record you would tell us why the Congress of the United States should be interested in operations such as ours . . . Mr. Dodd, we operate here under directives which emanate from the White House. Would you like to know what the substance of these directives is? . . .

We operate here and control our grant making policies in harmony with directives the substance being of which is as follows: We shall use our grant making powers so as to alter life in the

United States that it can be comfortably merged with the Soviet Union [communism]." (Lt. Col. Archibald Roberts, "The Most Secret Science")

Naturally, as soon as Mr. Dodd and his staff uncovered these TREASONOUS ACTS and had the necessary proof from their own minute books and confessions, the U.S. Congress under pressure from the White House stopped its investigation of tax exempt foundations, and the matter was swept under the rug. Cover-up is the way of protecting their guilty.

They have been altering and injuring human life on the basis of crimes and lies of such a magnitude, depth and proportions as to be beyond human comprehension. They must be stopped dead in their tracks!

Jefferson said it best, when he declared, "Single acts of tyranny maybe ascribed to the accidental opinion of a day, but when a series of oppressions, begun at a distinguished period, unaltered through every change of ministers, to plainly prove a systematical plan of reducing us to slavery . . . If the people do not keep the government as their slave, they shall end up the slaves to government."

According to U.S. Supreme Court Judge Felix Frankfurter, "The real rulers in Washington are invisible and exercise power from behind the scenes." Boy! Was he ever on target and they are doing it TAX-FREE.

President Kennedy reconfirmed Judge Frankfurter's words when he made this startling statement during a speech at Columbia University: "The high office of the President has been used to foment a plot to destroy the American's freedom, and before I leave office I must inform the citizens of this plight." He was assassinated 10 days later.

The following excerpts from a Top Secret Government document should make the hair on the back of your neck stand up and set your soul on fire.

Government Operations Research Technical Manual TM-SW7905.1 entitled "Silent Weapons For Quiet Wars" starts out with these startling words: "This manual is in itself an analog declaration of intent. Such writing must be secured from public scrutiny. Otherwise, it might be recognized as a technically formal declaration of domestic war. Furthermore, whenever any person or group of persons in a position of great power, and without the full knowledge and consent of the public, uses such knowledge and methodology for economic conquest - it must be understood that a state of domestic warfare exists between said person or group of persons and the public."

Their TREASONOUS Government document goes on to read: "A nation or worlds of people who will not use their intelligence are no better than animals that do not have intelligence. Consequently, in the interest of future world order, peace, and tranquility, it was decided to privately wage a quiet war against the American public with an ultimate objective of permanently shifting the natural and social energy (wealth) of the undisciplined and irresponsible many into the hands of the self-disciplined, responsible, and worthy few . . .

The public cannot comprehend this weapon, and therefore cannot believe that they are being attacked and subdued by a weapon . . .

The public might instinctively feel that something is wrong, but because of the technical nature of this silent weapon, they cannot express their feelings in a rational way, or handle the problem with intelligence. Therefore, they do not know how to cry for help, and do not know how to associate with others to defend them against it . . . [Editor's Note: United we stand, divided we fall, and we are falling right into their hands.]

When a silent weapon is applied gradually to the public, the public adjusts/adapts to its presence and learns to tolerate its encroachment on their lives until the pressure (psychological via economic) becomes too great and they crack up . . .

Therefore, the silent weapon is a type of biological warfare. It attacks the vitality, options, and mobility of the individuals of society by knowing, understanding, manipulating, and attacking their sources of natural and social energy, and their physical, mental, and emotional strength and weaknesses . . .

The Harvard Economic Research Project (1948-) was an extension of World War II Operations Research. Its purpose was to discover the science of controlling an economy: at first the American economy, and then the world economy. It was felt that with sufficient mathematical foundations and data, it would be nearly as easy to predict and control the projectory of the projectile. Such has proven the case. Moreover, the economy has been transformed into a guided missile on target . . .

Eventually every individual element of the structure comes under computer control through knowledge of personal preferences, such knowledge guaranteed by computer association and consumer preferences (Universal Product Code -UPC - zebra stripe pricing codes on packages) with identified consumers Identified via association with the use of a credit (debit) card."

I wonder if they were thinking of the "Trading With The Enemy Act" when they drafted this Top Secret Document. If their declared "Silent War" doesn't wake-up your Spirit, your ashes must have already been scattered over the earth by the GREAT WINDS of the NORTH. This Silent War is a covert-war, and the people are victims of forces they cannot see or understand. Yes, young men do have visions of what their world should be like, and yes, old men do have dreams of what their world should have been like. However, their visions and dreams will not come true until they WAKE-UP, and see the world for what it is. The American dream is gone, because Americans are in a Silent War they cannot comprehend, against a enemy they cannot see.

Thomas Paine said, "From a small spark kindled in America and a flame has arisen, not to be extinguished; without consuming it winds its progress from person to person, and conquers by a silent operation. Man finds himself changed. He scarcely perceives how. He acquires knowledge of his rights by attending justly to his interests and he discovers in that event, the strength and power of despotism consists wholly in the fear of resistance." Is your spark starting to kindle? Or is your flame dead?

As the old saying goes, "Give the devil his due." Traitors are subject to death by hanging. The bankers and government have betrayed us and therefore must be overthrown and dealt with accordingly. The power to STOP these treasonous acts must be generated by forces long dormant, but still alive within the hearts and minds of each and every one of us. Can you feel the Holy Spirit within you crying out for justice or is it still asleep?

Fortunately, some governors are starting to WAKE-UP to what has happened to our Nation and to State rights. As a result, on November 23, 1993, Governor Symington of Arizona made these daring remarks announcing the creation of the Constitutional Defense Council: "Speaker Killiar, President Greene, the other governors here and I are about to fire the first shot in a war to win back our freedom and restore to our people their right to self determination . . . The most vital decisions about our futures as States are being made for us by far-off, unelected bureaucrats and handed down like summary orders from distant Gods."

The Profit\$ of Blood

John Adams told us, "There are only two ways to conquer and enslave a nation. One is by the sword. The other is by debt."

It is a sad day for the human race when men and women say, "We need another war to stimulate the economy." The real question is, do you want a government that sends our young soldiers to fight in "NO WIN" wars? Those who profit from it do! Nothing increases debt faster to the MONEY-CHANGERS than war. They invest mans mind and labor into a liability for the destruction of peace and life. They bring us war in the name of peace. They are looting the nations who are caught up in their web of ungodliness. Wars are a direct result of the MONEY-CHANGERS looking for another investment market and nothing increase debt to them faster than war. But first, there must be an enemy. Evidence shows the first Governor of the Board of Directors of the Federal Reserve was Paul Warburg. In 1914, one year after the passage of the Federal Reserve Act, War World I was brought upon the world. During the war years, Warburg's firm, Kuhn Loeb CO. had representatives in the U.S. Treasury in charge of making Liberty Loans. Warburg's relatives at the M.M. Warburg Co. of Hamburg and Amsterdam were financing Germany's war efforts. They couldn't lose! If the Allies won, they would pay the war debt. If the German's had won, they would pay the debt and rebuild the world. The MONEY-CHANGERS are in a win - win situation, while the people are in a lose - lose battle. (House Document # 1868, Government Printing Office)

Here is the most damaging evidence of Warburg's past from, The United States Naval Secret Service Report of December 12, 1918: "WARBURG, PAUL: New York City, German, Naturalized citizen, 1911, was decorated by the Kaiser in 1912, was vice chairman of the Federal Reserve Board, handled large sums furnished by Germany for Lenin and Trotsky. Has a brother who is leader of the espionage system of Germany."

Professor Anthony Sutton, of the Hoover Institute for War, Revolution and Peace, at Stanford University, maintains, "One barrier to mature understanding of recent history is the notion that all capitalists are the bitter and unerving enemies of all Marxists and socialists. This is erroneous. In brief the final human cost has fallen on the shoulders of man. So long as we see all political capitalists as implacable enemies of one another, then we miss a crucial point - that there is indeed a continuing, albeit concealed alliance between the international political capitalists and international revolutionary socialists - to their mutual benefit."

Congressman McFadden maintained, "The Soviet government has been given U.S. Treasury funds by the Federal Reserve Banks acting through their Chase Bank and Guaranty Trust Co. and other Banks in New York City. Open the books of Amtorg, the Trading Organization, and the State Bank of the Soviet Socialist Republic and you will be staggered to see how much money has been taken from the U.S. Treasury for the benefit of Russia. Find out what business has been transacted for the State Bank of Soviet Russia by its correspondent, the Chase Bank of New York." (Congressional Record June 15, 1933)

Lenin claimed the capitalists would sell the Communists the rope with which the would be hung, if it could be done for a profit. Unfortunately we are still selling them rope, as you will see from the following evidence.

Congressman John Ashbrook, informed his colleagues of the irony of the U.S. trade with the U.S.S.R. when he declared, "It is ironic that while American businessmen are trading hundreds of millions of dollars with the Soviet Union, the Administration is asking for an increased defense budget to meet Soviet military threat - a threat which, in part, is being built up with American technology." (Congressional Record, March 6, 1974)

In his book, "National Suicide", Professor Sutton, points out, "The military potential of the industrial plants which we are building for the Soviets should be obvious to anyone. Trucks, aircraft, oil, steel, petrochemicals, aluminum, computers, etc. - these are the very sinew of a military industrial complex. These factories, the product of American genius and financed by American capital could have been built in the U.S. Instead, they are constructed, at the U.S. taxpayers expense, in the Soviet Union where the labor is cheap . . . it was primarily U.S. technology that kept the Bolsheviks on their feet after their 1917 coup d' eta that maintained them through the Depression, and that has kept them alive to this date . . ."

Congressman Steve Symms declared, "Few Americans fully appreciate the extent to which their tax dollars are being used to finance their own destruction. United States loans to the Soviet Union through the bank now total over 760 million dollars . . . U.S. tax dollars are not only propping up a ruthless dictatorship but are helping to arm our enemy to the teeth . . . It would long ago have died a natural death, had it not been for repeated injections of lifeblood that are still being pumped into it today. . . Modern day liberals often refer to these kinds of suicidal giveaways as 'meaningful cooperation in the spirit of detente' It use to be called treason." (American Security Council, Washington Report, March 11-15, 1974)

Congressman Philip Crane declared, "To provide the Soviet Union with the sophisticated technology it needs to surpass us, while not demanding any concessions in return, and subsidizing the transaction in addition is a one-sided policy designed solely to our own detriment." (Congressional Record, July 10, 1973,)

Congressman Richard Ichord, former Chairman of the House Committee on Internal Affairs proclaimed, "We are especially alarmed that the bank is on the verge of granting \$49 million in credit to the Soviet Union for exploration of Eastern Siberian gas fields. We believe that American financing of Soviet gas exploration at this particular time in history, smacks not only of poor business judgment but suggests a disregard for our national security. Every nation's defense capacity is directly related to its energy resources. The real question is why do we spend some \$80 billion a year to maintain such a large military establishment . . . This has enabled the Soviet Union to engage in the largest peacetime military buildup in the history of man. We cannot afford to adopt any trade or credit policies that will allow the Soviets to further expand their military machine." (American Security Council, Washington Report, February 1974)

Senator Richard Schweiker maintained, "Lending America capital [to the Soviets] at low interest for such projects [oil exploration] when there is an energy crisis in the United States . . . When Congress is depreciating the dollar at 5% per year, you have to charge 10% to make five. If the buyer borrows at 6% for five years, and in that period of time the dollar has depreciated 25%, the borrower only paid 1% per year for his loan." (Congressional Record, February 19, 1974)

Congressman Earl Landgrebe said, "I would say that America is walking right into this situation and actually prolonging the control of the good people of Russia, and the Russian people are good people. But, as I say, they are under slavery by their Communist rulers. And when we make these deals with the Communist rulers we are perpetuating the slavery of the Russian people." (Congressional Record, July 10, 1973)

The Soviet Union was "allowed to purchase unassembled U.S. battleships. Carbon copies of American battleships were assembled in the Soviet Union, according to plans drawn up by American naval architects." (Congressional Record, October 3, 1975)

Congressman Larry McDonald declared, ". . . The United States has provided \$1,033,400,000 in foreign aid and assistance to the Soviet Union from 1946 through 1974. Presumably this was done under authority other than the Foreign Assistance Act, which prohibits such aid . . . The major areas of technical assistance to the Soviet Union, which have been directly or indirectly used in

military applications are: (1) weapons, including explosives, ammunition and guns; (2) tanks, trucks and armored cars; (3) ships; (4) airplanes; (5) space technology; (6) missiles; and (7) computers." (House Report 94-53, March 10, 1975)

On April 12, 1981, the Chicago Tribune reported, "Today, sophisticated U.S. technology is reaching the Soviet Armed Forces nearly as fast as it is being developed by the U.S. The technology is being shipped to Russia in boxes marked air conditioners, washers and dryers. As a result Senate Banking Plan Chairman, Jake Garn (R-Utah), and others warn the U.S. must spend billions to counter Soviet Military might born of U.S. technology."

Congressman McDonald spoke these words to other members of congress, "Most Americans are staggered upon learning that the U.S.S.R. has been the No. 1 beneficiary of the U.S. aid this century . . . All of this certainly destroys the accepted view that the United States has an anti-Communist foreign policy." (Congressional Record Oct. 3, 1975)

Senator Kenneth Keating, acting chairman in an investigation of "Soviet Oil East-West Trade" said, "The free world, while concentrating on communist military threats, appears to be oblivious to these Soviet economic threats. As long as we maintain a military stalemate with the Reds, the economic aspects of the cold war actually may be a more decisive in determining whether freedom or tyranny will prevail than the military aspects." (U.S. Senate, Second Session, July 3, 1962)

The Committee on the Judiciary, United States Senate, released a report on Oct. 26, 1962 which stated the following, "Our investigations to date have established that over a period of many years, the free world has been making a direct contribution to the Communist military and industrial strength by sales of vital materials and technology to the Soviet Bloc . . ."

Congressman Thomas Dodd, maintained, "It would be a ridiculous and suicidal for Americans to contribute in any way to the Soviet strength . . ."

Personally, I believe there should be a presumption against any exports to the Soviet Bloc. I don't believe there is a sharp distinction between economic and military items as is assumed, since anything we furnish to the Soviets in the ways of economic goods helps them concentrate their efforts on military production." ("Export of Strategic Materials to the USSR and other Soviet Bloc Countries", 87th Congress, October 23, 1961)

Congressman Rarick best summarized the extent of damage to U.S. national security when he said, "The factories and steel mills that U.S. aid built in Russia were later used to create the munitions that killed American GI's in Korea and Vietnam." (Congressional Record, June 27, 1973)

Professor Harold Pease maintains, ". . . if today the Soviet Union poses as the greatest threat to human freedom known in the history of the world, we have the haunting fact to face that we made her so. She is our doomsday machine." ("The Communist-Capitalist Alliance")

The following act of Treason is also a matter of record, "The government of the United States does not look with favor upon governments unfriendly to the Soviet Union." (Congressional Record, August 31, 1960) What the hell is going on, have our leaders gone mad? We were in a "Cold War" with the Russians and our State Department says we will not look favorably towards someone that is unfriendly to our enemy. Can the crimes which are being committed against We the People be anything but TREASON?

If you are wondering who is the prime supporter of all of this aid going to the Soviets? I think the following article entitled "Eaton's Join Rockefeller's To Spur Trade with Reds" that appeared in the January 16, 1967 issue of the New York Times, will provide you with part of the answer.

"An alliance of the family banking fortunes linking Wall Street and the Midwest is going to try to build economic bridges between the free world and Communist Europe. The International Basic Economy Corporation, controlled by the Rockefeller Brothers, and Tower International, Inc. Headed by Cyrus Eaton, Jr., Cleveland financier, plan to cooperate in promoting trade between the Iron Curtain countries, including the Soviet Union, and the United States, Canada, and Latin America [NAFTA?]. The I.B.E.C. was organized in 1947 under the principal direction of Nelson Rockefeller . . .

The Joint effort contemplated by I.B.E.C. and Tower is seen as combining the investment skills and resources of the Rockefeller's and the special entree to Soviet bloc officialdom that Tower enjoys largely as a result of contacts cultivated over the last 15 years by Cyrus Eaton, Sr. The elder Eaton has been an outspoken advocate of closer ties between the U.S. and the Soviet Union. He has backed his convictions by visiting Russia and entertaining high Soviet leaders - including former Premier Khrushchev . . .

'As you can imagine', Eaton said, it is almost impossible for a Russian to walk into the research department of an American aerospace company and try to arrange the purchase of a patent."

As Former Secretary of Navy, James Forrestal declared, "Consistency has never been a mark of stupidity. If the diplomats who have mishandled our relations with Russia were merely stupid they would occasionally make a mistake in our favor . . . If all of their mistakes are honest mistakes, why do they always help the enemies."

Vladimir Lenin proclaimed, "They disarm, we build."

In spite of all the overwhelming evidence that the United States has been sold out by a bunch of TRAITORS, Henry Kissinger had the audacity to make the following remarks to Admiral Elmo Zumwalt:

"I believe the American people lack the will to do the things necessary to achieve parity and to maintain maritime superiority. I believe we must get the best deal we can get in our negotiations before the United States and the Soviets perceive these changes and the balance occurs. When these perceptions are in agreement, and both sides know the U.S. is inferior, we must have gotten the best deal we can. Americans at that time will not be happy that I have settled for second, but it will be too late.

Zumwalt - Then why not take it to the American people? They will not accept a decision to become second best while we are in a position of Gross National Product twice that of the U.S.S.R.

Kissinger - That's a question of judgment. I judge that we will not get their support, and if we seek it and tell the fact as we would have to, we would lose our negotiating leverage with the Soviets.

Zumwalt - But isn't that the ultimate immorality in a democracy; to make a decision for the people of such importance without consulting them?

Kissinger - Perhaps, but I doubt that there is one in a million who could even understand the issue.

Zuwallt - Even if that presumption is correct, those on in a million can influence the opinions of the majority of the people. I believe it is my duty to take the other course.

Kissinger - You should take care, lest your words result in a reduction in the Navy budget."
(Admiral Zuwallt, "On Watch")

As far as I am concerned Kissinger should have been marched straight out to a wall in front of a firing squad. There is no ifs, and, or buts about the color of his blood. It runs red with communism.

Of course many of you are thinking, the Soviet Union has fallen and they are no longer a threat to the United States. On the surface that is a logical thought, because you have been BRAINWASHED with PROPAGANDA. But, the Soviet Union had to fall in order to bring about a ONE-WORLD TOTALITARIAN MILITARY DICTATORSHIP. Remember what Gaither said about the Ford Foundation and the plans to merge the U.S. with Russia. He just did not tell the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

In 1987, Mikail Gorbachev gave the following speech celebrating the Bolshevik Revolution: "In October 1917, we parted the old world, rejecting it once and for all. We are moving toward a new world, a world of Communism. We shall never turn off that road . . . Gentlemen, comrades, do not be concerned about all you hear about Glasnost and Peretoika and democracy in the coming years. These are primarily for outward consumption. There will be no significant internal changes in the Soviet Union, other than for cosmetic purposes. Our purpose is to disarm the Americans and let them fall asleep." It's called smoke and mirrors!

Soviet Diplomat, Dmitri Manualisky stated, "We will offer the Christian world unheard of peace overtures, and these nations, stupid and decadent, will leap at the chance to be our friends; they will willingly cooperate in their own destruction. Then, when their guard is down, and they have gone to sleep, we will smash them with our clenched fist."

In a speech to the Supreme Soviet, Nikita Khrushchev, declared, "The Soviets intend to conceal vast reserves of missiles and warheads, hiding them in places throughout the expansive Soviet Union where the imperialists could not spot them. Later, they could be launched in nuclear war."
(January 14, 1960)

General Sir Walter Walker, former NATO Commander-In-Chief, following the phony Soviet coup, gave us this warning, "I consider it my duty to tell you of the extremely dangerous threats that lie ahead. I know for certain that we are now in a period of the greatest strategic deception, perhaps in all history . . . The Cold War is NOT over, only in the state of remission.

I leave you with the stark fact that unless we stand fast and stop the rot, the demonstratable truth is that, contrary to the Kremlin's self-serving poses of humility, the Soviet Union is not truly on the verge of collapse. Western defense, on the other hand is."

Kenneth Goff maintained, "The manual of the Communist Party should be in the hands of every loyal American, that they may be alerted to the fact it is not always by armies and guns that a nation is conquered." He mysteriously died from poisoning in 1943.

In his last address to the nation, President Eisenhower warned:

"Beware of the Military Industrial Complex."

What the Rosenberg's did was no worse then what these TRAITORS have done to our country, and they went to the electric chair for their crimes. Yet our TRAITORS are freely walking the streets and sitting in high places in government. Maybe that's what they mean when the say, the Home of the Free and Land of the Slave.

To Conquer the World

Professor of Humanities, Donald Sanborn, of Chicago Loop College declared to the Ill.-Wisc. Regional conference in 1980 that, "The world created by those who run the global industrial order is socially inhumane, economically unjust and ecologically disastrous. Irrationally and irreligiously threatening the survival of humanity and human values, that order is immoral."

With the wealth of the world in their hands, what more could the MONEY-CHANGERS possibly want? Testifying before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee on Feb. 17, 1950, James Warburg (brother of Paul Warburg, the head of the Federal Reserve in 1913) confessed, "We shall have world government whether or not you like it. The only question is whether World government will be achieved by conquest or consent." Imagine a MONEY-CHANGER delivering such an ultimatum to the United States Senate and theoretically to the world. They want nothing less than a world-dictatorship commonly referred to as, "New World Order".

The plan to conquer the world as publicly stated by Richard Gardner, Professor of Law at Columbia University amounts to this: "Instead of trying to make the U.N. a complete world dictatorship immediately, the establishment [the ENEMY] will identify different problems in different countries. Then they will propose a solution, which can only be achieved by some kind of international agency [U.N.], so that each country concerned will be forced to surrender another segment of its national independence." Look at what has happened and is happening around the world. The U.N. is involved in problems from the four corners of the earth. What makes you think they will not be on America soil?

Let us examine the consequences if all the members of the United Nations were to place their military powers under the control of the United Nations. They (the U.N.) would have the military power according to former President of the United World Federalists, Cord Meyer Jr., that, "No nation could secede or revolt because with the atomic bomb in its possession the Federal Government of the World [U.N.] would blow that nation off the face of the earth."

Under Stage III of progressive disarmament it would proceed to a point where no nation would have the military power to challenge the progressively strengthened U.N. Peace (WAR) Forces.

On September 1, 1961, Americans were betrayed when the United States Government filed with the U.N. Secretary General a plan for the transfer of our entire military establishment to the United Nations.

State Department Publication Number 7277, entitled, "Freedom From War: The United States Program for General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World", contained these spine chilling words: ". . . progressive reduction of the war-making capability of the nations and the simultaneous strengthening of international institutions to settle disputes and maintain peace . . . The Nations of the world declare their goal to be the disbanding of all national armed forces and the prohibition of their reestablishment in any form whatsoever, other than those required to preserve internal order and for contributions to the United Nations Peace Force."

In the words of Congressman James Utt, "The Disarmament Act sets up a super-agency with power greater than the power of Congress, which delegated it. The law was almost a duplication, word for word, of a disarmament proposal by the Kremlin in 1959, and so we find ourselves again advancing the Moscow policy. As an example of the power, Section 43 (of the Disarmament Act) provided that the President may in advance, exempt actions of the Director (U.S. Disarmament Agency) from provisions of law relating to contracts or expenditures of Government funds whenever he determines that such action is essential in the interest of the United States arms control and disarmament and security policy . . ."

The Disarmament legislation was passed for the purpose of implementing the Department of State Publication 7277. This little gem from the State Department laid out the program for complete disarmament on a three-stage basis, the purpose of which was to reduce disarmaments of every nation to almost zero point, including our own National Guard and to concurrently augment an international peace force under the benevolent guidance of the Communist-dominated United Nations, whose recent, murderous actions in Katanga should make every American shudder at the thought of the U.N. blue helmets enforcing the U Thant in this Republic. The idea was to reduce our military capability to zero with the exception of a small federal army trained in counterinsurgency to put down civil strife in this country . . .

One of the first steps of the Arms Control Agency was to recommend the repeal of the Connally Amendment and to make this country completely subservient to the International Court of Justice. The International Court of Justice is about as UN-American as possible. It is true that the World Court is not supposed to act on domestic matters, but so does the U.N. Charter provide that the U.N. should not subject itself to domestic matters. Yet, the Congo is living proof that they have no intention of living by the Charter. There is every intention on the part of the Disarmament Agency to destroy the sovereignty of this nation and put us under the control of international tyranny, and they are moving rapidly in this direction."

Their plan to surrender America to the New World Order under an absolute One-World Military Dictatorship is the concealed objective of the United Nations in spite of the United States Constitution and Public Law 495, Section 112, 82d Congress: "None of the funds appropriated in this title shall be used to pay the United States contributions to any organization which engages in the direct or indirect promotion of the principle of one-world government or one-world citizenship."

According to the U.N. World Constitution: "The age of nations must end. The government of the nations have decided to order their separate sovereignties into one government to which they will surrender their arms."

Please note the U.S. Government has decided to surrender our arms and national sovereignty to a One-World Government. In the case of American Communications Association vs. Douds, the Supreme Court declared the function of the people to be: "It is not the function of our Government to keep the people from falling into error; it is the function of the citizen to keep government from falling into error." (339 U.S. 382, 442) Don't you think it's about time We The People started exercising our powers?

A one-world government supported by a one-world military means Orwell's 1984 will become a reality unless We The People take a stand against this tyranny. Its that simple!

On September 11, 1990, President Bush declared, "The Persian Gulf crisis is a rare opportunity to forge new bonds with old enemies . . . Out of these troubled times a New World Order can emerge under the United Nations that performs as envisioned by its founders." Did We the People agree to turn our Sovereignty and Military over to the New World Order as envisioned by these TRAITORS?

On February 1, 1992, President George (read my lips) Bush, bore witness against himself for treason at the U.N. Building, when he confessed, "My vision of a New World Order foresees a U.N. with a revitalized peacekeeping function. It is the sacred principles enshrined in the U.N. Charter to which we will henceforth pledge our allegiance."

Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition defines "TREASON" as: "A breach of allegiance to ones government, usually committed through levying war against such government or by giving aid and comfort to the enemy. The offense of attempting by overt acts to overthrow the government

of the state to which to the offender owes allegiance: or of betraying the state into the hands of a foreign power. Treason consists of two elements: adherence to the enemy, and rendering him aid and comfort . . . A person can be convicted of treason only on testimony of two witnesses, or confession in open court."

In 42 B.C., CICERO said, *"A nation can survive its fools, and even the ambitious. But it cannot survive treason from within. An enemy at the gates is less formidable, for he is known and he carries his banners openly. But the traitor moves among those within the gate freely, his sly whispers rustling through all the alleys, heard in the very halls of government itself. For the traitor appears not traitor; he speaks in accents familiar to his victims, and he wears their face and their garments, and he appeals to the baseness that lies deep in the hearts of all men. He rots the soul of a nation, he works secretly and unknown in the night to undermine the pillars of the city, he infects the body politic so that it can no longer resist. A murderer is less to be feared."*

General MacArthur saw what was coming and gave us this warning, "I am concerned for the security of our great nation; not so much because of any threat from without, but because of the insidious forces working within . . . end invisible government based on propaganda, and restore government based upon truth."

President Franklin D. Roosevelt said, "In government nothing happens by accident. If it happens you can bet it was planned that way." He should know, he went along with the plan to give our gold to the enemy.

Congressman John Rankin declared, "The United Nations is the greatest fraud in all history. Its purpose is to destroy the United States."

Senator Benton warned, "We are at the beginning of a long process of breaking down the walls of our national sovereignty." (Congressional Record, September 14, 1962) Ladies and Gentlemen, the wall only has a few more stones to be removed before the wall is completely destroyed.

Congressman Bernard Kearney confessed, "We signed the resolution [U.N.] believing we were sponsoring a movement to set up a stronger power within the United Nations for world peace. Then we learned that various organizations were working on state legislature and on peace movements for world government action under which the entire U.S. Government would be submerged in a super world government . . . Perhaps we should have read the fine print in the first place. We did not intend to continue in the role of sponsors of any movement to which undermine U.S. sovereignty."

Senate Document No. 87, confirmed Kearney's fears, "The Charter (U.N.) has become the supreme Law of the Land and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State notwithstanding . . ."

A study and commentary by the Alabama Legislative Commission, entitled, "The United Nations: Threat to Sovereignty", contained these startling words: "When the United Nations was organized in San Francisco in 1945, following the close of World War II, the American people, tired of conflict, accepted its promise as an instrument of peace. Few people realized at that time that much of the preplanning for this meeting was done in Moscow, Russia, or that an American traitor, Alger Hiss, was the chief American architect of this proposed super government.

During the years more and more American citizens, including military leaders, members of congress and persons charged with the security of this nation, have become acutely aware of the threat of the United Nations to the sovereignty and security of this country. J. Edgar Hoover,

director of the FBI, has warned repeatedly that we are embracing upon our shores a wellspring of espionage. Repeatedly our government has unearthed spy and espionage rings operating out of the United Nations headquarters in New York. Yet, since these delegates from communist countries enjoy full diplomatic immunity, we can do little except to declare persona non grata those who are apprehended, and to allow them to be replaced by equally well trained communist agents . . .

Communist countries press for more and more control over American freedoms through exercise of the various charter provisions which supersede our own laws and constitutional provisions . . .

We began with the deck stacked against us. As a "have" nation, we stood to lose more, materially, than other U.N. members. As a nation with a proud heritage of freedom, we stood to lose these freedoms while the people of slave nations could not lose what they did not possess.

Fresh in the minds of the alert Americans is the United Nations fiasco in South Korea [and Vietnam], where American troops were under the overall supervision of a Soviet national acting in his United Nations capacity. This was the only war ever fought by American forces in which we were not allowed to bring about a military victory, but forced to settle on communist compromise . . .

Russia, a member of the United Nations, had directed a war of aggression against South Korea and against the United States and United Nation forces defending South Korea. This was a bizarre and sobering experience for many Americans. They saw the United Nations (largely represented by U.S. forces) engaged in fighting communist aggressors, while the United Nations machinery having direct involvement in the war was under the control of a Russian national, and Russia was aiding the communist aggressor forces.

On May 15, 1954, the U.S. Defense Department released an official statement of Russian involvement in Korea. This statement was summarized by U.S. News & World Report (5-28-54) and follows:

'It is the evidence of direct Russian participation in the Korean War . . . It shows, in detail, how Russians planned the Korean attack, built up the forces required, ordered the assault, then directed the communist forces in action . . . you get the evidence, too, of more than 10,000 soldiers and vast stocks of Russian arms used in that "non-Russian" war.' . . .

The post of the Under-Secretary for political and Security Council Affairs has been held by the following people:

1946-49 Arkady Sobolev (USSR)

1949-53 Constantine Zinchenko (USSR)

1953-54 Iiya Tcherychev (USSR)

1945-57 Dragoslav Protitich (Yugoslavia)

!957-60 Anatoly Dorynin (USSR)

1960-62 George Arkadev (USSR)

1962-63 E.D. Kiselev (USSR)

1963-65 V.P. Suslov (USSR)

1965-67 A.E. Nesterenko (USSR)

[Editor's Note: Since the inception of the U.N., the post has been held by a person from a communist bloc country.]

Thus, during the Korean War, when the United States was fighting under U.N. banners in Korea against Russian aggression, the United Nations official in command of military affairs was Constantine Zinchenko, of Russia . . .

The United States has allowed many of its internal policies, including racial problems, to be dictated by the United Nations Charter.

The threat to the sovereignty of our nation and to the several states of which it is compromised is becoming widely known. And with this knowledge, freedom loving Americans are mounting a determined attack upon the source of this threat - The United Nations . . .

For a period of approximately three years before the actual formation of the United Nations, there was in the United States a full-blown, expensive campaign to overcome the natural objections of a free and powerful nation to giving up of its national sovereignty . . .

The fact that the United Nations was envisioned by the planners as a world government, superseding the sovereignty of nations, was not hidden. On Aug. 6, 1946, the Chicago Tribune published an article concerning the One-world plan of the U.N., and headed it 'Radicals, Rich Unite To Push World State Fight Defenders of U.S. Sovereignty.' . . .

The Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), in conjunction with the U.S. State Department, played an important role in "conditioning of the U.S. Congress and public to accept the U.N. Charter and its restrictions on national sovereignty. This is set out in State Department Publication 3580 (1950). This SUBCOMMITTEE ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION was headed by Summer Wells, of the State Department. Proving the direct link between the old League of Nations and the United Nations, was the fact that two members of the subcommittee had also served on the staff of Col. E.M. Howe at the Paris Peace conference in 1918, at the time of the founding of the League of Nations . . . [Editor's Note: The League of Nations was the first attempt to create a One-World Government.]

To fully understand the planning behind the United Nations prior to 1945, we should look more closely at the FREE WORLD ASSOCIATION, which had such close ties to our own State Department. The organization, through its publication, Free World, made no effort to hide the fact that they were planning a world organization, with powers to enforce international decrees, and that the sovereignty of nations could no longer be allowed to stand in the way of this lofty goal . . .

The objectives of the FREE WORLD ORGANIZATION was set out as early as October, 1942, in its publication:

'The creation of the machinery for a world government in which the United Nations will serve as a nucleus is a necessary task of the present in the order to prepare in time the foundations for a future world order.'

Following the formation of the United Nations, and continuing right up until today, numerous ultra liberal and "left" organizations have been organized around the promotion and defense of

the United Nations. One of the earliest of these was UNITED WORLD FEDERALISTS, formed on Feb. 22, 1947, by merger of several other organizations interested in world government . . .

Their statement quoted at that time included the following:

' . . . World peace can be created and maintained only under world law, universal and strong enough to prevent armed conflict between nations . . . Therefore, while endorsing the efforts of the United Nations to bring about a world community favorable to peace, we will work primarily to strengthen the United Nations into a world government of limited powers adequate to prevent a war and having direct jurisdiction over the individual.'

The frankness, with which the proponents of one-world government discussed their plans, alarmed many Americans who objected to surrendering our sovereignty, even the basic right to defend ourselves.

In 1953 the move was made by U.N. forces when the WORLD FEDERAL GOVERNMENT CONFERENCE met in Copenhagen, and recommended a revision of the U.N. Charter to provide the following:

1. That the United Nations be made into a World Federal Government.
2. That there must be universal membership.
3. No right of secession
4. Complete and simultaneous disarmament, enforced by U.N. inspection and U.N. police powers.
5. International courts, world legislature, world executive Council be established.
6. World citizenship through U.N. Membership, with world law applicable to individuals.

These remove all traces of national sovereignty and, by definition, the sovereignty of member states. Implicit is the power of taxation of the individual by a world legislature dominated by have-not nations envious of the great wealth and industry of the United States, where resides only one-sixth of the world population, but controls half the world wealth and production capacity.

The U.N. is given authority to enforce domestic policy dealing with employment, human rights, economic development, cultural matters and matters relating to health. Their policies dealing with many domestic matters have been enacted into law in the United States after having been pronounced by the agencies of the United Nations.

This was set forth in detail by Hon. John Rarick, (D. La) on March 20, 1967.

In his opening remarks, Rep. Rarick titled his speech "Target Date for Subjugation: 1968."

'Mr. Speaker, many taxpayers, constituents, puzzled businessmen and concerned parents are writing inquiries as to why all the emphasis is being placed on 1968 as a year for forceful compliance with every guideline, edict, and program to regulate our lives, our businesses, our unions, our children's futures our manner of worship in this country.

So that all may know and remember the source of the pressure and the cause, I am asking that the international blueprint, that is, the U.N. resolution -- "International Year for Human Rights", designating the year 1968 as the International Year-be printed into the Record, with this question: Must the Governments of South Rhodesia and South Africa be overthrown before the end of 1968?

To indicate, further, to what degree the United States has imperiled its own sovereignty and emasculated its own power, we have but to look at the hearings conducted by the Senate Internal Security Sub-Committee in March, 1954, on the Activities of United States Citizens Employed By the United Nations.

These United State citizens referred to in this report virtually thumbed their noses at their own government, even after the communist party affiliations of many of them were disclosed. The U.S. demanded that they be fired by the United Nations. The Secretary General did fire many of them because of the complaint and evidence furnished by the United States, but a judicial body of the U.N. overturned every one of the dismissals that was based upon communist affiliations, and held that the U.S. had no power to inquire into the political beliefs of employees of the United Nations even though they were United State citizens.

This action was taken despite the fact that almost half of the financial support of the United Nations is furnished by the United States, and in spite of the further fact that the United Nation headquarters is located on United States soil. [Editor's Note: The building was donated to the U.N. by the Rockefeller's]

Through the medium of the United Nations, Russia has benefited to a greater degree than any nation. This is evident by the fact that the United States government, following the unbroken line of appeasement, has continued to give economic aid to the Soviets, and her satellites, even while we were engaged in serious confrontation in Berlin, in Cuba, in Vietnam and in scores of other places in South Africa, Asia and Africa. We have bolstered the Russian economy by furnishing wheat to Russia, while that country was aiding Cuba.

The United Nations has not limited its activities to international issues but has insinuated itself even into the internal affairs of the sovereign states of the United States.

Under this treaty law, the provisions of the United Nations Charter, and the declarations of the various specialized agencies, have application in all states and territories of the United States. State and Federal courts have ruled in many cases that the U.N. treaty law was superior to the laws of states of the federal government." ("The Alabama Legislative Commission to Preserve the Peace," submitted: August 1967, to the Alabama State Legislature)

General Lewis Walt one of the most respected four-star marines and former Assistant Commandant of the Marine Corps had this to say to Congress about War and One-World Government under the United Nations:

"Ladies and gentlemen, I am here today, not as a member of the Armed Forces but as a common citizen, an average American. As one who is deeply and alarmingly concerned about the security of our freedoms.

I am here today to speak to you because I feel it is my duty and obligation to my country. More deeply, I feel an obligation to those Americans whom I have seen sacrifice their lives on the field of battle to preserve freedoms, I believe our freedoms are in greater jeopardy today than ever before in the history of our nation. We are joined now in a most critical battle to preserve our freedoms. To me it is a continuation of the battles in which our heroic Americans have sacrificed their lives. There is not booming guns or dropping bombs but the enemy is real, many faced, insidious and clever, and the results can be just as deadly to our freedoms.

In a democratic Republic, military leaders do not commit their countries to wars. Political leaders initiate the wars and order the military to fight them. The leaders who start the war are never active participants on the field of battle . . . For those who maneuver us into war; war is a game in which our young men are pitted against a designated enemy in deadly combat.

More important, no longer can the internationalist political leaders hope not to be personally involved in a major conflict because intercontinental nuclear weapons are boundless in death and destruction effects. For this reason, I do not believe international political leaders will ever allow a nuclear conflict. But, I also believe that these same boundless weapons of death and destruction will be used to blackmail nations into submission, submission to a new international order, a "one world" government where the Government will be the master and the people will be the slaves.

I believe that our country, the United States of America, will be the first target. I believe that the stage is now being set for the blackmail action.

How else can we explain:

Why we were not allowed to win the war in Korea or Vietnam?

Why we have given the USSR money, food, materials, and technology to allow them to build up the greatest military power in the world in some respects-

Why we are destroying our friends in Taiwan, South Korea, and South Africa, and at the same time, extending a friendly and helping hand to Cuba, Red China, and other Communist dominated countries-

Why we are trying to give away the Panama Canal when its loss would divide our Naval Forces into two parts - and be a severe blow to the economy of our country-

Why have we deliberately cut back the effectiveness and capability of our Armed Forces by denying them the B-1 and other critically needed weapons systems without even requiring a reciprocal reduction of Russian Backfire Bombers?

Why have we denied our nation an anti-aircraft defense and a civil defense while the Soviet Union, in direct violation of the intent and spirit of SALT I agreement, has built a civil defense to protect its people and industries and an anti-aircraft and missile defense of enormous proportions?

The Soviet Union has six times more nuclear explosive power in their intercontinental missile warheads than we have. They have nearly four times the number of submarines and twice the number of combat surface ships than we have. For more than ten years, they have had, in their operating forces, several hundred cruise missiles of two hundred miles range.

As a result of my military training, I have learned to consider only the enemy's capabilities and not his intentions. His intentions can change over night, his capabilities cannot.

This then could be a time for nuclear blackmail. And our nation naked for the lack of defenses, the blackmail could force some political leaders to capitulate.

These national and international political leaders have made other preparations for the opportune hour. They have prepared a "Declaration of INTERdependence" and a "New State of America" Constitution which would subordinate our Constitution, our Armed Forces and our economy to that of the "One World Government" (The United Nations). Our freedoms as guaranteed by our Constitution would no longer exist. No longer would our people be the power and our Government the servant. The Government would be the master and our people would be the slaves.

Is our position hopeless?

No! Not if our people can be awakened to the military, economic and political threat facing us . . . However, time is running out! I predict, that before too long, those who signed or endorsed the "Declaration of INTERdependence," will be telling us that the only way we can save ourselves and other nations from a nuclear holocaust, is to form into a "New World Order" with a one world government. If the average American continues to be misinformed or uninformed or unaware of the blackmail maneuver and the majority of the members of Congress refuse to stand up against such a threat then our case will be hopeless and the middle class, free enterprise and all other freedoms, we have mistakenly taken for granted, will be only memories." (Congressional Record, March 15, 1978)

In his book "The 11th Hour," General Walt had this to say about America's involvement in the U.N. War called Vietnam, "I had to provide the Communist Commanders of the United Nations a 24 hour advance notice of my plans . . . The enemy knew my every move." When General Walt decided he was not going give the United Nations advanced notice, he was relieved from his command. Could this be the reason why America was denied victory against a Third World country? Think about it! We fought a war that lasted three times longer than WW II and walked away without victory. I think it would be wise if ALL Americans stayed off the turnip trucks. And away from their NO WIN WARS.

On Nov. 18, 1969, Congressman Rarick gave this warning to other members of the congress: "The American people are becoming more and more aroused. They are looking for answers and they are not receiving them. They are not going to like what they find when they come face to face with the truth that their country has been stolen from them - their Constitution supplanted by the United Nations organization."

And just 8 years earlier, Congress passed Public Law 87-297, "To Establish a United States Arms Control and Disarmament Act". Section 3 of the Act defines "arms control" and "disarmament" as: ". . . the identification, verification, inspection, limitation, control, reduction, or elimination, of armed forces and armaments of all kinds . . ." Do you think the words "elimination of armed forces and armaments of all kinds" could possibly mean the right of We the People to own and bear arms? Is that what the Brady Bill and Crime Bill are really all about? The ADVERSARY is not stupid! They know what will happen if and when the American people wake-up to what they have done to their Nation. They know the American people will not take with a grain of salt.

Currently the United Nations Convention on "The Rights of the Child", is in front of the Senate as, Senate Resolution 70. If ratified, it will virtually destroy the parental rights to raise their children the way they desire. Under this U.N. sponsored Resolution, any parent who infringes on

his/her children's rights in could be prosecuted with the possibility of having the child removed from the house. This Resolution virtually prohibits corporal punishment and prohibits the parents from telling the child he/she cannot associate with other children the parents feel are undesirable (drug users, gang members etc.).

If this Resolution is passed, it would require the United States to alter a large portion of its laws to cater to the United Nations. It transfers the parental rights to the State. Write to your Senator and ask for a copy of this Resolution. It is further proof of control over Americans by a foreign power called the United Nations.

This is what former Nebraska State Senator and Anti-Christian, Peter Hoagland had this to say about a New-World Order under the United Nations, "Fundamental, Bible believing people do not have the right to indoctrinate their children in their religious beliefs because we, the state, are preparing them for the year 2000, when America will be part of a one-world global society and their children will not fit in."

The International Congress on Mental Health (a U. N. organization) declared in its pamphlet, "Mental Health and World Citizenship", "Prejudice, hostility or excessive nationalism may be deeply embedded in the developing personality without awareness on part of the individual concerned. In order to be effective, efforts of changing individuals must be appropriate to the successive stages of the unfolding personality. While in a case of a group of society, change will be strongly resisted unless an attitude of acceptance is first engendered.

Principles of mental health cannot be successfully furthered in any society unless there is progressive acceptance of the concept of world citizenship . . . Programs for social change to be effective require a joint effort of psychiatrists and social scientists, working together in cooperation with statesman, administrators and others in positions of responsibility." If they are not talking about "BRAINWASHING" and "PROPAGANDA" then I think I need to find a good shrink.

Norman Thomas of the U.S. Socialist Party said, "The American people will never knowingly adopt socialism. But, under the name `liberalism,' they will adopt every fragment of the socialist program, until one day America will be a socialist nation, without knowing how it happened."

George Washington proclaimed, "Against the insidious wiles of foreign influence, the jealousy of a free people ought to be constantly awake; since history and experience prove that foreign influence is one of the most baneful woes of Republican government . . . The great rule of conduct for us, in regard to foreign nations is, in extending our commercial relations to have with them as little political connections as possible. It is our policy to steer clear of permanent alliances, with any portion of the foreign world" Doesn't the United Nations do exactly what Washington said we should avoid?

The American Mercury Magazine, reported the following in Dec. 1957, "The invisible Money Power is working to control and enslave mankind. It financed Communism, Fascism, Marxism, Zionism and Socialism. All of these are directed to making the United States a member of World Government."

On November 1, 1993, former U.S. Senator, Mike Gravel, President, ONE WORLD filed with Dan Lungren, Attorney General, of California, a initiative text of the Philadelphia II Initiative. The Title and Summary prepared by the Attorney General is titled: "WORLD CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION. APPROPRIATION. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUE." Division 3.2 A World Constitutional Convention, Section 600 Preamble, Paragraph 2 states in part: "Governance is required at all levels of human activity . . . Lack of governance at the global level of human activity dooms the planet to mismanagement of its resources and impairs the effectiveness of all governments."

In case you are not wide awake, this initiative measure calls for governing ALL of your human activity. They do not want your rights and freedoms, to impair the effectiveness of their ONE WORLD government control over their slaves.

They even have the audacity to make you, the taxpayer, pay the cost of about \$7.4 million, to fund your own demise. A careful study of the Philadelphia II initiative and its deceptive legal wording and obvious cover-up summary statement to be submitted to the sleeping people by Attorney General Lungren are reasons for charges of TREASON against all parties involved.

Against such an enemy, who has been called Big Brother, there is but one sure defense: we must reinstate the Bible and the Constitution as the guiding principals of our Nation. Unless we do this, national collapse seems inevitable and ARMED REVOLUTION will be our last hope.

If you would like to drop Mr. Gravel a letter. His address is:

ONE WORLD

P.O. Box 2566

479 Alvarado St.

Monterey, California 93942

Lincoln made this prophetic statement over 130 years ago, "If it reaches us, it must spring up from amongst us; it cannot come from abroad. If destruction be our lot, we must ourselves be its author and finisher." Are we going to just sit back and let these words come to pass? WAKE-UP AMERICA! The enemy is within our midst and are putting the finishing touches on their plans of destroying what remains of your rights and liberties.

The Honorable John T. Wood, had this to say about the evils of the United Nations, "Entirely through self-contained authority, without a single particle of any grant of power from the American Constitution, there was formed within the body of the United Nations, self-granted powers to form a one-world government, with hundreds of subsidiary organizations, such as UN-RAA, UNESCO, International Child Welfare, the Human Rights Convention, the Genocide pact, and many others of a similar ilk. While the Charter of the United Nations was brought into being by a United States Senate, certainly it was never intended that these later powers should be spawned from the womb of the United Nations Charter . . ." (Committee To Restore the Constitution, Bulletin #349)

On January 31, 1992, the Arizona Republic reported the following:

"World leaders, meeting in the first 'Security Council summit in history, pressed the United Nations to abandon its hallowed tradition of non-interference in the internal affairs of countries." (Republic Wire Service, United Nations)

Thomas Jefferson said, ""Let us not make it [the Constitution] a blank paper by construction. I say the same as to the opinion who consider the grant of treaty-making power as boundless. If it is, then we have no Constitution."

On June 1, 1994, the United Nations Human Development program released its Human Development Report 1994 which sets forth a blueprint to destroy the sovereign nation state and replace it with a U.N. Dictatorship. The UNDP outlines plans for establishment of "world

institutions" with powers to dictate policies to governments, while simultaneously declaring war on the weak, vulnerable nations of the developing world.

The UNDP report, under the guise of replacing "national security" with "human security" calls for the creation of:

- * A reenergized World Court
- * A World Police Force
- * A World Central Bank
- * A World Treasury
- * A World Trade and Production Organization, which would not only regulate "free trade" but also dictate production quotas to nations.
- * A global tax - which will include taxes on pollution, taxes on savings and a global income tax on nations whose people average \$10,000 per year.

Somebody has to pay for it, why shouldn't it be the sheeple?

If you are still not convinced that the U.N. is being used to usurp our Constitution and military, then here is some further proof. In a book entitled "A New World Order", published by the World Federalist Association, maintains: "Of course world government is our goal . . . Even though the Charter of the UN starts out with the words 'We the Peoples of the United Nations,' the fact is that the UN is an organization for the national governments rather than for the people . . . Analogies to the American experience in Philadelphia in 1787 are not valid; the UN Charter is a much better and more developed document than the Articles of Confederation . . . Maintaining national armies is unnecessary."

Could all this be true? Is the United Nations being used to submerge the sovereignty of the U.S. and set-up a One World Totalitarian Military Government? Paul Scott, a syndicated Washington columnist, revealed in the early 1980's, "It is Henry Kissinger's belief, according to his aides, that by controlling food, one can control people, and by controlling energy, especially oil, one can control nations and their financial systems. By placing food and oil under international control, along with the world's monetary system, Kissinger is convinced a loosely knit WORLD GOVERNMENT operating under the frame work of the United Nations can become a reality in the 1980's" The U.N. in the 1990's is more than a loosely knit organization. If we allow them to carry out their plans, the U.N. will be a tight yoke around our neck.

Kissinger is not alone in his efforts to establish a ONE-WORLD government. Robert McNamara, who headed the World Bank after destroying the U.S. defenses, advocated turning over all food surplus to an international authority (United Nations) for rationing, instead of advocating for full production. Naturally an imbalance between the number of people living and their food supply results in hunger and famines. There is also a greater problem when food supply by planning is limited through agricultural set-aside programs. Today, in America our seed grain has been sold and transferred to Russia. A famine could easily strike our nation. Their actions are enough to make the angels weep.

James Reston of the New York Times contends, "The craziest notion that has hit this country in a long while . . . Is that shortages of gas, beef, and a lot of things are bad for people. What we need are more shortages." What we need are a shortage of men like Mr. Reston.

Only time will tell whether or not Kissinger's belief of controlling food, you can control people and by controlling energy, you can control nations and their financial systems. But, I believe he is right and that we are in a headlong rush toward the Great World Merger, as we find ourselves in a food/fuel/financial crisis that will make the "Great Depression" seem like a Sunday School picnic. If our nation does not WAKE-UP and take a stand against this enemy, they will emerge as ruler of us all, complete with a one-world currency.

This is what Lt. Col. A. Roberts had to say about men like Kissinger and Reston, "Revolutionaries in government have created economic chaos, shortages of food and fuel, confiscatory taxation, a crisis in education, and other diversions to condition Americans for dictatorship." ("The Republic, Decline and Future Promise")

Their treasonous acts do not stop there. Not only have they for all intensive purposes declared a Covert-War and suspended our Constitution via Martial Law Rule, they have created a new Declaration of INTERdependence and a NEWstates Constitution.

On January 19, 1976, Rep. Marjorie Holt noted for the record the "Declaration of INTERdependence" and clearly identified the United Nations as a Communist organization that was seeking both production and monetary control over the United States through International Organizations that promoted One-world Order. (Title 18 U.S.C.A. 1101 (40); and Title 50 U.S.C.A. 781 & 783)

On January 30, 1976, the Declaration of INTERdependence was signed by 128 American traitors (32 Senators and 92 Representatives).

On October 28, 1977 the passage of Public Law 95-147; 91 Stat. 1227, declared most banking institutions, including State banks, to be under the direction and control of the corporate, "Governor" of the International Monetary Fund.

According to Senate Report No. 93-549, the International Organization's intents and purposes are to promote, implement and enforce a "DICTATORSHIP OVER FINANCE IN THE UNITED STATES."

Treasury Delegation Order No. 92 states, the I.R.S. is trained under the direction of the United Nation's Division of "Human Resources" and the Commissioner, trained by the "Office of Personnel Management". Executive Order No. 10422 states that the "Office of Personnel Management is under the direction of the Secretary General of the United Nations." (Title 22 U.S.C.A. 287)

The IRS will become the American tax collector for the United Nations. If you think you already pay to much in taxes supporting those on welfare in America, wait until you start supporting the world's welfare roll.

The "NEWstates Constitution" is the foundation for a despotic, tyrannical Dictatorship. Article 1, Sections 1 and 5 provides for the following: The rights of expression, communication, movement, assembly, petition and Habeas Corpus are all eliminated during a "declared emergency".

The NEWstates Constitution does not include the right of a trial by jury, the right to being informed of the "Nature and Cause" of the accusation or `just' compensation ". This NEWstates Constitution clearly establishes a Police Power State, under the direction and control of a self-appointed oligarchy.

The NEWstates Constitution openly declares among other seditious and treasonous acts that "Until each indicated change in the government shall have been completed the provisions of the existing Constitution and the organs of government shall be in effect . . . All operations of the

national government shall cease as they are replaced by those authorized under this Constitution." (Article XII, Section 3 & 4)

Naturally, the conspirators would also have to take over the Judicial Branch of government, to insure the success of the United Nation. The case of Sei Fujii vs. State, 217 P2d 481, states that all judges in the State of California are bound to uphold the United Nations Charter as the "Supreme Law of the Land". I wonder what size neck the judge has? I wouldn't want the rope to be to lose. Would you?

The judges have taken two oaths of office. The first oath is to "Uphold and Defend the Constitution of the United States of America" and the second oath is to the United Nations. The United Nations oath is called File 61. As soon as, my associates and I became aware of File 61, we went to the Superior Court and requested a copy of a specific judge's File 61. The Clerk of the Court left to get us a copy and when she returned she stated, "You will have to get a copy from the judge himself." Although we were unsuccessful in acquiring a copy of the evidence, the Clerk's testimony that File 61 could only be obtained directly from the judge himself proves to a certain degree that the judges have taken an oath to the United Nations. File 61 and the Sei Fujii case are further proof that our leaders have pledged their allegiance to the United Nation. THAT'S TREASON!

Earlier it was revealed how the President continues Martial Law via Executive Orders and declarations of National Emergencies. While you were sleeping the enemy, prepared the following Executive Orders to be used in implementing their New World Order:

1. Executive Order 10995 takes over all communications.
2. Executive Order 10997 takes over all electric power, petroleum, gas, fuel and minerals.
3. Executive Order 10998 takes over all food resources and farms.
4. Executive Order 10999 takes over all means of transportation, controls highways and seaports.
5. Executive Order 11000 drafts all citizens into work forces under government supervision.
6. Executive Order 11001 takes over all health, welfare and education functions.
7. Executive Order 11002 empowers the Postmaster General to register all citizens nationwide.
8. Executive Order 11003 takes over all airports and aircraft.
9. Executive Order 11004 takes over housing and finance authorities, designates areas to be abandoned as "unsafe", establishes new locations for populations, relocates communities, builds new housing with public funds.
10. Executive Order 11005 takes over all railroads, inland waterways and public storage facilities.
11. Executive Order 11051 designates responsibilities of the Office Emergency Planning, gives authorization to put the above orders into effect in times of increased international tension or economic financial crisis.
12. Executive Order 11490 combined the above into one Executive Order.

On June 3, 1994, President Billary Clinton put the finishing touch when he signed Executive Order 12919. This order places all federal, state and local law enforcement directly under the control of the President. The effective date is the July 4, 1994. If this isn't a slap in the face to our Independence Day, then somebody please tell me why they would have chosen the 4th of July. Knowing what I know about their sinister acts and the way they think, I am sure it was intentional. They are probably sitting back laughing at the private joke between them.

Let police power break loose from local control and it invariably becomes and oppressor instead of a protector. Tyranny can neither be established nor maintained without concentrating all police power in one place. Their assignment becomes one of keeping the oppressive government in power and Executive Order 12919 accomplishes the aforesaid.

Do the above Executive Orders pave the way for a SILENT COUP on the Land of the Free and the Home of the Brave? A tyrannical government is our greatest enemy. If these orders aren't for the take-over of America, you tell me what they are going to be used for? When the President invokes these orders upon the land, Orwell's 1984 will come to life in the land of the sleeping slaves.

* COUP D'ETAT - a sudden decisive exercise of power whereby existing government is subverted without the consent of the people.

Secretary of State, Warren Christopher said, "We must get the New World Order on track and bring the U.N. into its correct role in regards to the United States."

Senator Sam Nunn, head of a delegation to Russia of seven U.S. Senators is quoted by the Washington Times (6/1/94), as saying: "I think that our American people will welcome a Russian military force for peacekeeping purpose . . . It seems to me that both of our countries can further our peacekeeping joint efforts by beginning this joint mission in the United States." Somebody needs to tell Senator NumB his Russian pals are not welcomed on American soil.

Communist agent Henry Kissinger had this to say, "Today, Americans would be outraged if U.N. forces entered Los Angeles to restore order. Tomorrow, they will be grateful." HA! HA! HA!

WAKE-UP, because they are already here. I talked to a military intelligence officer who confirmed reports from across the country of foreign U.N. troops stationed at various locations throughout the United States. According to the Naval intelligence officer, many of his peers are beginning to wonder why such a large build-up of foreign troops, especially Russian troops are on our soil. The estimated conservative number of foreign troop in the continental U.S. is three-hundred thousand. Troop Concentration areas: North Carolina to Virginia . . . 34,000, Texas panhandle to Oklahoma . . . 43,000, Sacramento, California area . . . 56-62,000, Montana to the Canadian front . . . 37,000, Arizona and Nevada . . . 30,000, Midwest . . . 40-50,000. Units are already in place at Fort Drum, New York, Fort Knox, Fort Dix, New Jersey. Fort Polk Louisiana is the North American Training Command Center for the U.N. forces. All supply units are also in place as of this date. The U.S. taxpayers are paying \$12.9 billion for this concentration of foreign U.N. troops on American soil. (Source: Congressional Records S10554, S10555, dated Aug. 15, 1989; DOD Budget Amendments #622 & 647 dated Aug. 15, 1989 for 1990/1991 budget)

Recently I witnessed a large military convoy on Interstate 5 in southern California. The area on the trucks, jeeps, tanks etc. where the emblem of the marines, army, etc. is suppose to be was covered with paper and tape. If this was not a United Nations convoy, why would they cover up the emblems of the U.S. military?

According to a close friend, he and pastor also witnessed several unmarked black helicopters flying on the American side of the American/Mexican border. A call to the FAA (Federal Aviation Administration) confirmed that all aircraft are required to have identification. Perhaps someone should tell the U.N. about this requirement. Otherwise, their aircraft could be construed as an invading enemy aircraft. Which is exactly what they are?

In MaComb County, Michigan, near Selfridge Air Base unmarked black personnel carriers, jeeps etc. are being reported on local roads. According to reliable sources, the troops are dressed in black uniforms and are being flown in from London, Ontario.

The McAlvany Intelligence Advisor, reported that on 6/1/94, Russian tanks were sighted being hauled on flatbed trucks on Interstate 10 near Fort Stockton, Texas. Hundreds of railroad flatcars bearing Russian military vehicles have been sighted and photographed at a railroad crossing at Ryegate, Montana. The vehicles include several varieties of the ZIL-131 heavy trucks, including the ARS-14 type, which are chemical and biological decontamination vehicles. Are they planning to use chemical and biological weapons on us if we don't co-operate with their sinister plans?

In May and June a half dozen sightings from Pennsylvania to Montana to Colorado were made of long trains loaded with Russian and U.N. military vehicles.

Naturally, the enemy will need concentration camps to put their P.O.W.'s in, and a video has already been made of one such camp in Indiana. It is a former railroad repair yard. According to the video the barbed wire faces inward to keep people from getting out. The turnstiles revolve in and do not revolve out. The buildings have been reconstructed that all entry is made by the same kind of turnstile, with the exception of one door.

Efforts were made to locate the construction company who's name appeared on the fence, but the company does not seem to exist. The workers on the job report that they don't know what it is that they are building and the crews only work for a short period of time before they are taken off the job and new crews take-over.

Reports have come in from all across the country of similar concentration camps being built, and truck drivers are saying they are delivering massive amounts of barbed wire to remote locations.

Justice William O. Douglas warned, "As nightfall does not come at once, neither does oppression [invasion]. In both instances there is a twilight where everything remains seemingly unchanged. And it is in such twilight that we all must be aware of change [invasion] in the air - however slight - lest we become unwitting victims of darkness [war]."

Jefferson was not engaging in idle rhetoric when he spoke of the revolutionary right of the American people to over-throw their government.

As I close out the Chapter, I want to return to the Federal Reserve for just a few moments to provide further evidence of their plans for One-World Government that has been right under our noses. On the back of a \$1.00 Federal Reserve Note.

Isn't it rather interesting that we have Latin on our Nation's money supply, when our official language is English.

The Latin words Annuit Coeptis means "Announcing The Birth Of".

The Latin phrase Novus Ordo Seclurum means "New Order World".

Please note the cap stone is separated from the rest of the pyramid. This symbolizes that the work has not been completed. Their work will be completed when they have control of the world. The eye in the pyramid represents the all seeing eye of Lucifer.

Thomas Jefferson said, *"What country can preserve its liberties, if its rulers are not warned from time to time that its people preserve the spirit of resistance."*

Perhaps its time to demonstrate our spirit of resistance with some good old fashion Made in America FIREWORKS in Honor of Thomas Jefferson.

The Traitors Amongst Us

"An invisible hand is guiding the populace." LaFayette

By now, many of you must be wondering, who is the power behind the throne?

In the 50th anniversary of "The Foreign Affairs," the official publication of the Council of Foreign Relations entitled, "Reflecting our National Purpose" stated, "Our national purpose should be to abolish our nationality." Remember, the CFR was instrumental in the United Nations.

Admiral Chester Ward, declared, "The goal of the Council of Foreign Relation is submergence of the U.S. sovereignty and national independence into an all powerful ONE-WORLD government and equally important is the C.F.R influence in our mass media. They control or own most major newspapers, magazines, radio and television networks."

Dan Smoot, a former member of the FBI Headquarters staff in Washington, D.C. summarized the CFR's purpose as follows: "The ultimate aim of the CFR is to create a one-world socialist system and make the U.S. an official part of it."

Carroll Quigley, Professor of History at Georgetown University, stated, "The Council of Foreign Relations is the American Branch of a society which originated in England and believes national boundaries should be obliterated and one-world rule established."

On March 29, 1981 the Associated Press reported, "In some quarters of the world, the Trilateral Commission whose founder is David Rockefeller is pictured as a nefarious [unspeakably wicked] communist conspiracy. Elsewhere it is a cabal [a secret scheme of plotters] seeking to chain the worlds people to the chains of capitalism."

John Hylan, Mayor of New York 1918-1925, said, "The real menace of our republic is the invisible government which like a giant octopus sprawls its slimy legs over our cities, states and nation."

Walt Rostow, CFR member and U.N. spokesman stated, "It is in the American interest to put an end to nationhood."

The American Legion declared the Council of Foreign Relations to be Subversive. In its Resolution No. 62-631-28 of July 1, 1962, the Legion states in part:

". . . The Council of Foreign Relations has been exposed by extensive research and much testimony as being engaged in destroying the Constitution and the Sovereignty of the United States of America . . .

". . . Hundreds of thousands of Americans have died for liberty, and this liberty is being swiftly destroyed by members and programs of the Council of Foreign Relations, who, in the name of One-Worldism and United Nationism, are surrendering our country to the enemy, proposing that our country be disarmed unilaterally or bilaterally, that all individuals in the United States be disarmed to make enemy conquest easier, that our Armed Forces be turned over to the Communist-controlled United Nations."

Senator Barry Goldwater, in his book "With No Apologies", wrote: "The Trilateral Commission is international and is intended to be the vehicle for multinational consolidation of the commercial and banking interests by seizing control of the political government of the United States. The

Trilateral Commission represents a skillful, coordinated effort to seize control and consolidate the four centers of power - Political, Monetary, Intellectual and Ecclesiastical."

Mr. Goldwater goes on to write, "Does it not seem strange to you that these men just happen to be CRF and just happen to be on the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve, that absolutely controls the money and interest rates of this great country without benefit of Congress? A private owned organization, the Federal Reserve which has absolutely nothing to do with the United States of America."

Rear Admiral Chester Ward warned the American people with these words: "The most powerful clique in these elitist groups have one objective in common - they want to bring about the surrender of the sovereignty of the national independence of the United States. A second clique of international members in the CFR comprises the Wall Street international bankers and their key agents. Primarily, they want the world banking monopoly from whatever power ends up in the control of global government."

CFR member Zbigniew Breninski (National Security Advisor to President Carter) proclaimed: "The technetronic era involves the gradual appearance of a more controlled society. Such a society would be dominated by an elite, unrestrained by traditional values."

Walter Cronkite of CBS pointed out, "The Rockefeller's are the epitome of the nation's permanent establishment: Governments change, economics fluctuate, foreign alliances shift - The Rockefeller's prevail."

Congressman John Rarick warns: "The CFR dedicated to one-world government, financed by a number of the largest tax-exempt foundations, and wielding such power and influence over our lives in the areas of finance, business, labor, military, education and mass communication media should be familiar to every American concerned with good government and with preserving and defending the U.S. Constitution and our free enterprise system. Yet, the nation's right-to-know machinery, and the news media, usually so aggressive in exposures to inform our people, remain conspicuously silent when it comes to the CFR, its members and their activities. The CFR is the establishment. Not only does it have influence and power in key decision making positions at the highest levels of government to apply pressure from above, but it also finances and uses individuals and groups to bring pressure from below, to justify the high level decisions for converting the U.S. from a sovereign Constitutional Republic into a servile member of a one-world dictatorship."

Are you beginning to get the picture?

Col. Fletcher Prouty, Liaison Officer between the Pentagon and the CIA, had this to say, "The Secret Team is a group of men who can orchestrate the activities of the United States government. They are from industry, big business, the military, big banks and big lawyers . . . They manipulate the government policy." ("The Secret Team")

Now let's take a look at the Secret Team. The following is a partial list of past and present members of the Council of Foreign Relations and/or Trilateral Commission: George Bush, Billary Clinton, Reagan, Ford, Carter, Nixon, Mr. Gravel President of "ONE WORLD", Henry (the Peacemaker) Kissinger, Brent Scowcroft, National Security Advisor, Richard Cheney, Secretary of Defense, Collin Powell, Joint Chiefs of Staff, Wm Webster, Dir, C.I. A., Dick Thornborough, Attorney General, Nicholas Brady, Secretary of the Treasury, Richard Darman, Director Office of Management of Budget, James Williams, Dept. of Education, Horace Dawson, U.S. Information Agency, Alan Greenspan, Chairman, Federal Reserve, Cyrus Vance, Federal Reserve, Paul Volker, Federal Reserve, Allied Supreme Commanders: Eisenhower, Ridgeway, Gruenther, Norstad, Lemnitz, Goodpaster, Haig, Rogers, Secretaries of Defense: McElroy, Gates, McNamara, Laird,

Richardson, Rumsfeld, Brown, Weinberger, Carlucci, Cheney, Superintendents U.S. Military Academy at Westpoint: Westmorland, Lampert, Bennett, Knowlton, Berry, The list goes on and on and includes: Congressmen, Supreme Court Judges, Presidents of Colleges and Universities, Ambassadors, Military, Officers of Exxon, Texaco, Shell, Mobil, John Deere, IBM, Amtrak, AT&T, Chrysler, GM, Ford, GE, Chase Manhattan Bank, Chemical Bank, Citicorp, Morgan Guaranty, Bankers Trust of New York, First National Bank of Chicago, Manufactures Hanover, and Export-Import Bank, just to name a few.

Members of the CFR and TLC in the current Billary Clinton administration include, Billary Clinton, Secretary of State Warren Christopher, Deputy Secretary of State Clifton Wharton, CIA Director James Woolsey, National Security Advisor Anthony Lake, Deputy National Security Advisor Samuel Berger, Secretary of Defense Les Aspin, Chairman of Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board William Crowe, U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations Madeline Albright, Secretary of the Treasury Lloyd Bentsen, Deputy Secretary of Health and Human Services Donna Shalala, Deputy Director Office of Management and Budget Alice Rivlin, Secretary of Housing and Urban Development Henry Cisneros, Chairman, Council of Economic Advisors Laura Tyson, and Secretary of the Interior Bruce Babbitt. The top 35 members of the Billary Clinton administration, including the entire cabinet belong to the CFR and/or the TLC. The Clinton administration is filled with TRAITORS! With enemies like this, who needs an honest government?

If you thought Ross Perot was any different, you have got another thing coming. The May 29, 1992, issue of the Wall Street Journal revealed the following, "FRIEND IN NEED: Perot's candidacy for the prestigious Council on Foreign Relations several years ago was seconded by . . . George Bush. A Perot aide says Bush's seconding letter was 'lovely,' but that there aren't any plans to release the text." Surprise! Surprise! Perot, Bush and Clinton are all on the same side. If you think we have a two party system, or even a three party system, you are dreaming. It may have been a nice dream while it lasted, but it is time to WAKE-UP to reality.

By the way, there has never been a Chairman of the Federal Reserve that hasn't been a member of the Council of Foreign Relations.

Benjamin Franklin said, "Man will ultimately be governed by GOD or tyrants." As a nation, we are certainly not being governed by God, so therefore we must be governed by ungodly TYRANTS!

By now, many of you are probably asking yourself, "If all this is true, why hasn't the media told us?" John Swinton, former Chief of Staff of the New York Times, answered this question while giving a toast before the New York Press Club in 1953: He is quoted as follows: "There is no such thing, at this date of the world's history, in America, as an independent press. You know it and I know it. There is not one of you who dares to write you honest opinions, and if you did, you know before hand that it would never appear in print. I am paid weekly for keeping my honest opinion out of the paper I am connected with. Others of you are paid similar salaries for similar things, and any of you who would be so foolish to write honest opinions would be out on the streets looking for another job. If I allowed my honest opinions to appear in one issue of my paper, before twenty-four hours my occupation would be gone. The business of the journalist is to destroy the truth; to lie outright; to pervert; to vilify; to fawn at the feet of mammon, and to sell his country and his race for his daily bread. You know it and I know it and what folly is this toasting and independent press! We are the tools and vassals of rich men behind the scenes. We are the jumping jacks, they pull the strings and we dance. Our talents, our possibilities and our lives are all the property of other men. We are intelligent prostitutes."

Richard Cohan, Senior Producer of CBS political news said, "We are going to impose our AGENDA on the coverage of dealing with issues and subjects that WE choose to deal with."

Richard, former President of CBS News stated, "Our job is to give people not what they want, but what WE decide they ought to have."

Hard to believe? If there is any doubt - read on.

International MONEY-CHANGER David Rockefeller said, "We are grateful to the Washington Post, The New York Times, Time Magazine and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years . . . It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subject to the bright lights of publicity during those years. But, the world is now more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national auto determination practiced for past centuries."

Media members of the subversive (TREASONOUS) Council of Foreign Relations and/or Trilateral Commission include: Dan Rather, Henry Reasoner, Bill Moyers, Jane Pheiffer, David Brinkley, John Chancellor, Marvin Kalb, Irving Levine, Ted Koppel, John Scalli, Barbara Walters, Wm F. Buckley, Robert McNeil and Jim Leher, Connie Chung, Bret Hume and David Horowitz. As well as, other top officials of CBS, NBC/RCA, ABC, CNN, PBS, Associated Press, United Press International, Reuters, Boston Globe, L.A. Times, Denver Post, Baltimore Sun, Washington Times, New York Times, Time Inc., Newsweek, Washington Post, Readers Digest, Dow Jones & Co. and the National Review. Just to name a few of the TRAITORS.

"Our Republic and its free press will rise and fall together." Joseph Pulitzer

To illustrate the power of the media in America, on February 29, 1992, a very important historical event took place at the Martin Luther King Jr. Auditorium in New York. This event, the International War Crimes Tribunal was completely blocked out by the media in America. A 22 member International War Crimes Tribunal representing the People of five continents concluded 12 months of gathering and reviewing evidence. Before a public meeting of 1,500 people, the Tribunal, headed by former United States Attorney General, Ramsey Clark found George Bush and others in his administration guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of 19 charges of war crimes, crimes against peace and crimes against humanity for U.S. conduct before, during and after the war against Iraq.

The audience leaped to its feet when the verdict was read and in a standing ovation chanted the verdict over and over. "Guilty! Guilty! Guilty!"

World, media recognized the International War Crimes Tribunal as an historical event, two of Japan's biggest dailies and Tokyo Broadcasting System, Danish National Television, and newspapers throughout the Middle East, North Africa and Europe published extensive reports.

Why then, did the media in the United State completely black out Tribunal coverage. They all knew of the Tribunal. They made up half of the journalists who signed in February 29, 1992. A letter from Ramsey Clark reminded heads of the media corporations of their responsibility to report the news. Yet individual journalists told the Tribunal media staff that an attempt to cover the Tribunal could lead to their being fired. All evidence points out to a systematic, coordinated censorship within the United States of the Tribunals findings.

Only a free media can represent a free people. So why hasn't the media told us the truth about the Council of Foreign Relations, The Trilateral Commission, the findings of the International War Crimes Tribunal and the real purpose of the United Nations? Why, because the so-called

"Freedom of the Press" in America isn't free, and that's why they haven't told you! They didn't want to WAKE-UP the SLEEPING GIANT.

Thomas Jefferson declared, "Their purpose is to diffuse the knowledge more generally amongst the mass."

For those of you who are having a hard time accepting these truths presented to you for the first time, these words from Mr. Al St. Clair, President of Informed Consent may be helpful: "How is it then, that most of us are seeing this shocking truth for the first time in 1994? The truth is that those we trusted with positions of leadership, both state and federal, have betrayed our trust. Blinded by ambition and the fear of loss, they have denied the real consequences of their actions even to themselves and at the expense of their own families. It is difficult to acknowledge that all these men and women could individually and collectively be guilty of treason against the Constitution and the people they claim to represent. But the facts tell an irrefutable story. Now, We the American people, must face and deal with the problem before we become 'Feudal Slaves' in their newly constructed NEW WORLD ORDER."

The following "Occasional Letter No. 1" of Rockefeller's General Educational Board was issued in 1904, it reveals in part how they look upon the people as being mere sheeple:

"In our dreams we have limitless resources and the people yield themselves with perfect docility to our molding hands. The present education conventions fade from their minds, and unhampered by tradition, we work our own good will upon a grateful and responsive rural folk. We shall not try to make these people or any of their children into philosophers or men of learning, or men of science. We have not to raise up from among them authors, editors, poets or men of letters. We shall not search for embryo great artists, painters, musicians nor lawyers, doctors, preachers, politicians, statesmen, of whom we have an ample supply.

The task we set before ourselves is very simple as well as a very beautiful one, to train these people as we find them to a perfectly ideal life where they are. So we will organize our children and teach them to do a perfect way the things their fathers and mothers are doing in an imperfect way, in the homes, in the shops and on the farms."

Perhaps he should have continued by saying, 'We have them where we want them. Our propaganda has worked. They have given up and really believe there is nothing they can do about what we have done to them. They are our sleeping slaves.'

Do You Really Want?

George Washington proclaimed, "Government is not reason; it is not eloquence; it is a force! Like fire, it is a dangerous servant and fearful master."

Do you really want a Government that has usurped the powers and authority of We The People?

Article I, Section 1 of the Constitution states:

"All legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives."

Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution specifies the Powers of Congress as follows:

The Congress shall have the Power to lay and collect Taxes, Duties, Imposts and Excises, to pay Debts and provide for the common Defense and general Welfare of the United States; but all Duties, Imposts and Excises shall be uniform throughout the United States;

To borrow Money on the credit of the United States;

To regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes;

To establish an uniform Rule of Naturalization, and uniform Laws on the subject of Bankruptcies throughout the United States;

To coin Money, regulate the Value thereof, and of foreign Coin, and fix the Standard of Weights and Measures;

To provide for the Punishment of counterfeiting the Securities and current Coin of the United States;

To establish Post offices and post roads;

To promote the Progress of Science and useful Arts, by securing for limited Times to Authors and Inventors the exclusive Right to their respective Writings and Discoveries;

To constitute Tribunals inferior to the Supreme Court;

To define and punish Piracies and Felonies committed on the high Seas, and Offenses against the Laws of Nations;

To declare War, grant Letters of Marque and Reprisal, and make Rules concerning Captures on Land and Water;

To raise and support Armies, but no Appropriation of Money to that Use shall be for a longer Term than two Years;

To provide and maintain a Navy;

To make Rules for the Government and Regulation of the land and naval Forces;

To provide for calling forth the Militia to execute the Laws of the Union; suppress Insurrection and repel Invasion;

To provide for organizing, arming, and disciplining, the Militia, and for governing such Part of them as may be employed in the Service of the United States, reserving to the States respectively, the Appointment of the Officers, and the Authority of training the Militia according to the discipline prescribed by Congress;

To exercise Legislation in all Cases whatsoever, over such District (not exceeding ten Miles square) as may, be cession of particular States, and the Acceptance of Congress, become the Seat of the Government of the United States, and to exercise like Authority over all Places purchased by the consent of the Legislature of the State in which the Same shall be, for the Erection of Forts, Magazines, Arsenals, dock-yards, and other needful Buildings; - And

To make all Laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers, and all other Powers vested by this Constitution in the Government of the United States, or in any Department or Officer thereof.

The aforesaid are the only Powers, We the People granted to Congress. Their limited Powers are reconfirmed by the following Amendments:

Amendment IX, "The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the People."

Amendment X, "The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the People."

Our rights come from God, not from Government. Our rights cannot be taken away by any government, unless we allow it to happen. In communist countries, people only have the rights that were given them by the government. Under our State and Federal Constitutions, Government only receives that portion of the God-Given rights which We The People, by contract, cede over to it. All State and Federal Constitutions bind the Governments to very limited powers and all other rights are granted to the People by the Creator. It would take a blind man not to be able to see that the government has usurped the Powers granted to it by We The People. America is slowly turning to the ways of a One-World Military Dictatorship under the United Nations.

Do you really want a government that sends our young to fight in no win wars? The Constitution says, "The Congress shall have power to declare war." Although Korea and Vietnam were not wars. Hundreds of thousands of Americans still died at the hand of an enemy. They were fought under the auspice of the U.N. PEACEKEEPING. Keeping peace by dropping bombs doesn't make sense. Our troops are at the mercy of the United Nations. The Persian Gulf War is just another prime example that Congressional approval is not even necessary to send our young men/women to fight on foreign soil.

Thomas Jefferson gave us these words to live by, "Let no more be heard of confidence in man but bind him down from mischief by the chains of the Constitution." And that's exactly what needs to be done. But first, we must over-throw those who seek to over-throw us.

Do you really want a government that continues to allow a private corporation to control our money system and impose taxes on us for the benefit of the MONEY-CHANGERS? Would you send your taxes to Federal Express? Then why send your hard earned money to the Federal Reserve via the IRS?

Do you really want a government that exchanges government bonds which are secured by a first lien on all property in the nation for unsecured worthless paper money? That is exactly what happens, we give them a lien on our nation and in exchange they give us their FIAT money. The same thing holds true with the mortgage on your home. They give you money that they created out of thin air, and you give them a lien on your home.

Do you really want a government where your congressmen write millions of dollars in bad checks, and gets away with it? If the Judiciary was serving the people, all of our "so-called" leaders who wrote bad checks would be removed from office under Article II, Section 4, of the Constitution "The President, Vice-President and all civil Officers of the United States, shall be removed from Office on Impeachment for, and Conviction of, Treason, Bribery, or other high Crimes and Misdemeanors." We could have gotten rid of a majority of the traitors, had the fox not been guarding the chicken coop. I dare you to follow their example and see how long you remain a FREE-MAN. The fox will have you for dinner. You know it, and I know it!

Do you really want a government where ex-President Bush's son was involved in plundering the Savings and Loans, and gets away with it, while you, the sleeping taxpayers are held responsible for the bail out? And now, President Clinton is involved in the Whitewater Development Corp. and Guaranty Savings and Loan collapse. Talk about the fox guarding the chicken coop, he (Clinton) is going to have his appointed Attorney General, Janet Reno appoint a independent investigator to conduct the investigation. Crime does pay, if you are a politician and can appoint your own Independent Investigator. Once again, I dare you to follow their example, rob a Savings and Loan with an "ink pen". See how long you remain a FREE MAN and, if they will let you appoint your own independent investigator. GOOD LUCK!

William K. Black, deputy director of the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation, testified before Congress in 1987 and warned that insider abuse and fraud, along with a pattern of quick growth, risky investments and "insane" loans designed to create an illusion were overwhelming responsible for virtually all failures, FSLIC's growing insolvency, and huge losses.

To hold the American Taxpayers responsible for the bail out of the S & L's and Banks is not only unlawful, but according the 1849 Constitution of California, it is UNCONSTITUTIONAL pursuant to Article IV, Section 36, "Each stockholder of a corporation, or joint-stock association, shall be individually and personally liable for his portion of all its debts and liabilities." Your share of their liability is approximately \$3,200.

Do you really want a government that is involved in drug trafficking, child sex rings and Satanic cults? Evidence presented in Senator's John DeCamp's book, "The Franklin Cover-up", and former FBI agent Ted Guderson's book, "The Mystery of the Carefully Crafted Hoax: A Report by The Nebraska Leadership Conference", missing children are being exploited in a child's sex ring and infants are being sacrificed in what is described in the books as "SATANIC RITUALS." According to testimony by children that passed polygraph tests, the sex ring and satanic rituals involves politicians and leads all the way to the White House and George Bush when he was Vice-President.

Evidence of the White House administration involvement of drug smuggling can be found in Lt. Col. James "Bo" Gritz's book, "Called To Serve." Col. Gritz proves with documentation that the White House was heavily involved in drug smuggling activities in Burma (the Golden Triangle) and Panama. If you will recall, Manuel Noriega said, he would expose George Bush's involvement in drug smuggling. They shut him up behind prison bars, just like they have many other political prisoners.

The Iran/Contra affair of drugs for guns should have outraged the public. Instead, Col. Oliver North became an American Hero to many. What is wrong with these Americans that they make a

Hero out of a Traitor and drug smuggler, and praise Government for their so-called "war on drugs"? Instead of serving 10 to 25 years in prison, he may be serving 4 years in the Senate. The current United States society is a colossal failure.

Although the author cannot prove the things contained in either of these books, the evidence does appear to be overwhelming. I leave it to you the reader, to read the books and to evaluate the information, and to arrive at your own conclusion. If it is done impartially, I have no doubt you will realize that something isn't right in Denmark or at the White House.

To further support the above accusations, Senator John Kerry, Chairman of the Foreign Relations Committee on terrorists, revealed evidence of billions of dollars in fraud by the Bank of Credit and Commerce in support of nefarious schemes of money laundering, prostitution, and arms and drug trafficking.

The CIA admitted it had used BCCI for "international administrative transactions". (St Louis Post-Dispatch, October 15, 1992) If the CIA didn't know what was going on with the BCCI, then the CIA needs to be shut-down.

Do you really want a government who sends billions of your tax dollars to other countries, in the name of Foreign Aid, while millions of your countrymen, women and children are homeless on a continent your Fore-Fathers once conquered?

Do you really want a government which pays our farmers not to plant their land? Naturally an imbalance of between the number of people living and their food supply results in hunger and famines. There is also a greater problem when food supply by planning is limited through agricultural programs. People of the world are going hungry, while our tax dollars are used to limited production. How sad!

Do you really want a government who will sell-out American jobs? NAFTA (North America Free Trade Agreement) will cost our nation millions of jobs. How can Americans compete with Mexican workers who make \$6.00 per day? It could be your job that is lost!

Do you really want a government who seals the files of an assassinated president for 75 years? If there was no conspiracy behind the murder of President Kennedy, then why is there a conspiracy to keep the truth from the People? Why did the 1978 House Select Committee on Assassination put its files under lock and key? If the CIA has nothing to hide, why are their files secret? They did such a great job of covering up the truth behind his assassination, that when a further autopsy was going to be conducted, President Kennedy's brain was missing from the National Archives. Nobody knew what happened to his brain, it just disappeared. How convenient! And isn't it rather amazing that JFK fired Allen Dulles as the head of the CIA, and Dulles was appointed to the Warren Commission to investigate his death? And the American people just accepted what the liars told them. It's hard to be proud of being an American when our leaders are liars and thieves.

Congressman Gonzales, Chairman of the Select Committee on Assassinations, told it like it is, "Strong organized forces have combined to stop the inquiry at any cost."

Speaking of the Assassination Committee, Judge Charles Sweet maintained, "The press twisted, distorted, and lied, and has made every effort to wreck this investigation. There are certain vested interests in this country that don't want the truth to come out."

Former top ranking official of the CIA, Victor Marchetti said, "The more I have learned, the more concerned I have become that the government was involved in the assassination of President Kennedy."

In Mark Lane's book, "Plausible Denial", the jury forewoman in the civil case of E. Howard Hunt vs. Liberty Lobby, is quoted as saying, "Based on the facts and evidence presented at this trial, E. Howard Hunt and the CIA were in fact involved in the assassination of President Kennedy, and they should be brought to trial for justice." Unfortunately, this was a civil case that involved a CIA memo that the Liberty Lobby printed in their publication, "The Spotlight". Needless to say, E. Howard Hunt and other members of the CIA have not been brought to trial. I for one believe the truth will eventually come out, but only after everyone involved in the assassination are dead and in the grave. Don't you think seventy-five years should be long enough?

Kennedy himself put it best when he said, "A nation that is afraid to let its people judge the truth and falsehood in an open market is a nation that is afraid of its people."

Do you really want to live the life of lawyers who have weighed us down with heavy burdens? Presiding Judge Arthur Jones of the Superior Court in San Diego, California has quoted California Legislators as saying, "We don't have time to even read the laws we're supposed to be voting on - let alone understand them."

Do you really want a court system whereas, the attorney approaches the bench and talk in whispers, meet in the judge's chambers and sends the jury out of the courtroom while they hide evidence from the juror's and then directs a verdict of guilty? Go spend a couple of days at your local court house. Find out for yourself! And while you are there, take a look at the President's flag of WAR.

Do you really want a government that has turned your inalienable rights into privileges?

President John Adams had this to say about your rights, "You have rights antecedent to all earthly governments; rights cannot be repealed or restrained by human laws; rights derived from the great legislator of the universe." Unfortunately, today's leaders have a different opinion of what your rights should be.

Do you really want a government, who sweeps under the rug the TREASONOUS ACTS of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (war)?

Do you really want a government who betrayed the Americans taken as hostages by Iran, in order to protect the MONEY-CHANGERS? According to the August 26, 1979 issue of Parade Magazine, "Henry Kissinger told King Faisal and the former Shah of Iran to increase the price of oil and even encouraged a major rate hike. The Shah issued a national policy that all letters of credit for the purchase of oil go through the Chase Manhattan international Bank (Rockefeller's bank). Kissinger later brought David Rockefeller into the State Department as an advisor. Of course, one good turn deserves another so Rockefeller returned the favor by bringing Kissinger into the Chase Manhattan as a consultant after he left government. Kissinger was made Chairman of the banks International Advisory Committee."

The article goes on to say, "Neither Kissinger or officials of the Chase Manhattan deny the facts contained herein."

When Khomeini took power after the Shah was exiled, he demanded that the \$8 billion deposited in the Chase Manhattan International Bank be returned to the nation of Iran. Under fractional reserve policies, the Chase had loaned out upwards of \$64 billion, the withdrawal of \$8 billion

dollars from the Chase Bank would have under capitalized the bank, forcing it into insolvency. Consequently the money was denied and the hostages were kept as collateral. Thank you PEACEMAKER Kissinger you are a true American traitor. I hope you do not die from natural causes like your fellow traitor Nixon did, before you stand trial for your crimes against the nations.

Do you really want a government who has committed acts of war against American soldiers? The radiation tests on unknowing soldiers was a silent war against those who served our country faithfully.

Perhaps we should legalize Marijuana (hemp). I think we are going to need a lot of rope when, we finally hang all of these TRAITORS. And if you, answered "Yes", to any of the above questions, you should be standing on the gallows right next to them.

The Great Foreclosure

The U.N. Monthly Chronicle reported the following in May 1974, "We, the members of the U.N . . . Solemnly proclaim our united determination to work urgently for the establishment of a new international economic order."

Kurk Koch, Ph. D., said, "The system will be made up of a single currency, single centrally financed government, single tax system, single political system, single world court of justice, and single head [one individual leader] . . . Each person will have a registered number, without which he will not be allowed to buy or sell; . . . Anyone who refuses to take part in this universal system will have no right to exist." (When Your Money Fails, by Mary Relfe)

Naturally the ONE-WORLD Government envisioned by the MONEY CHANGERS will require a ONE-WORLD Currency. But with the U.S. dollar, the German mark, the Swiss franc, the English pound, and Japanese yen etc. all being different in value. They must come up with a way to make all currency equal in value. The easiest and surest way is to collapse the world economy and make all the currency's worthless. If you think it will not happen, you really have a rude awakening coming. When the day the great foreclosure becomes a reality, on that day all possessions - your home, car, the family farm, and all property will become the property of the MONEY-CHANGERS. If you don't believe me, let me quote this from the March 9, 1933 Congressional Record, ". . . The money is issued to the banks in return for Government obligations, bills of exchange, drafts, notes, trade acceptances, and banker's acceptances. The money will be worth 100 cents on the dollar, because it is backed by the credit of the nation. It will represent a mortgage on all homes and other property of all the people in the nation." That includes you too!

If you are still not convinced, in Senate Document No. 43, we find this startling truth, "The ultimate ownership of all property is in the State; individual so-called 'ownership' is by virtue of government, i.e., law, amounting to mere user; and must be in accordance with law and subordinate to the necessities of the State." And you thought once you paid off the mortgage on your home that it belonged to you. The government has other plans for your property. They plan on giving it the MONEY-CHANGERS when they foreclose on the national debt and set-up their ONE-World Dictatorship. The foreclosure could happen as easily as the MONEY-CHANGERS simply refusing to renew Treasury Notes, bonds, etc. and calling in loans. Oh by the way, most of your loans and mortgages are "payable upon demand."

Former Secretary of Treasury, George Humphrey declared, "We are on the verge of something that will curl a man's hair to think about it. It will make the depression of the 1930's look like rip roaring prosperity."

Former Labor Secretary Ray Marshall said, "All signs are that we are in for a depression."

Leon Kendall, President of the Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation warned, "The name of the game for those of us, is kind of a survival economics."

Dr. France Pic proclaimed, "There is going to be an economic crash that will affect all currency and will happen over night."

Former Chief of West Germany's Central Bank, Otto Emminger had this to say, "The whole structure could, like Humpty Dumpty, have a great fall."

The Reverend Billy Graham gave us this solemn warning, "We are poised on the brink of disaster. Our everyday headlines seems to suggest that our world is hurtling out of control."

Former California Governor Jerry Brown declared, "We are headed for WW III probably preceded by a world depression. Currently the U.S. is an island of influence in a sinking sea of despair without any hope."

Former IRS official Coleman Andrews said, "I defy man or devil to say that the dollar can survive more than a few years."

On July 22, 1991 Sir James Goldsmith proclaimed, "I think 1987 was a seismic warning . . . The White House estimates on the federal deficits and economic growth are too optimistic. Financial chaos is coming."

John Exter, former New York Fed and Citicorp Executive confessed, "The present worldwide fiat paper money system is going to break down. We are headed for the worst economic catastrophe in all history . . . On a scale never before seen in all history."

The GREAT FORECLOSURE on loans and acquisition of collateral wealth will complete the enslavement of the victims. When the day our nation's bankruptcy becomes a fact, on that day, all your possessions - your home, your farm, your savings accounts, your bonds and everything of value will be confiscated and will become the property of the owners of the Federal Reserve Banking System.

Senator Carter Glass remarked, "I never thought the Federal Reserve System would prove such a failure. The country is in a state of irreversible bankruptcy."

The Dallas Federal Reserve Bank said, "The U.S. government has violated the principles of long-run fiscal solvency . . . and is headed for insolvency." (Los Angeles Times 12/28/92)

And less than 3 months later Representative Traficant (Ohio) confessed, "Mr Speaker, we are now in chapter 11. Members of Congress are official trustees presiding over the greatest reorganization of any bankrupt entity in world history, the U.S. Government. We are setting forth hopefully a blueprint for our future. There are some who say it is a coroner's report that will lead to our demise." (Congressional Record, Vol. 139, No. 33, March 17, 1993) They simply cannot hide the facts from the people much longer. Eventually, it will be headline news. Perhaps the headline will read, "THE HOUSE OF CARDS HAS FALLEN"

Naturally, in order to have a ONE-WORLD GOVERNMENT the world will need a ONE-WORLD CURRENCY. Unfortunately, the coming world depression will make the GREAT DEPRESSION look like a Sunday picnic. When the financial collapse becomes a reality, I believe the people will turn to government for a solution to the economic mess they have fallen into. Naturally, the MONEY-CHANGERS through their agents (government politicians) will appear on the scene riding a white horse, and will offer a solution to save the people. Here is Part I of their solution for a new monetary system as published by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve, Washington, D.C.

"Alice In Debitland"

"It's 1982. Alice has pushed through the revolving door of a department store and selected several items. Reaching into her wallet, she pulls out a debit card with such beautifully printed letters it seems to say "USE ME." She has already adjusted easily to the change credit cards have made to her life. So Alice reasoned, "If a credit card postpones payments, then a debit card probably speeds it up. It's sure to make some change in the size of my bank account and either way I will have my purchases." Alice hands her debitcard to the clerk who inserts it into the computer terminal. At the press of a button, electronic impulses fly to the Wonderland Bank

where Alice keeps her checking account. Automatically and instantaneously, funds are transferred from her account to the merchants.

Alice's debitcard is just one way to use the electronic funds transfer system that effects payment by substituting an electronic signal for cash or checks. Are we headed for a "cashless and checkless" society? Although the EFT Act provides that your employer or government agency can require you to receive your salary or a government benefit check by electronic funds transfer, you have the right to choose the financial institution at which you will receive the funds."

Art Bushkin, a official of the Commerce Department, declared the Electronic Funds Transfer System would be a, "means of massive surveillance of the population." (Chicago Tribune Aug. 2, 1979)

John Reynolds, former President of the Interbank Card Association professed, "We have to begin to reduce the amount of paper [money] that is passing back and forth. Total EFT [Electronic Funds Transfer] is really just around the corner." (The New Money System, by Mary Relfe)

"If there is just one card . . . it will be issued by the government." (Business Week, April 18, 1977) Doesn't it make you wonder what the "National Health Card" is really all about?

Martin Anderson, of the Hoover Research Institute summed it up this way, "Unless this move to force a national identity card on Americans is stopped quickly, we may live to see the end of privacy in the United States. All of us will be tagged like so many fish." (San Jose Mercury News, April 7, 1993)

Pat Robertson gave this version, "Under a checkless/cashless society it would be possible to tax the wealth stored in computers under law, or given a dictorial environment to freeze the accumulated wealth of any individual or any class of individuals just by simple instructions to a computer." ("The New World Order It Will Change The Way You Live")

Zbigniew Brezhinsky, National Security Advisor to President Jimmy Carter, said, "Soon it will be possible to assert almost continuous surveillance over every citizen and maintain up-to-date complete files containing even the most personal information about the citizen. These files will be subject to instantaneous retrieval by the authorities."

Marc Rotenberg, director of the Electronic Privacy Information Center, said in USA Today (7/14/94) that, "It will become a way to monitor people, like an internal passport."

William Murray, an information system security consultant said recently in the Digital Media (5/94) entitled "Ever Feel You're Being Watched? You Will": "There won't be anything you do in business that won't be collected and analyzed by the government. This National Information infrastructure is a better surveillance mechanism than Orwell or the government could have imagined. This thing is so pervasive and the propensity to connect it is so great that it is unstoppable . . .

Most of this shift in privacy is apparently being done by executive order at the initiative of the bureaucracy and without Congressional oversight or concurrence. You know, Orwell said that the bureaucrats, simply doing what bureaucrats do, without motive or intent, will use technology to enslave the people."

On February 14, 1994 AT&T Smart Card declared to the NACCU (National Assc of Campus Card Users): "The CASHLESS SOCIETY has been talked about in the past and the next 6 years should bring dramatic new developments these dreams a reality . . . The AT&T contacless Smart Card is

a secure portable computer the size and thickness of a credit card . . . The Smart Card forum evolved from an ongoing worldwide interest in using smart cards for payment, transit, telecommunications, health care and identification (NATIONAL HEALTH CARE CARD?) and security application . . . Each and every transaction can be recorded electronically and stored. Journal tapes can also provide a hard copy audit trail when necessary. Information can be added to or erased from the card at each point of purchase terminal."

The SmartCard comes complete with a Radio Frequency Transponder. Could this RFT allow the government to track their unsuspecting slaves?

According to the Feb 7, 1994 issue of WE WM Mbl, the first independent Russian-American newspaper, the debit card has already arrived in Russia. "The modern Sequoia 400 computer system, which can operate without interruption for 50 years and has a data base capable of storing up to 25 million customer files, was bought in the West for the Olibi card."

The article goes on to say, "But the benefits of shopping at former hard-currency stores is not the main incentive to obtain a card. The fact a card saves its owner from carrying around a stuffed wallet and lowers the risk of being mugged is more important."

"And he [SATAN; the ADVERSARY] provides that no one should be able to buy or to sell, except the one who has the mark, either the name of the beast or the number of his name." (Revelation 13:17)

As I said, the SMARTCARD is Part I of their solution, but Part II is their final plan to "brand" their livestock. I am speaking of a computer chip about the size of a grain of rice that can be injected under the skin with a hypodermic needle. This computer chip is currently being introduced into society as an I.D. system for animals. "Using a scanner can retrieve the information on the computer chip and the lost pet can be reunited with its owner." (Los Angeles Daily News, November 9, 1991)

On October 4, 1993, the Washington Times reported, "There is an identification system made by Hughes Aircraft Company that unlike your National ID Card, you cannot lose. It's the syringe-implantable transponder. According to promotional literature, 'it is an ingenious, safe, inexpensive, foolproof and permanent method of . . . identification, using radio waves' . . . It is so designed as to be injected simultaneously with a vaccination, or alone . . . [Editor's Note: I wonder how many men, women and children have already received their microchip when they got their flu shot?]

This tiny microchip transponder is sort of like a technological tattoo, and far more effective than the numbers the Nazis marked indelibly on the arms of concentration camp victims . . . There is no difference in principle between being forced to carry a microchip in a plastic ID card in your wallet or in a little transponder pellet injected in your hand. The principle that Big Brother has the right to track you is inherent in both. The only thing that differentiates the two techniques is a layer of your skin"

Tim Willard, executive officer of the World Future Society, is quoted in 1989 as saying, "The technology behind such a biochip implant is fairly uncomplicated and with a little refinement, could be used in a variety of human applications . . .

Conceivably, a number could be assigned at birth and follow that person throughout life. Most likely, it would be implanted on the back of the right or left hand so that it would be easy to scan at stores. Then you would simply scan your hand to automatically debit your banking account . . .

This biochip could also be used as a universal type identification card that would replace all credit cards, passports and that sort of thing. It could also become our medical care ID chip."

These madmen that seek to over-throw the world lay out their plans and propoganda years in advance. I believe because of the ever increasing number of missing children, this same I.D. computer chip will be eventually introduced into society for human I.D. and their Smartcard all wrapped up into one, complete with a RFT tracking device. After all, if you were a sheep herder wouldn't you want to know where your sheep are at, at all times?

Constitutional lawyer Warren Jefferson Davis, in his book, "Law of the Land" said, "The thoroughly corrupt plan to subvert the Constitution from within has been exposed, but it remains for the people to take back the republic from the alien hands and ideologies into which it has fallen and reconstruct it and confine it within the limits of the Constitution."

The sad part is, Americans have become like the priest who saw a man half beaten and half dead on the side of the road and, the priest passed by on the other side of the road. (Luke 10:30-31) We cannot continue to turn our heads and pretend the world and our children have not become victims of crimes being perpetrated by the OPPRESSOR, or we will face the harsh consequences of our inaction.

To Disarm the People

Do you really want a government that conspires to overthrow the Constitution? Our leaders are not naive, they know one day, the people will arise and judge them. In response, the enemy (our government) has prepared House Joint Resolution, 438 of the 102d Congress, 2d Session, which proposes an amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, repealing the Second Amendment (Right to Bear Arms)

Dean Morris, Director of Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA), in testimony to Congress stated, "I am one who believes that as a first step the U.S. should move expeditiously to disarm the civilian population, other than police and security officers, of all handguns, pistols and revolvers . . . no one should have a right to anonymous ownership or use of a gun."

The LEAA would later publish, "There can be no right of privacy in regard to armament . . . We seek a disarmed populace."

Do you really want a government who kills its own men, women and children and then condemns other nations for human right violations? I ask that you set aside for one moment whatever thoughts you have about David Koresh and the Branch Davidians in Waco, Texas. Actual live video footage proves beyond any shadow of a doubt, the BATF and FBI etc., set fire to the compound. The video "Waco, The Big Lie", compiled by Linda Thompson, shows when the tank punched the hole in the walls supposedly spraying nerve gas, they were actually shooting in fire. The fire can be seen coming from the barrel of the tank as it pulls away from the wall. If you served in Vietnam you should recognize the tank. It was the same model used to shoot flames into the underground tunnels of the North Vietnam army.

According to the Posse Comitatus Act, 18 U.S. Code 1385, it is against the law to use military troops and equipment against U.S. civilians.

The Congressional Record of April 22, 1870 also confirms the unconstitutionality of using the military against the people: "Not long since Governor Senter, of Tennessee, applied to the President to furnish troops to aid him in the execution of the laws of that State. That application was very properly referred by the President to the Secretary of War, and he referred it to the Judge Advocate General of the Army. The Judge Advocate gave a written opinion, deciding that troops could not under the Constitution and laws, be given to the Governor to aid him as he desired. It so happens that he was correct."

But there seems to be another peculiar thing about the Waco fire.

During their (ATF, FBI, etc) attempts to employ psychological warfare against the Branch Davidians, they use bright lights, and loud sounds to wear them down. One of the songs the government played was Nancy Sinatra's, "These Boots Are Made For Walkin", the song contains these words, "I just found me a box of brand new matches. Yeah, and if you play with fire, you know you're going to get burned."

On the video, two unidentified ATF agents make they following statements:

"The things that I find totally disgusting is the higher levels took that same oath and they violate the basic tenants of the law, the Constitution and the simple ethics of morality. And that's what disgust me most."

The second agent said, "In my career with the ATF the people I put in jail have more honor than the people at the top of this organization. I know its a sad commentary, but that's my experience with the ATF."

Another mystery that surrounds the Waco tragedy is, three of President Clinton's former bodyguards were purposely murdered by other BATF agents at Waco. The video tape shows the three identified former bodyguards entering a upstairs window. Immediately upon entering, a fourth agent tosses in a concussion grenade through the window and opens fire on his own men, killing the three former Clinton bodyguards.

Waco, Texas is a prime example our government has run amok. The defendants were later acquitted by a jury on the weapons charges. But, the judge has overturned the jury's "NOT GUILTY" verdict. This action by the judge proves the right to a trial by jury is only a exercise of appeasement to make the people think the right to a trial by jury is guaranteed. If the judge has the power to overturn the decision of the jury, why even have a jury trial? The current government needs to be flushed down the toilet. It stinks! It proves contempt aimed at our countrymen.

This video is a must for every American who really wants to know the truth about what really happened at Waco. It was really a travesty, to say the least and exposes the government for what it really is, a murderer of innocent men, women and children.

But this is not the first time the government has used fire to incinerate American civilians. When Government agents raided the a home where Gordon Kahl, a fugitive from justice was staying, they shot him and cut off his feet to symbolize that he could not run anymore and set fire to his body.

In Idaho, Federal Marshals shot Randy Weaver's 16 year old son in the back, a sniper shot his wife in the head as she was breast feeding her baby, and a helicopter with a bucket, the kind used to fight fires was filmed flying over the cabin. It is believed that the bucket contained gas and they were planning to burn down the cabin. Fortunately for the Weaver family, there were civilian witnesses at the scene, and the plan to burn the cabin was called off. A 16 year old boy and a mother of 3 died at the hands of the government, because Randy Weaver failed to appear in court on charges that he sold a sawed off shotgun 1/16 of an inch to short, to undercover agents.

The above are just a few examples of the heinous crimes committed by the government against American Citizens. We must invoke capital punishment for those involved in these murders of civilians.

All dictatorships, proletarian or otherwise, have at least two common characteristics: General confiscation of guns in order to prevent internal rebellion, and the establishment of a national police network (KGB, Nazi SS, BATF, etc) to enforce the edicts of the dictator.

Sarah Brady of the Brady Bill said, "Our task of creating a SOCIALIST America can only succeed when those who would resist us have been totally disarmed."

Vladimir I. Lenin claimed, "One of the basic conditions for victory of socialism is the arming of the workers (Communists) and the disarming of the bourgeoisie (the middle class)."

Josef Stalin stated, "If the opposition (citizens) disarms, well and good. If it refuses to disarm, we shall disarm it ourselves."

Attorney General, Janet Reno told us, "When guns are outlawed, they will have 90 days in which to turn them in. After 90 days they will be criminals." It looks like I am going to be a criminal and Janet "the Butch" Reno might as well sign my arrest warrant, because I am not surrendering my arms to the enemy and/or a bunch of homosexuals sitting in Washington D.C.

On November 11, 1971, Congressman Rarick declared, "History confirms that registration of firearms inevitably leads to confiscation, followed by enslavement of the people. Cuban gun laws under Batista were intended to prevent Castro's guerrillas from obtaining firearms, but only loyal Cubans registered their guns. Castro's revolutionaries did not abide by the law. So today Castro has all the guns and the Cubans do not even have Cuba."

Remember the NEWstates Constitution that the traitors have signed? Article I, Part B, Section 8: states that "the bearing of arms or the possession of lethal weapons shall be confined to the police, members of the armed forces and those licensed under law."

A student from Beijing, Red China warned us, "Tell the American people never to lose their guns. As long as they keep their guns in their hands, what happened here will never happen there."

According to the McAlvany Intelligence Advisor, on July 7, 1994, Larry Pratt, Director of Gun Owners of America, sent the following memo to Don McAlvany: "An actual copy of the questionnaire being administered on a Marine Base in 29 Palms, Ca. has come into our possession. It asks soldiers if they would obey U.N. officers and if they would confiscate firearms from American citizens and shoot those who resist. The latter point is corroborated by several Navy Seals who have personally reported that they have been asked the same questions. Congressman Bob Dornan has been asked to, and is considering launching a full scale investigation into the government using American (or U.N.) troops to disarm civilians."

Under the heading, "Combat Arms Survey," the questionnaire stated: "This questionnaire is to gather data concerning the attitudes of combat trained personnel with regards to non-traditional missions." Two of the questions asked are:

(1) I would swear to the following code: 'I am a United Nations fighting person. I serve in the forces which maintain world peace and every nation's way of life; I am prepared to give my life in their defense.'

(2) The United States government declares a ban on the possession, sale, transportation, and transfer of all non-sporting firearms. A thirty (30) day amnesty period is permitted for these firearms to be turned over to the local authorities. At the end of this period, a number of citizen groups refuse to turn over their firearms. Consider the following statement:

'I would fire upon U.S. citizens who refuse or resist confiscation of firearms banned by the U.S. government.'

A press release from the Navy says, "The survey is an academic endeavor and does not reflect any government program, policy or initiative." Sure and the earth is also flat, just ask the Navy.

They need to have gun control. They could not have a system that allowed the people to keep and bear arms because that would allow dissenters to foment revolution. Their attack on our right to keep and bear arms under the Brady Bill and the Federal Crime Bill are the first steps of their world order legislation to disarm us. Once we are disarmed, is there any hope we could ever free ourselves from the chains of their NEW WORLD ORDER?

Like it or not, this is the kind of government you have and it must be stopped. If it is not stopped, the world will find itself in a headlong rush toward the great merger under the United Nations, as we find ourselves in a food/fuel/financial crisis that will make the Great Depression seem like a mere recession. Unfortunately, our sons and daughters will end up homeless on a continent their fore-fathers once conquered. And We The People will be disarmed and unable to free our sons, our daughters and ourselves. Just in case you're not getting the hint, I'll tell it to you in plain English, "Go out and buy a gun and plenty of ammunition." If they want our guns, it's all the more reason why we should be arming ourselves.

Although, I have absolutely NO RESPECT for American Traitor Woodrow Wilson, he did say words that cannot be better spoken. "Liberty never comes from government. Liberty has always come from the subjects of it. The history of liberty is a history of resistance."

But then again maybe Hannah Arendt was correct when she said, "It is far easier to act under conditions of tyranny than to think."

I am absolutely amazed how our country has changed. During the founding of our country, men like Jefferson, Adams, and Patrick Henry were called Patriots. Today, those of us who speak of EVOLUTION as the last resort to maintain our freedom and liberties are called subversive and UnAmericans. Perhaps, what is ailing our country is a near lethal over-dose of apathy and too much sleep.

The Wizard of OZ

"The Rest of the Bloody Story"

The Forces that have been exposed in this book are EVIL to say the least. And neither are they so foolish to become visible to the whole of society.

As the Judge and the Jury, I think you will agree that the facts and evidence presented so far have been overwhelming. I also think you will agree that the plan for over-throwing the world did not start in recent history and one must be asking themselves, "Is there in existence a blueprint - a **MASTER PLAN** - for the systematic destruction of civilization, all governments and religions, and the establishment of a ONE-WORLD TOTALITARIAN DICTATORSHIP?"

Yes, such a **MASTER PLAN** does exist. It has been known and published in various forms since the Bavarian government circulated their famous report on the activities and plans of a secret organization called the Illuminati in the 1780's. It has to have been generations old in planning. George Washington spoke of a secret society called the "Illuminati" and this is what he had to say about this invisible power behind the throne which seeks to over-throw all forms of government so that they might inherit the earth:

"Reverend Sir: It was not my intention to doubt that the doctrine of the Illuminati and the principles of Jacobinism had not spread in the United States. On the contrary, no one is more satisfied of this fact than I am . . ." (U.S. Government Printing Office, 1941, Vol. 20)

In 1953 the California Senate Investigating Committee reported: "So-called modern Communism is apparently the same hypocritical and deadly conspiracy to destroy civilization that was founded by the secret order of the Illuminati in Bavaria on May 1, 1776, and that raised its hoary head in our colonies here as the critical period before the adoption of our Federal Constitution . . ."

Since the official and highly organized founding of the Syndicate occurred in Europe in 1776, our isolated and founding nation was thus spared the main thrust of its tentacles until after our revolution occurred and our Constitution adopted."

Just three years after the Communists seized Russia, there was printed in the Illustrated Sunday Herald dated Feb. 8, 1920, the following statement by Winston Churchill about the Illuminati: "From the days of Spartacus - Weishaupt to those of Karl Marx, to those of Trotsky, Bela-Kuhn, Rosa Luxemburg and Emma Goldman, this world-wide conspiracy has been steadily growing. This conspiracy played a definitely recognizable role in the tragedy for the French Revolution. It has been the main-spring of every subversive movement in the 19th Century; and now at last this band of extraordinary personalities from the underworld of the great cities of Europe and America have gripped the Russian people by the hair of their heads, and have become practically the undisputed masters of that enormous empire." (A. Ralph Epperson, "The New World Order")

In January 1789 the Marquis de Luchet, horrified by the impending onslaught by the Illuminati, published the following before the fall of the Bastille to warn the people:

"Deluded people; You must understand that there exists a conspiracy in favor of despotism and against liberty, incapacity against talent, of vice against virtue, of ignorance against light! It is formed in the depths of the most impenetrable darkness, a society is to rule the world, to appropriate the authority of sovereigns, to usurp their place . . . Every species of error which afflicts the earth, every half-baked idea, every invention serves to fit the doctrines of the

Illuminati . . . I see that all great fundamentals which society has made good use of to retain the allegiance of man - such as religion and law - will be without power to destroy an organization which has made itself a cult, and put itself above all human legislation. Finally, I see the release of calamities who end will be lost in the night of ages, activities devours the entrails of the globe and escapes into the air with a violent and devastating explosion."

The founder of the Illuminati, Adam Weishaupt had this to say, "The most wonderful thing of all is that the distinguished Lutheran and Calvinist theologians who belong to our order really believe that they see in it the true and genuine sense of Christian's religion. O mortal man is there nothing you cannot be made to believe."

He went on to write, "The true purpose of the order was to rule the world. To achieve this it was necessary for the order to destroy all religions, overthrow all governments and abolish private property . . . The strength of our order lies in its concealment, let it never appear in any place in its own name, but always covered by another name, and another occupation."

The following document referred to as "The Master Plan" was discovered in 1785, on the body of a courier who was apparently the victim of being struck by lightning. The British Museum in London purchased a copy on August 10, 1906.

British journalist Victor Marsden translated the Master Plan into English. His translation was later published by the English Publishing Society, of London, in 1921.

I will warn you in advance that the "Master Plan" you are about to read is Satanic in nature. One might even go as far to say that it was written by the hand of Satan.

THE MASTER PLAN

". . . Putting aside fine phrases we shall speak of the significance of each thought: by comparisons and deductions we shall throw light upon surrounding facts.

What I am about to set forth, then, is our system from the two points of view, that of ourselves and that of the Christians.

It must be noted that men with bad instincts are more in number than the good, and therefore the best results in governing them are attained by violence and terrorization, and not by academic discussions. Every man aims at power, everyone would like to be like a dictator if only he could, and rare indeed are the men who would not be willing to sacrifice the welfare of all for the sake of securing their own welfare . . .

Political freedom is an idea but not a fact. The idea one must know how to apply whenever it appears necessary with this bait of an idea to attract the masses of the people to one's party for the purpose of crushing another who is in authority. This task is rendered easier if the opponent has himself been infected with the idea of freedom, so-called liberalism, and, for the sake of an idea, is willing to yield some of his power. It is precisely here that triumph of our theory appears: the slackened reins of government are immediately, by the law of life, caught up and gathered together by a new hand, because the blind might of the nation cannot for one single day exist without guidance, and the new authority merely fits into the place of the old already weakened by liberalism.

In our day the power which has replaced that of the rulers who were liberal is the power of Gold. Time was when Faith ruled. The idea of freedom is impossible of realization because no one

knows how to use it with moderation. It is enough to hand over a people to self-government for a certain length of time for that people to be turned into a disorganized mob . . .

Whether a State exhausts itself in its own convulsions, whether its internal discord brings it under the power of external foes - in any case it can be accounted irretrievably lost: it is our power. The despotism of Capital, which is entirely in our hands, reaches out to it a straw that the State, willy-nilly, must take hold of: if not - it goes to the bottom . . .

It is possible for any logical mind to hope with any success to guide crowds by the aid of reasonable counsels and arguments, when any objection or contradiction, senseless though it may be, can be made and when such objection may find more favor with the people, whose powers of reasoning are superficial? Men in masses and the men in masses, being solely guided by petty passions, paltry beliefs, customs, traditions and sentimental theorism, fall a prey to party dissension, which hinders any kind of agreement even on the basis of a perfectly reasonable argument . . .

The political has nothing in common with the moral. The ruler who is governed by the moral is not a skilled politician, and therefore unstable on his throne. He who wishes to rule must have our course both to cunning . . . and to make believe. Great national qualities, like frankness and honest, are vices in politics, for they bring down rulers from their thrones more effectively and more certainly than the most powerful enemy. Such qualities must be attributes of the kingdoms of the Christians but we must in no wise be guided by them . . .

Our power in the present tottering condition of all forms of power will be more invincible than any other, because it will remain invisible until the moment it has gained such strength that no cunning can any longer undermine it . . .

Out of the temporary evil we are now compelled to commit will emerge the good of an unshakable rule, which will restore the regular course of the machinery of the national life, brought to naught by liberalism. The result justifies the means. Let us, however, in our plans, direct our attention not so much to what is good and moral as to what is necessary and useful..

Before us is a plan in which is laid down strategically the line from which we cannot deviate without running the risk of seeing the labour of many centuries brought to naught. In order to elaborate satisfactory forms of action it is necessary to have regard to the rascality, the slackness, the instability of the mob, its lack of capacity to understand and respect the conditions of its own life, or its own welfare. It must be understood that the might of a mob is blind, senseless and unreasoning force ever at the mercy of a suggestion from any side. The blind cannot lead the blind without bringing them into abyss; consequently members of the mob, upstarts from the people even though they should be as a genius for wisdom, yet having no understanding of the political, cannot come forward as leaders of the mob without bringing the whole nation to ruin . . .

Our countersign is - force and Make-Believe. Only force conquers in political affairs, especially if it be concealed in the talents essential to statesmen. Violence must be the principle, and cunning and make-believe the rule for governments which do not lay down their crowns at the feet of agents of some new power. This evil is the one and only means to attain the end of good. Therefore we must not stop at bribery, deceit and treachery when they should serve towards the attainment of our end. In politics one must know how to seize the property of others without hesitation if by it we secure submission and sovereignty,

Our state, marching along on the path of peaceful conquest, has the right to replace the horrors of war by less noticeable and more satisfactory sentences of death, necessary to maintain the terror which tends to produce blind submission. Just but merciless severity is the greatest factor

of strength in the State: not only for the sake of gain but also in the name of duty, for the sake of victory . . .

Far back in ancient times we were the first to cry among the masses of the people the words "Liberty, Equality, Fraternity," words many times repeated since those days of stupid poll-parrots who from all sides round flew down on these baits and with them carried away the well-being of the world, true freedom of the individual, so formerly well guarded against the pressure of the mob. The would be wise men of the Christians, the intellectuals, could not make anything out of the uttered words in their abstractness; did not note the contradiction of their meaning and inter-relation; did not see that in nature there is no equality, cannot be freedom; that Nature herself has established inequality of minds, of characters, and capacities, just as immutably as she has established subordination to her laws; never stopped to think that the mob is a blind thing, that upstarts elected from among it to bear rule are in regard to the political, the same blind men as the mob itself.

In all corners of the earth the words "Liberty, Equality, Fraternity" brought to our ranks, thanks to our blind agents, whole legions who bore our banners with enthusiasm. And all the time these words were canker-worms at work boring into the well-being of the Christians, putting an end everywhere to peace, quiet, solidarity and destroying all the foundations of the Christians states. As you will see latter, this helped us to triumph; it gave us the possibility, and among other things of getting into our hands the master card - the destruction of the privileges, or in other words of the very existence of the aristocracy of the Christians, that class which was the only defense peoples and countries had against us . . .

Our triumph has been rendered easier by the fact that in our relations with the men whom we wanted we have always worked upon the most sensitive chords of the human mind, upon the cash account, upon the cupidity, upon the insatiability for the material needs of man; and each one of these human weaknesses, taken alone, is sufficient to paralyze initiative, for it hands over the will of men to the disposition of him who has bought our activities.

-2-

Our international rights will then wipe out the national rights, in the proper sense of right, and will rule the nations precisely as the civil law of the States rules the relations of their subjects among themselves. [Editor's Note: Over 200 years ago they were laying plans for the United Nations.]

The administrators, whom we shall choose from among the public, with strict regard to the capacities for servile obedience, will not be persons trained in the art of government, and will therefore easily become pawns in our game in the hands of men of learning and genius who will be their advisors, specialists bred and reared from early childhood to rule the affairs of the whole world. As is well known to you, these specialists of our have been drawing, to fit them for rule, the information they need from our political plans from the lessons of history, from observations made of the events of every moment as it passes. The Christians are not guided by practical use of unprejudiced historical observation, but by theoretical routine without any critical regard to consequent results. We need not, therefore, take any account of them - let them amuse themselves until the hour strikes, or live on hopes of new forms of enterprising pastime, or on the memories of all they have enjoyed. For them, let that play the principal part of which we have persuaded them to accept as the dictates of science (theory). It is with this object in view that we are constantly, by means of our press, arousing a blind confidence in these theories. The intellectuals of the Christians will puff themselves with their knowledge and, without any logical verification of them, will put into effect all the information available from science, which our agentur specialists have cunningly pieced together for the purpose of educating their minds in the direction we want . . .

In the hands of the State of today there is a great force that creates the movement of thought in the people, and that is the press. That part is played by the press is to keep pointing out requirements supposed to be indispensable, to give voice to the complaints of the people, to express and create discontent. It is in the Press that the triumph of freedom of speech finds its force; and it has fallen into our hands. Through the Press we have gained the power of influence while remaining ourselves in the shade; thanks to the Press we have the gold in our hands, not withstanding that we have had to gather it out of oceans of blood and tears. But it has paid us, though we have sacrificed many of our people. Each victim on our side is worth in the sight of God a thousand Christians.

-3-

The constitution scales of these days will shortly break down, for we have established them with a certain lack of accurate balance in order that they may oscillate incessantly until they wear through the pivot on which they turn. The Christians are under the impression that they have welded them sufficiently strong and they have all along kept on expecting the scales would come into equilibrium . . .

In order to incite seekers after the power to a misuse of power, we have set all forces in opposition one to another, breaking up their liberal tendencies towards independence. To this end, we have stirred up every form of enterprises, we have armed all parties, we have set up authority as a target for every ambition. Of States we have made gladiatorial arenas where a host of confused issues contend . . . A little more, and disorders and bankruptcy will be universal . . .

Babblers inexhaustible have turned into oratorical contests and the sittings of Parliament and Administrative Boards. Bold journalists and unscrupulous pamphleteers daily fall upon executive officials. Abuses of power will put the final touch in preparing all institutions for their overthrow and everything will fly skyward under the blows of the maddened mob.

All people are chained down to heavy toil by poverty more firmly than ever they were chained to slavery and serfdom; from these, one way and another, they might free themselves, these could be settled with, but from want they will never get away. We have included in the constitution such rights as to the masses appear fictitious and not actual rights. All these so-called "People's Rights" can exist only in idea, an idea which can never be realized in practical life. What is to the proletariat labourer, bowed double over to this heavy toll, crushed by his lot in life, if talkers get the right to babble, if journalists get the right to scribble any nonsense side by side with good stuff, once the proletariat has no other profit out of the constitution save only those pitiful crumbs which we fling them from our table in return for their voting in favour of what we dictate, in favour of the men we place in power, the servants of our agentur . . .

We appear on the scene as alleged saviors of the worker from the oppression when we propose to him to enter the ranks of our fighting forces - to whom we always give support in accordance with an alleged brotherly rule (of the solidarity of all humanity) of our social masonry. The aristocracy, which enjoyed by law the labour of the workers, was interested in seeing that the workers were well fed, healthy and strong. We are interested in just the opposite - in the diminution, the killing out of the Christians. Our power is the chronic shortness of food and physical weakness of the worker because by all that this implies he is made the slave of our will, and he will not find in his own authorities either strength or energy to set against our will. Hunger creates the right of capital to rule the worker more surely than it was given to the aristocracy by the legal authority of kings.

By want and the envy and hatred which it engenders we shall move the mobs and with their hands we shall wipe out all those who hinder us on our way.

When the hour strikes for our Sovereign Lord [SATAN] of all the world to be crowned, it is these hands which will sweep away everything that might be hindrance thereto.

The Christians have lost the habit of thinking unless prompted by the suggestions [brainwashing] of our specialists. Therefore they do not see the urgent necessity of what we, when our kingdom comes, shall adopt at once, namely this, that it is essential to teach in national schools one simple, true piece of knowledge, the basis of all knowledge - the knowledge of the structure of human life, of social existence, which requires division of labour, and consequently, the division of men into classes and conditions. It is essential for all to know that owing to differences in the objects of human activity there cannot be any equality, that he who by any act of his, compromises a whole class cannot be equally responsible before the law with him who affects no one but only his own honour. The true knowledge of the structure of society, into the secrets of which we do not admit the Christians, would demonstrate to all men that the positions and work must be kept within a certain circle, that they may not become a source of human suffering, arising from an education which does not correspond with the work which individuals are called upon to do. After a thorough study of this knowledge the peoples will voluntarily submit to authority and accept such position as is appointed them in the State. In the present state of knowledge and the directions we have given to its development the people, blindly believing things in print, cherishes - thanks to promptings intended to mislead and to its own ignorance - a blind hatred towards all conditions which it considers above itself, for it has no understanding of the meaning of class and condition.

This hatred will be still further magnified by the effects of an economic crisis, which will stop dealings on the exchangers and bring industry to a stand-still. We shall create by all the secret subterranean methods open to us and with the aid of gold, which is all in our hands, a universal economic crisis whereby we shall throw upon the streets whole mobs of workers simultaneously in all the countries of Europe. These mobs will rush diligently to shed the blood of those whom, in the simplicity of the ignorance, they have envied from their cradles, and whose property they will be able to loot.

'Ours' they will not touch, because the moment of attack will be known to us and we shall take measures to protect our own.

We have demonstrated that progress will bring all the Christians to the sovereignty of reason. Our despotism will be precisely that; for it will know how, by wise severities, to pacificate all unrest, to cauterize liberalism out of all institutions.

When the populace has seen that all sorts of concessions and indulgences are yielded to it in the name of freedom, it has imagined itself to be sovereign lord and has stormed its way to power. But, naturally, like every other blind man it has come upon a host of stumbling blocks, it has rushed to find a guide, it has never had the sense to return to the former state and it has laid down its plenipotentary powers at our feet. Remember the French Revolution, to which it was we who gave the name of 'Great': the secrets of its preparations are well known to us for it was wholly the work of our hands . . .

And thus the people condemn the upright and acquit the guilty, persuaded ever more and more that it can do whatsoever it wishes. Thanks to this state of things, the people are destroying every kind of stability and creating disorders at every step.

-4-

Who and what is in a position to overthrow an invisible force? And this is precisely what our force is. Gentile masonry blindly serves as a screen for us and our objectives, but the plan of action of our force, even its very abiding place, remains for the whole people an unknown mystery.

But even freedom might be harmless and have its place in the State economy, without injury to the well-being of the peoples, if it rested upon the foundation of the faith in God, upon the brotherhood of humanity, unconnected with the conception of equality, which is negated by the very laws of creation, for they have established subordination. With such faith as this a people might be governed by a wardship of parishes, and would walk contentedly and humbly under the guiding hand of its spiritual pastor submitting to the dispositions of God upon the earth. This is the reason why it is indispensable for us to undermine all faith, to tear out of the minds of the Christians the very principle of Godhead and the spirit, and to put in its place arithmetical calculations of material needs.

In order to give the Christians no time to think and take note, their minds must be diverted towards industry and trade. Thus, all nations will be swallowed up in the pursuit of gain and in the race for it will not take note of their common foe. But again, in order that freedom may once and for all disintegrate and ruin communities of the Christians, we must put industry on a speculative basis: the result of this will be what is withdrawn from the land by industry will slip through the hands and pass into speculation, that is our class.

-5-

We shall create an intensified centralization of government in order to grip in our hands all the forces of the community. We shall regulate mechanically all the actions of the political life of our subjects by new laws. These laws will withdraw one by one all the indulgences and liberties which have been permitted by the Christians, and our kingdom will be distinguished by a despotism of such magnificent proportions as to be at any moment and in every place in a position to wipe out any Christians who opposes us by deed or word. We shall be told that despotism as I speak of is not consistent with the progress of these days, but I will prove to you that it is.

Moreover, the art of directing the masses and individuals by means of cleverly manipulated theory and verbiage, by regulations of life in common and all sorts of other quirks, in which all the Christians understand nothing, belongs likewise to the specialists of our administration brain. Reared on analysis, observation, on delicacies of fine calculation, in this species of skill we have no rivals, any more than we have either in the drawing up of the plans of political actions and solidarity . . .

For a time perhaps we might be successfully dealt with by a coalition of the Christians of all the world: but from this danger we are secured by the discord existing among them whose roots are so deeply seated that they can never now be plucked up. We have set one against another the personal and national reckonings of the Christians, religious and race hatreds, which we have fostered into a huge growth in the course of the past twenty centuries. This is the reason why there is not one State which would anywhere receive support if it were to raise its arm, for everyone of them must bear in mind that any agreement against us would be unprofitable to itself. We are too strong - there is no evading power. The nations cannot come to even an inconsiderable private without our secretly having a hand in it . . . Capital, if it is to co-operate untrammelled, must be free to establish a monopoly of industry and trade: this is already being put in execution by an unseen hand in all quarters of the world. This freedom will give political force to those engaged in industry, and that will help to oppress the people. Nowadays it is more important to disarm the peoples than to lead into war; more important to use to our advantage the passions which have burst into flames than to quench their fire; more important to catch up and interpret the ideas of others to suit ourselves than to eradicate them. The principal objective of our directorate consists in this: to debilitate the public mind by criticism; to lead it away from serious reflections calculated to arouse resistance; to distract the forces of the mind towards a sham fight of empty eloquence.

In all ages the peoples of the world, equally with the individuals, have accepted words for deeds, for they are content with a show and rarely pause to note, in the public arena, whether promises are followed by performance. Therefore we shall establish institutions which will give eloquent proof to their benefit to progress.

We shall assume to ourselves the liberal physiognomy of all parties, of all directions, and we shall give the physiognomy a voice in orators who will speak so much that they will exhaust patience of their hearers and produce an abhorrence to oratory.

In order to put public opinion into our hands we must bring it into a state of bewilderment by giving expression from all sides to so many contradictory opinions and for such length of time as will suffice to make the Christians lose their heads in the labyrinth and come to see that the best thing to have no opinion of any kind in matters political, they are understood only by him who guides the public. This is the first secret.

The second secret requisite for success for our government is comprised of the following: To multiply to such an extent national failings, habits, passions, conditions of civil life, that it will be impossible for anyone to know where he is in the resulting chaos, so that the people in consequence will fail to understand one another. This measure will also serve us in another way, namely, to sow discord in all parities, to dislocate all collective forces which are still unwilling to submit to us, and to discourage any kind of personal initiative which might in any degree hinder our affair. There is nothing more dangerous than personal initiative; if it has genius behind it, such initiative can do more than can be done by millions of people among whom we have sown discord. We must so direct the education of the Christians communities that whenever they come upon a matter requiring initiative they may drop their hands in despairing impotence. The strain which results from freedom of action saps the forces when it meets with the freedom of another. From this collision arise gave moral shocks, disenchantments, failures. By all these means we shall so wear down the Christians that they will be compelled to offer us international power of a nature that by its position will enable us without any violence gradually absorb all the State forces of the world and to form a Super-Government. In place of the rulers of today we shall set up a bogey which will be called the Super-Government Administration. Its hands will reach out in all directions like nippers and its organization will be of such colossal dimensions that it cannot fail to subdue all the nations of the world.

-6-

What we want is that industry should drain off from the land both labour and capital and by means of speculation transfer into our hands all the money of the world, and thereby throw all the Christians into the ranks of the proletariat. Then the Christians will bow down before us, if for no other reason but to get the right to exist . . . We shall raise the rate of wages which, however, will not bring any advantage to the workers, for at the same time, we shall produce a rise in prices of the first necessities of life, alleging that it arises from the decline of agriculture and cattle-breeding; we shall further undermine artfully and deeply sources of productions, by accustoming the workers to anarchy and to drunkenness and side by side therewith taking all measures to extirpate from the earth all the educated forces of the Christians.

In order that the true meaning of the things may not strike the Christians before the proper time we shall mask it under alleged ardent desire to serve the working classes and the great principles of political economy about which our economic theories are carrying on an energetic propaganda.

-7-

The intensification of armaments, the increase of police forces - are all essential for the completion of the aforesaid mentioned plans. What we have to get at is that there should be in all States of the world, beside ourselves, only the masses of the proletariat, a few millionaires devoted to our interests, police and soldiers.

We must be in a position to respond to every act of opposition by war with the neighbors of that country which dares to oppose us; but if these neighbors should also venture to stand collectively together against us, then we must offer resistance by universal war.

The principal factor of success in the political is the secrecy of its undertakings; the world should not agree with the deeds of the diplomat.

We must compel the governments of the Christians to take action in the direction favored by our widely-conceived plan, already approaching the desired consummation, by what we shall represent as public opinion, secretly prompted by us through the means of that so-called "Great Power" - the Press, which, with a few exceptions that may be disregarded, is already entirely in our hands.

In a word, to sum up our system of keeping the governments of the Christians in Europe in check, we shall show our strength to one of them by terrorist attempts and to all, if we allow the possibility of a general rising against us, we shall respond with the guns of America or China or Japan.

-8-

We must arm ourselves with all the weapons which our opponents might employ against us. [Editor's Note: We have been playing right into their hands] We must search out in the very finest shades of expression and the knotty points of the lexicon of law justification for those cases where we shall have to pronounce judgments that might appear abnormally audacious and unjust, for it is important that these resolutions should be set forth in expression that shall seem to be the most exalted moral principles cast into legal form. Our directorate must surround itself with these forces of civilization among which it will have to work. It will surround itself with publicists, practical jurists, administrators, diplomats and finally, with persons prepared by a special super-educational training in our special schools. These persons will have cognizance of all the secrets of the social structure, they will know all the languages that can be made up by political alphabets and words; they will be acquainted with the whole underside of human nature, with all its sensitive chords on which they will have to play.

These cords are the cast of mind of the Christians, their tendencies, short-comings, vices and qualities, the particularities of classes and conditions. Needless to say that the talented assistants of authority, of whom I speak, will be taken not from amongst the Christians, who are accustomed to perform their administrative work without giving themselves the trouble to think what its aim is, and never consider what it is needed for. The administrators of the Christians sign papers without reading them, [Editor's Note: The Act of March 9, 1933 is a prime example] and they serve either for mercenary reasons or for reasons of ambition . . .

For a time, until there will no longer be any risk in entrusting responsible posts in our States to our brother [Illuminists] - we shall put between them and the people lies an abyss, persons who, in case of disobedience to our instructions, must face criminal charges or disappear - this in order to make them defend our interest to their last gasp.

-9-

For us there are no checks to limit the range of our activity. Our Super-Government subsists in extra-legal conditions which are described in the accepted terminology by the energetic and forcible word - Dictatorship. I am in a position to tell you with a clear conscience that at the proper time we, the law-givers, shall execute judgment and sentence, we shall slay and shall spare, we, as head of all our troops, are mounted on the steed of the leader. We rule by force of will, because in our hands are the fragments of a once powerful party, now vanquished by us. And the weapons in our hands are limitless ambitions, burning greediness, merciless vengeance, hatreds and malice.

It is from us that the all-engulfing terror proceeds. We have in our service persons of opinions of all doctrines, restoring monarchists, demagogues, socialists, communists, and utopian dreamers of every kind. We have harnessed them all to the task: each one of them on his own account is boring away at the last remnants of authority, is striving to overthrow all established forms of order. By these acts all States are in torture; they exhort to tranquility, are ready to sacrifice everything for peace, but we will not give them peace until they openly acknowledge our international Super-Government, and with submissiveness.

The people have raised a howl about the necessity of setting the question of Socialism by way of an international agreement. Division into fractional parties has given them into our hands, for in order to carry on a contested struggle one must have money, and the money is all in our hands . . .

In this way the blind force of the people remains our support and we, and we only, shall provide them with a leader and, of course, direct them along the road that leads to our goal . . .

In order not to annihilate the institutions of the Christians before it is time we have touched them with craft and delicacy, and have taken hold of the ends of the springs which move the mechanism. These springs lay in a strict but just sense of order, we have replaced them by chaotic license of liberalism. We have got our hands into the administration of the law, into the conduct of elections, into the press, into liberty of the person, but principally into the education and training as being the corner-stones of a free existence.

We have fooled, bemused and corrupted the youth of the Christians by rearing them in principles and theories which are known to us to be false although it is by us that they have been inculcated.

Above the existing laws without substantially altering them, and by merely twisting contradictions of interpretations, we have erected something grandiose in the way of results. These results found expression first in the fact that the interpretations masked the laws: afterwards they entirely hid them from the eyes of governments owing to the impossibility of making anything out of the web of legislation.

This is the origin of the theory, of course, of arbitration.

You may say that the Christians will rise upon us, arms in arms, if they guess what is going on before the time comes; but in the West we have against this a manoeuvre of such appalling terror that the very stoutest hearts will quail - undergrounds, metropolitans, those subterranean corridors which, before the time comes, will be driven under all the capitals and from whence those capitals will be blown into the air with all their organization and archives.

When we have accomplished our coup d'etat we shall say then to the various people: 'Everything has gone terribly badly, all have been worn out with sufferings. We are destroying the causes of your torment - nationalities, frontiers, differences of coinage. You are at liberty, of course, to pronounce sentence upon us, but can it possibly be a just one if it is confirmed by you before you make any trial of what we are offering you' . . . Then will the mob exalt us and bear us up in their hands in a unanimous triumph of hopes and expectations. Voting, which we have made an instrument which will set us on the throne of the world by teaching even the very smallest units of members of the human race to vote by means of meetings [Editor's Note: Perot's town hall meetings?] and agreements of groups, will then have served its purposes and will play its part then for the last time by a unanimity of desire to make close acquaintance with us before condemning us.

To secure this we must have everybody vote without distinction of classes and qualifications, in order to establish an absolute majority, which cannot be got from the educated propertied classes. In this way, by inculcating in all a sense of self-importance, we shall destroy among the Christians the importance of the family and its educational value and remove the possibility of individual minds splitting off, for the mob, handled by us, will not let them come to the front nor even give them a hearing; it is accustomed to listen to us only who pay it for obedience and attention. In this way we shall create a blind mighty force which will never be in a position to move in any direction without the guidance of our agents set as its head by us as leaders of the mob . . .

When we introduce into the State organism the poison of Liberalism its whole political complexion underwent a change. States have been seized with a mortal illness - blood-poisoning. All that remains is to await the end of their death agony . . .

In order that our scheme may produce this result we shall arrange elections in favour of such presidents as have in their past some dark, undiscovered stain, some 'Panama' or other - then they will be trustworthy agents for the accomplishment of our plans out of fear of revelations and from the natural desire of everyone who has attained power, namely, the retention of privileges, but we shall take from it the right to propose new, or make changes in the existing laws, for this right will be given by us to the responsible president, a puppet in our hands. Naturally, the authority of the president will then become a target for every possible form of attack, but we shall provide him with the means of self-defense in the right of an appeal to the people, for the decision of the people over the heads of their representatives, that is to say, an appeal to that same blind slave of ours - the majority of the mob. Independently of this we shall invest the president with the right of declaring a state of war. We shall justify this last right on the ground that the president, as chief of the whole army of the country, must have at his disposal, in case of need for the defense of the new republican constitution, the right to defend which will belong to him as the responsible representative of the constitution.

It is easy to understand that in these conditions the key of the shrine will lie in our hands, and no one outside ourselves will any longer direct the force of legislation . . .

But you as yourselves perfectly well know that to produce the possibility of the expression of such wishes by all the nations it is indispensable to trouble in all countries the people's relations with their governments so as to utterly exhaust humanity with dissension, hatred, struggle, envy and even by the use of torture, by starvation. By the inoculation of diseases [AIDS?], by want, so that the Christians see no other issue than to take refuge in our complete sovereignty in money and all else.

But if we give the nations of the world a breathing space the moment we long for is hardly ever to arrive.

This, then, is the program of the new constitution. We shall make Law, Right and Justice (1) in the guise of proposals of the Legislative Corps, (2) by decrees of the president under the guise of the general regulations, of orders of the Senate and of resolutions of the State Councils in the guise of ministerial orders, (3) and in case a suitable occasion should arise - in the form of a revolution in the State . . . What we want is that from the first moment of its promulgation, while the peoples of the world are still stunned by the accomplished fact of the revolution, still in a condition of terror and uncertainty, they should recognize once for all that we are so strong, so inexpugnable, so superabundantly filled with power, that in no case shall we take any account of them, and so far from paying any attention to their opinions or wishes, we are ready and able to crush with irresistible power all expression and manifestation thereof at every moment and in every place, that we have seized at once everything we wanted and shall in no case divide our power with them . . . Then in fear and trembling they will close their eyes to everything, and be content to await what will be the end of it all.

The Christians are a flock of sheep, and we are their wolves. And you know what happens when the wolves get hold of the flock? . . .

There is no other reason why they will close their eyes: for we shall keep promising them to give back all the liberties we have taken away as soon as we have quelled the enemies of peace and tamed all parties . . .

It is not worth while to say anything about how long a time they will be kept waiting for this return of their liberties . . .

The word 'freedom,' which can be interpreted in various ways, is defined by us as follows: -

Freedom is the right to do that which the law allows. The interpretation of the word will at the proper time be of service to us, because all freedom will thus be in our hands, since the laws will abolish or create only that which is desirable for us according to the aforesaid program.

We shall deal with the press in the following way: What is the part played by the press today? It serves too excite and inflame those passions which are needed for our purpose or else it serves selfish ends of parties. It is often too vapid, unjust, mendacious, and the majority of the public have not the slightest idea what ends the press really serves. We shall saddle and bridle it with a tight curb; we shall do the same also with all productions of the printing press, for where would be the sense of getting rid of the attacks of the press if we remain targets for pamphlets and books? . . .

Not a single announcement will reach the public without our control. Even now this is already attained by us inasmuch as all news items are received by few agencies, in whose offices they are focused from all parts of the world. These agencies will then be already entirely ours and will give publicity only to what we dictate to them.

If already now we have contrived to possess ourselves of the minds of the Christians communities to such an extent that they all come near looking upon the events of the world through coloured glasses of those spectacles we are setting astride their noses: if already now there in not a single State where there exist for us any barriers of admittance into what the Christians stupidity calls State secrets; what will our position be then, when we shall be acknowledged supreme lords of the world in the person of our king of all the world . . .

All the so-called liberal are anarchists, if not in fact, at any rate in thought. Everyone of them is hunting after phantoms of freedom, and falling exclusively into license, that is, into the anarchy of protest for the sake of protest . . .

In the third rank we shall set up our own, to all appearance, opposition, which, in at least one of its organs, will present what looks like the very antipodes to us. Our real opponents at heart will accept this simulated opposition as their own and will show us their cards.

All our newspapers will be all possible complexions - aristocratic, republican, revolutionary, even anarchical - for so long, of course, as the constitution exists . . . Like the Indian idol Vishnu they will have a hundred hands, and every one of them will have a finger on any one of the public opinions as required. When the pulse quickens these hands will lead opinion in the direction of our aims, for an excited patient loses all power of judgment and easily yields to suggestion. Those fools who think they are repeating the opinion of the newspaper of their own camp will be repeating our opinion or any opinion that seems desirable for us. In the vain belief that they are following the organ of their party they will in fact follow the flag which we hang out to them . . .

These attacks upon us will also serve another purpose, namely, that our subjects will be convinced of the existence of full freedom of speech and so give our agents an occasion to affirm that all organs which oppose us are empty babblers, since they are incapable of finding any substantial objections to our orders.

Methods of organization like these, imperceptible to the public eye but absolutely sure are the best calculated to succeed in bringing the attention and the confidence of the public to the side of our government . . . Thanks to such methods we shall be in a position as from time to time may be required, to excite or to tranquilize the public mind on political questions, to persuade or to confuse, printing now the truth, now lies, facts or their contradictions, according as they may be well or ill received, always very cautiously feeling our ground before stepping upon it . . . We shall have a sure triumph over our opponents since they will not have at their disposition organs of the press in which they can give full and final expression of their views owing to the aforesaid methods of dealing with the press. We shall not even need to refute them except very superficially.

Trial shots like these, fired by us in the third rank of our press, in case of need, will be energetically refuted by us in our semi-official organs . . .

13

When we are in the period of the new regime transitional to that of our assumption of full sovereignty we must not admit any revelations by the press of any form of public dishonesty; it is necessary that the new regime should be thought to have so perfectly contented everybody that even criminality has disappeared . . . Cases of the manifestation of criminality should remain known only to their victims and to chance witnesses - no more.

The need for daily bread forces the Christians to keep silence and be our humble servants. Agents taken on to our press from among the Christians will at our orders discuss anything which it is inconvenient for us to issue directly in official documents, and we meanwhile, quietly amid the din of the discussion so raised, shall simply take and carry through such measures as we wish and then offer them to the public as an accomplished fact. No one will dare demand to abrogation of a matter once settled, all the more so as it will be represented as an improvement . . . And immediately the press will distract the current thought towards new questions (have we not trained people always to be seeking something new?) . . .

In order to distract people who may be too troublesome from discussions of questions of the political, namely, questions of industry. In this sphere let them discuss themselves silly! The masses are agreed to remain inactive, to take a rest from what they suppose to be political activity (which we trained them in order to use them as a means of combating the Christians governments) only on condition of being found new employments, in which we are prescribing them something that looks like the same political objective.

In order that the masses themselves may not guess what they are about we further distract them with arguments, games pastimes, passions, people's palaces . . . Soon we shall begin through the press to propose competitions in art, in sport of all kinds; these interest will finally distract their minds from questions in which we should find ourselves compelled to oppose them. Growing more and more disaccustomed to reflect and form any opinions for their own, people will begin to talk in the same tone as we, because we alone shall be offering them new directions for thought . . . of course through such persons as will not be suspected of solidarity with us.

The part played by the liberals, utopian dreamers, will finally be played out when our government is acknowledged. Till such time they will continue to do us good service. Therefore we shall continue to direct their hands to all sorts of vain conceptions of fantastic theories, new and apparently progressive; for have we not with complete success turned the brainless heads of the Christians with progress, till there is not among the Christians one mind able to perceive that under this word lies a departure from truth in all cases where it is not a question of material inventions, for truth is one, and in it there is no place for progress. Progress, like a fallacious idea, serves to obscure truth so that none may know it except us, the Chosen of God, its guardians.

When we come into our kingdom our orators will expound great problems which have turned humanity upside down in order to bring it at the end under our beneficent rule.

Who will ever suspect then that all these peoples were staged-managed by us according to political plan which no one has so much as guessed at in the course of many centuries? . . .

14

. . . Useless changes of forms of government to which we instigated the Christians when we were undermining the state structures, will have so wearied the peoples by that time they will prefer to suffer anything under us rather than run the risk of enduring again all the agitations and miseries they have gone through.

At the same time we shall not omit to emphasize the historical mistakes of the Christians government which have tormented humanity for so many centuries by their lack of understanding of everything that constitutes the true good of humanity in their chase after fantastic schemes of social blessings, and have never noticed that these schemes kept producing a worse and never better state of universal relations which are the basis of human life.

The whole force of our principles and methods will lie in the fact that we shall present them and expound them as a splendid contrast to the dead and decomposed old order of things in social life.

Our philosophers will discuss the shortcomings of the various beliefs of the Christians, but no one will ever bring under discussion our faith from its true point of view since this will be fully learned by none save ours, who will never dare to betray its secrets.

In countries known as progressive and enlightened, we have created a senseless, filthy abominable literature. For some time our entrance to power we shall continue to encourage its existence in order to provide a telling relief by contrast to the speeches, party program, which will be distributed from exalted quarters of ours . . . Our wise men, trained to become leaders of the Christians, will compose speeches, projects, memoirs, articles, which will be used by us to influence the minds of the Christians, directing them towards such understanding and forms of knowledge as have been determined by us.

-15-

When we last definitely come to our kingdom by the aid of the coups d'etat prepared everywhere for one and the same day, after the worthlessness of all existing forms of government has been definitely acknowledged (and not a little time will pass before that comes about, perhaps even a whole century) we shall make it our task to see that against us such things as plots shall no longer exist. With this purpose we shall slay without mercy all who take arms (in hand) to oppose our coming into our kingdom. Every kind of new institution of anything like our secret society will also be punished by death; those of them which are now in existence, are known to us, serve us and have served us, we shall disband and send into exile to continents far removed from Europe. In this way we shall proceed with those Christians masons who know too much; such of these as we may for some reason spare will be kept in constant fear of exile. We shall promulgate a law making all former members of secret societies liable to exile from Europe as the centre of our rule.

Resolutions of our government will be final, without appeal.

In the Christians societies, in which we have planted and deeply rooted discord of protestantism, the only way of restoring order is to employ merciless measures that prove the direct force of authority: no regard must be paid to victims who fall, they suffer for the well-being of the future. The attainment of that well-being, even at the expense of sacrifices, is the duty of any kind of government that acknowledges, as justification for its existence, not only to its privileges but its obligations. The principal guarantee of stability of rule is to confirm the aureole of power, and this aureole is attained only by such a majestic inflexibility of might as shall carry on its face of inviolability from mystical cause - from the choice of God. Such was, until recent times, the Russian autocracy, the one and only serious foe we had in the world without counting the Papacy . . .

The class of people of most willingly enter into secret societies are those who live by their wits, careerists, and in general people, most light-minded, with whom we shall have no difficulty in dealing and in using to wind up the mechanism of the machine devised by us. If this world grows agitated the meaning of that will be that we have had to stir it up in order to break up its solidarity. But if there should arise in its midst a plot, then at the head of that plot will be no other than one of our most trusted servants. It is natural that we and no other should lead Masonic activities, for we know whither we are leading, we know the final goal of every form of activity whereas the Christians have knowledge of nothing, not even of the immediate effect of action.

When it comes the time of our overt rule, the time to manifest its blessings, we shall remake all legislature, all our laws will be brief, plain, stable, without any kind of interpretations, so that anyone will be in a position to know them perfectly. The main feature will run right through them is submission to orders, and this principle will be carried to a grandiose height. Every abuse of power subordinate to this last instance will be mercilessly punished that none will be found anxious to try experiments with their own powers. We shall follow up jealously every action of the administration on which depends the smooth running of the machinery of the State, for

slackness in this produces slackness everywhere; not a single case of illegality or abuse of power will be left without exemplary punishment.

Concealment of guilt, connivance between those in service of the administration - all this kind of evil will disappear after the very first examples of severe punishment. The sufferer, though his punishment may exceed his fault, will count as a soldier falling on the administration field of battle in the interest of authority, principle and law, which do not permit that any of those who hold reins of the public coach should turn aside from the public highway to their own private baths. For example: our judges will know whenever they feel disposed to plume themselves on foolish clemency they are violating the law of justice which is instituted for the exemplary edification of the men by penalties for lapses and not for display of the spiritual qualities of the judge . . .

We shall root out liberalism from all the important posts of our government on which depends the training of the subordinates for our State structure. Such posts will fall exclusively to those who have been trained by us for administrative rule. To the possible objection that the retirement of old servants will cost the Treasury heavily, I reply, firstly they will be provided with some private service in place of what they lose, and secondly, I have to remark that all the money in the world will be concentrated in our hands, consequently it is not our government that has to fear expense.

Our government will have the appearance of a patriarchal paternal guardianship on the part of the ruler. Our own nation and our subjects will discern in his person a father caring for their every need, their every act, their every inner-relation as subjects one with another, as well as their relations to the ruler. They will then be so thoroughly imbued with the thought that it is impossible for them to dispense with this wardship and guidance, if they wish to live in peace and quiet, that they will acknowledge the autocracy of our ruler with a devotion bordering on Apotheosis, especially when they are convinced that those whom we set up do not put their own in place of his authority, but only blindly execute his dictates. They will be rejoiced that we have regulated everything in their lives as is done by wise parents who desire to train their children in the cause of duty and submission.

We are obliged without hesitation to sacrifice individuals, who commit a breach of established order, for the exemplary punishment of evil lies a great educational problem.

-16-

In order to effect the destruction of all collective forces except ours, we shall emasculate the first stage of collectivism - the universities, by reeducating them in a new direction. Their officials and professors will be prepared for their business by detailed secret programs of action from which they will not with immunity diverge, not by one iota. They will be appointed with special precaution, and will be so placed as to be wholly dependent upon the Government . . .

We shall erase from the memory of men all facts of previous centuries which are undesirable to us, and leave only those which depict all the errors of governments of the Christians. The study of practical life, of the obligations of order, of the relations of the people one to another, of avoiding bad and selfish examples which spread the infection of evil, and similar questions of an educative nature, will stand in the forefront of the teaching program, which will be drawn up on a separate plan for each calling of state of life, in no wise generalizing the teaching. This treatment of the question has special importance.

Each state of life must be trained within the strict limits corresponding to its destination and work in life. The occasional genius has always managed and always will manage to slip through into other states of life, but it is the most perfect folly for the sake of this rare occasional genius

to let through into the ranks foreign to them the untalented who thus rob of their places those who belong to those ranks by birth or employment. You know yourselves in what all this has ended for the Christians who allowed this crying absurdity.

In a word, knowing by experience of many centuries that people live and are guided by ideas, that these ideas are imbibed by people only by the aid of education provided with equal success for all ages of growth, but of course by varying methods, we shall swallow up and confiscate to our own use the last scintilla of independence of thought, which we have for long past been directing towards subjects and ideas useful to us. The system of bridling thought is already at work in the so-called system of teaching by object lessons, the purpose of which is to turn the Christians into unthinking submissive brutes waiting for things to be presented before their eyes in order to form an idea of them . . .

-17-

They do not usually decline to undertaking any defense whatever, they strive for an acquittal at all costs, caviling over every petty crux of jurisprudence and thereby they demoralize justice. For this reason we shall set this profession into narrow frames which will keep it inside this sphere of executive public service. Advocates, equally with judges, will be deprived of the right of communication with litigants; they will receive business only from the court and will study it by notes of report and documents, defending their clients after they have been interrogated in court on the facts that have appeared. They will receive an honorarium without regard to the quality of the defense. This will render them mere reporters on law-business in the interest of justice and as counterpoise to the proctor who will be the reporter in the interests of prosecution; this will shorten business in the courts. In this way will be established a practice of honest unprejudiced defense conducted from the not personal interest but by conviction. This will also, by the way, remove the present practice of corrupt bargain between advocates to agree only to let that side win which pays the most . . .

Day by day its influence on the peoples of the world is falling lower. Freedom of conscience has been declared everywhere, so that now only years divide us from the moment of the complete wrecking of that Christians religion, as to other religions we shall have still less difficulty in dealing with them, but it would be premature to speak of this now . . .

In our program one-third of our subjects will keep the rest under observation from a sense of duty, on the principle of volunteer service to the State. It will then be no disgrace to be a spy and informer, but a merit: unfounded denunciations, however, will be cruelly punished that there may be no development of abuses of this right.

This body, having no rights and not being empowered to take any action on their own account, and consequently a police without any power, will only witness and report: verification of their reports and arrests will depend upon a responsible group of controllers of police affairs, while the actual act of arrest will be performed by the gendarmerie and the municipal police. Any person not denouncing anything seen or heard concerning questions of polity will also be charged with and responsible for concealment, if it is proved he is guilty of this crime.

Just as nowadays our brethren are obliged at their own risk to denounce to the kabal apostates of their own family or members who have been noticed doing anything in opposition to the kabal, so in our kingdom over all the world it will be obligatory for all our subjects to observe the duty of service to the State in this direction.

-18-

We have compelled the rulers to acknowledge their weakness in advertising over measures of secret defense and thereby we shall bring the promise of authority to destruction.

Our ruler will be secretly protected only by the most insignificant guard, because we shall not admit so much as a thought that there could exist against him any sedition with which he is not strong enough to contend and is compelled to hide from it.

Criminals with us will be arrested at the first more or less well-grounded suspicion; it cannot be allowed that out of fear a possible mistake an opportunity should be given of escape to persons suspected of a political lapse or crime, for in these matters we shall be literally merciless. If it is still possible, by stretching a point, to admit a reconsideration of the motive causes in simple crimes, there is no possibility of excuse for persons occupying themselves with question in which nobody except the government can understand anything . . . And it is not all governments that understand true policy.

-19-

Sedition-mongering is nothing more than the yapping of a lap-dog at an elephant. For a government well organized, not from the police but from the public point of view, the lap-dog yaps at the elephant in entire unconsciousness of its strength and importance. It needs no more than to take a good example to show relative importance of both and the lap-dogs will cease to yap and wag their tails the moment they set eyes on an elephant.

-20-

Economic cries have been produced by us for the Christians by no other means than the withdrawal of money from circulation. Huge capitals have stagnated, withdrawing from States, which were constantly obliged to apply to those same stagnate capitals for loans. These loans burdened the finances of the state with the payment of interest, and made them the bond slaves of these capitals . . . The concentration of industry in the hands of capitalists out of the hands of small masters has drained away all the juices of the peoples and with them also of the States . . .

The present issue of money in general does not correspond with the requirements per head, and cannot therefore satisfy all the needs of the workers. The issue of money ought to correspond with the growth of the population and thereby children must absolutely be reckoned as consumers of currency from the day of their birth. This revision of issue is a material question for the whole world.

You are aware that the gold standard has been the best ruin of the States which adopted it, for it has been unable to satisfy the demands for money, the more so that we have removed gold from circulation as far as possible.

With us the standard that must be introduced is the cost of the working-man power, whether it be reckoned in paper or wood. We shall make the issue of money in accordance with the normal requirements of each subjects, adding to the quantity with every birth and subtracting with every death.

The reform projected by us in the financial institutions and principles of the Christians will be clothed by us in such forms as will alarm nobody. We shall point out the necessity of reforms in consequence of the disorderly darkness into which the Christians by their irregularities have plunged the finances. The first irregularity, as we shall point out, consists in their beginning with drawing up a single budget which year after year grows owing to the following cause: this budget

is dragged out to half the year, then they demand a budget to put things right, and this they expend in three months, after which they ask for a supplementary budget, and all this ends with a liquidation budget. But, as the budget of the following year is drawn up in accordance with the sum of the total addition, the annual departure from the normal reaches as much as 50 percent in a year, and so the annual budget is trebled in ten years. Thanks so much methods, allowed by the carelessness of the Christians States, their treasuries are empty. The period of loans supervenes, and that has swallowed up remainders and brought all the Christians States to bankruptcy.

You understand perfectly that economic arrangements of this kind, which have been suggested to the Christians by us, cannot be carried on by us . . .

What also indeed is, in substance, a loan, especially a foreign loan? A loan is - an issue of governments bills of exchange containing a percentage obligation commensurate to the sum of the loan capital. If the loan bears a charge of 5 percent, then in twenty years the State vainly pay away in interest a sum equal to the loan borrowed, in forty years it is paying a double sum, in sixty - treble, and all the while the debt remains an unpaid debt.

From this calculation it is obvious that with any form of taxation per head of State is bailing out the last coppers of the poor taxpayers in order to settle accounts with the wealthy foreigners, from who it has borrowed money instead of collecting these coppers for its own needs without the additional interest.

So long as loans were internal the Christians only shuffled money from the pockets of the poor to those of the rich, but when we bought up the necessary person in order to transfer loans into the external sphere all the wealth of the States flowed into our cash-boxes and all the Christians began to pay us the tribute of subjects . . .

How clear is the undeveloped power of thought of the purely brute brains of the Christians, as expressed in the fact that they have been borrowing from us with the payment of interest without ever thinking that all the same these very moneys plus an addition for payment of interest must be got by them from their own State pockets in order to settle up with us. What could have been simpler than to take the money they wanted from their own people?

But it is proof of genius of our chosen mind that we have contrived to present the matter of loans to them in such a light that they have even seen in them an advantage for themselves.

Our accounts, which we shall present when the time comes, in light of centuries of experience gained by experiments made by us on the Christians States, will be distinguished by clearness and definiteness, and will show at a glance to all men the advantage of our innovations. They will put an end to those abuses to which we owe our mastery over the Christians, but which cannot be allowed in our kingdom . . .

You know to what they have been brought by this carelessness, to what a pitch of financial disorder they have arrived, notwithstanding the astonishing industry of their peoples . . .

-21-

If everybody expressed his unwillingness and demanded his money back, the government would be hooked on their flies and would be found insolvent and unable to pay the proposed sums. By good luck the subjects of the Christians governments, knowing nothing about financial affairs, have always preferred losses on exchange and diminution of interest to the risk of new

investments of their moneys, and thereby many a time enabled these governments to throw off their shoulders a debt of several millions.

Nowadays, with external loans, these tricks cannot be played by the Christians for they know that we shall demand all our moneys back.

In this way an acknowledged bankruptcy will best prove to the various countries the absence of any means between the interest of the people and those who rule them.

I beg you too concentrate your particular attention upon this point and upon the following: nowadays all internal loans are consolidated by the so-called flying loans, that is such as have terms of payments more or less near. These debts consist of moneys paid into the savings banks and reserve funds. If left for long at the disposition of a government, these funds evaporate in the payment of interest on foreign loans, and are replaced by the deposit of equivalent amount of rents.

-22-

We shall contrive to prove that we are benefactors who have restored to the rent and mangled earth the good and also freedom of the person, and therewith we shall enable it to be enjoyed in peace and quiet, with proper dignity of relations, on the condition, of course, of strict observance of the laws established by us . . .

Our authority will be glorious because it will be all-powerful, will rule and guide, and not muddle along after leaders and orators shrieking themselves hoarse with senseless words which they call great principles and which are nothing else, to speak honestly, but utopian . . . Our authority will be the crown of order, and in that is included the whole happiness of man. The aureole of this authority will inspire a mystical bowing of the knee before it and a reverent fear before it of all the peoples. True force makes no terms with any right, not even with that of God: none dare near to it as to take much as a span from it away.

-23-

That the peoples may become accustomed to obedience it is necessary to inoculate lessons of humility and therefore to reduce the production of articles of luxury. By this we shall improve morals which have been debased by emulation in the sphere of luxury. We shall reestablish small master production which will mean laying a mine under the private capital of manufacturers. That is indispensable also for the reason that manufacturers on the grand scale often move, though not always consciously, the thoughts of the masters knows nothing of unemployment, and this binds him closely with the existing order, and consequently with the firmness of authority. Unemployment is a most perilous thing for a government. For us its part will have been played out the moment authority is transferred into our hands. Drunkenness also will be prohibited by law and punishable as a crime against the humanness of man who is turned into a brute under the influence of alcohol.

Subjects, I repeat once more, give blind obedience only to the strong hand which is absolutely independent of them, for in it they feel the sword for defense and support against social scourges . . . What do they want with an angelic spirit in a king? What they have to see in him is the personification of force and power."

Henry Ford, the famed auto pioneer, in an interview published in the New York World, February 17, 1921, put the veracity of the Master Plan very convincingly when he said: "The only statement I care to make about the Master Plan is that they fit in with what is going on. They

are [at least] sixteen years old, and they have fitted the world situation up to this time. They fit it now." As you can see the "Master Plan", go hand in hand, of what has happened in the past, what is happening at the present time and what will happen in the future unless they, the Adversaries are destroyed. This small but powerful group which includes international bankers, industrialists, scientists, military and political leaders, educationalists, economists, members of the media and religious leaders have used all subversive movements to divide the masses of the people into opposing camps on political, social, racial, economic and religious issues. They arm the groups and encourage them to fight with each other. They have created the problems and plan on being the One on the Great White Horse who will save us from the wars, famines, economic chaos and destruction which they have devised on the sleeping and unsuspecting world. Unfortunately the people will be willing to accept any offer or solution to save them from that which is to come.

"And from the days of John the Baptist until now the kingdom of heaven suffers violence, and violent men take it by force." (Matthew 11:12)

The ENEMY has been EXPOSED, just like in the WIZARD of OZ. We are not Dorothy having a dream. This is reality and the POWER BEHIND the THRONE IS EVIL and is still very much in existence and operating through many fronts, some of which have already been discussed in this book.

There Is a Solution

The tyrants and despots have come to us dressed as public servants, proclaiming they have the solutions to our problems. In reality, they are stealing our money, freedoms and waging a Covert-War against us as we sleep. American's today have known only a world ravaged with war, depressions, international intrigue and meddling; the encroachments of the police state, vast debts and crushing taxation and the control of public opinion by ruthless propaganda. What will it take to awaken the Spirit of America? In the name of GOD, can't you hear the nation crying out for justice and a end to tyranny? Are we waiting for someone else to conquer this enemy for us? Are we waiting for the SON OF MAN to come from heaven on a white cloud with a band of Angels to fight this battle for us? It would be well for us to remember the message from Leviticus 25:10, inscribed across the top of the Liberty Bell, the cracked symbol of American Liberty. It says: "Proclaim liberty throughout the land to all its inhabitants." We must take a stand against this enemy or, we will end up as their SLAVES! There is a fighting chance for the people of the United States of America to recapture our government from the hands of the despots who seek to enslave us, but this will only happen if we can awaken the sleeping GIANT which is you, the American people.

I have talked to my friends and the common man and they all have the same feelings about where our nation is headed, down the tubes! They feel hopeless over the destiny of their country. They know something is wrong but, they do not know its cause or its cure. The ball and chain has already been placed around their ankle and they can not seem to find the key to set them themselves free. Their hopes and dreams have vanished into the past. Their future lies in the hands of politicians they no longer trust. They see corruption at all levels of government and a judicial system that allows it to continue. They see the sheep being fleeced in the form of ever increasing taxes. They fear losing their jobs, homes and life savings. They are so burdened with debt and living from paycheck to paycheck, if they loose their jobs, they could loose everything they ever worked for. All their prayers and hopes seem to be in vain. They are afraid to speak up. They worry about how they are going to afford to send their children to college. They wonder what kind of lives their children will have. In short, the American dream is turning into their worst nightmare and they are scared. They say, "There is nothing I can do about it," not knowing they can do something, but they won't. They are afraid to speak-up and demand a honest government. They have every right in the world to have these concerns and fears. Their concerns and fears will not go away until they take a stand. If they are unwilling to do so, the problems will only continue to get worse. We must emancipate ourselves from mental slavery. There is something we can do about it, if only we would.

I am confident if our fore-fathers could speak to them from the grave, they would tell them to stand tall in defiance to the tyranny of government. To realize the problems facing the nation are the same problems they faced. They would tell us they pleaded with the King and sought remedies to stop the tyranny in the land and when all was said and done, there was no other alternative but, to raise arms against the King, solely on the authority of their own consciences. They would tell us, we must overcome our fears and defend our rights from infringement by the government or, their blood would have been shed in vain. They would tell us they too feared the British King and his army but, freedom and liberty for the nation was worth fighting for. They would ask us to read and understand the Declaration of Independence, Constitution and Bill of Rights that they fought and died for. They would tell us to study the Holy Scriptures and seek the guidance of truth. They would ask us to seek the cause and find the cure of the problems that plaques our land. They would pray we return to a nation under GOD and reclaim the rights granted to us by our CREATOR. They would tell us they would fight once again, if only they could. They would tell us their prayers are with us but, the fight is ours and ours alone, and not to wait for intervention from GOD. If we listened very closely we could hear the sadness and tears in their voice, for their nation has gone to sleep.

The Declaration of Independence they fought and died for makes the rights and duties of the Sovereign to clear, ". . . BUT WHEN A LONG TRAIN OF ABUSES AND USURPATION PURSUING INVARIABLY THE SAME OBJECTIVE EVINCES A DESIGN TO REDUCE THEM UNDER ABSOLUTE DESPOTISM. IT IS THEIR RIGHT, IT IS THEIR DUTY TO THROW OFF SUCH GOVERNMENT. AND TO PROVIDE NEW GUARDS FOR THEIR SECURITY."

If we expect to remain free from tyranny and oppression, our rights cannot be negotiable and our minds cannot allow their propaganda to continue to deceive us. We are a nation under GOD with unalienable rights granted to us by our CREATOR. Our rights do NOT come from government. We the People are the Master, government is our servant. But, like it or not, this is the kind of government (master) you have. And for those of you who will say, "It's still the best government in the world." I say this to you, "DOES THAT MAKE IT RIGHT?" If so, you have the kind of government you deserve. You are our own worst enemies, or in the words of Pogo, "I have met the enemy and they is us [you]!"

Our political leaders no longer seek to surround themselves with social justification. They seek only to gain social control by destroying your freedoms and increasing their wealth at the cost of the mass. As we slept, they have created a society that has become increasingly ignorant about their own rights, duties, and freedoms. Government Of the People, For the People, and By the People, MEANS JUST THAT! It belongs to all of us--each and every citizen, rich and poor alike. And it's high time we start to act on that simple fact. All it takes is the courage to keep telling the truth to the American people and the will to act upon that truth.

Throughout history the leadership has changed from one leader to the next via the mass and we have allowed the vicious, immoral and corrupt ruling class to define our problems and to create the solutions. Consequently we live in their world. A world of personal unfulfillment and creative alienation. Because wasteful material growth debase's life's aim; reckless scientific exploitation destroys nature's created balance; and private ownership and control of common resources degrades human relations through exploitation causing inhumanity and injustice. Their ways are immoral in its social function. Government must reflect a conception of individuals. As citizens we must start defending our rights from infringement and the tyranny of the ruling class. We must start judging their every action and challenge and defeat their tyrannical ways. We have allowed the vicious, immoral and corrupt ruling class to define our problems and to create the solutions. And we wonder why we have wars, depressions and famines. We have been deliberately deceived by our government, who has systematically exploited this deception to defraud us of our wealth and freedom. In their world freedom is slavery and peace is war. WAKE-UP AMERICA! We have been asleep too long. Wash the sleep from your eyes and the propaganda from your minds. Our nation is starting to crumble. Your liberties are hanging by a thread. Isn't the perfect law, the law of freedom under GOD? The only thing necessary for evil to triumph is for good men to do nothing. If you will not wake-up now, you will awaken to a Nightmare turned reality. We must not be a party to the darkness any longer. We must give our every drop of blood, sweat and tears to bring our nation back under the natural laws of GOD. Are you willing to take a stand and cast off the chains of tyranny and oppression?

Judge Learned Hand summed up the principal of liberty: "I often wonder whether we do not rest our hopes too much upon the Constitution, upon laws and courts. These are false hopes, believe me, these are false hopes. Liberty lies in the hearts of men and women; When it dies there, no Constitution, no law, no court can even do much to help it. While it lies there it needs no Constitution, no law, no court can save it."

We must acknowledge that if we do nothing, if we are not willing to act now and act boldly, without fear but with faith and a firm resolve, our freedom to act at all may soon be taken away altogether. New bills, new laws are being presented everyday which will effectively serve to tighten the chains of bondage that are already around our necks. Go visit your local law library

and look at the thousands of volumes of laws that govern your every day life. And then ask yourself this simple question, "How can I be free with all of these?"

Government is control and if our leaders truly represent us, the laws they make should and must reflect our general attitudes toward agriculture, trade, industry, transportation, education, science, peace and prosperity.

They embody our conception of basic human rights, privileges and duties, our ideas regarding religion, education, personal freedom and security, the protection of youth and age, and a place in society for the physical and mentally afflicted. In short, the estimates and determination of everything which will make our own day and the forecast of our children's day is in the hands of a few. Nobody will deny that all these estimates and determinations need persistent scrutiny and revision - that the law should constantly be criticized.

Government must reflect a conception of individuals and express the mutual rights and duties of individuals. Instead, our world political powers no longer seek to surround themselves with social justification. They seek only to gain social control through destruction of our rights and increasing their wealth, at the cost of the mass. While at the same time creating a society that has become increasingly ignorant about their own rights and duties.

Although it has been difficult for the mass to learn the truth about the adversary, since they control our society and instead of creating a system of self-governing citizens, they have created our reliance on them. What they have given us is falsehood. A working definition of government could best be defined as: Public control by a few presumably for the benefit of the many while perpetrating a false consciousness of the mass. While a closer truth that all Constitutions could be defined as: The economic exploitation by the ruling class protected by the state, while constitutionalism with its fictions of Civic equality and legality is designed to appease the mass.

Our Declaration of Independence contained these historical words.

"He has made judges dependent on his will alone, for tenure of their offices, and the amount and payment of their salaries:

He has erected a multitude of new offices and sent hither swarms of Officers to harass our people, and to eat out their substance:

He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution and unacknowledged by our laws; giving his assent to their Acts of pretended Legislation:

For imposing taxes on us without our consent:

For protecting them, by a mock trial, from punishment for murders which they would commit on inhabitants of these States:

For depriving us in many cases, the benefits of TRIAL by JURY:

For abolishing the free system of English Law in a neighboring Province, establishing therein an arbitrary government and enlarging its boundaries so as to render it as once an example and fit instrument for introducing the same absolute rule into these Colonies:

For taking away our Charter, abolishing our most valuable Laws and altering fundamentally the forms of government:

For suspending our own Legislatures, and declaring themselves invested with power to legislate for us in all cases whatsoever.

He has abdicated Government here, by declaring and waging War against us:

He is at this time transporting large Armies of foreign Mercenaries to complete the works of death and tyranny; already begun with circumstances of Cruelty & Perfidy scarcely paralleled in the barbarous of ages, totally unworthy the head of a civilized nation:

He has excited domestic insurrections amongst us:

In every stage of these Oppressions We have Petitioned for Redress in the most humble terms: Our repeated Petitions have been answered only by repeated injury. A Prince, whose character is thus marked by every act which may define a Tyrant, is unfit to be ruler of a free people:

We have warned them from time to time of attempts by their legislature to extend an unwarrantable jurisdiction over us. We have appealed to their native justice and magnanimity, and we have conjured them by the ties of our common kindred to disavow these usurpations, which would inevitably interrupt our connections and correspondence. They too have been deaf to the voice of justice and consanguinity. We must, therefore, acquiesce in the necessity, which denounces our Separation, and hold them, as we hold the rest of mankind, Enemies in War, in Peace Friends.

We therefore, appeal to the SUPREME JUDGE of the world for the rectitude of our intentions . . . we mutually pledge to each other our Lives, our Fortunes and our sacred Honor."

Each and every one of the reasons our fore-fathers went to revolution rings true today.

The ADVERSARY has entered our gates. They plaque our land, ravish our economy, profit from the blood of our sons. And we continue to remain fast asleep dreaming the American dream. As a nation we have lost sight of what liberty is all about. We have given up our inalienable rights granted to us by our Creator. And in exchange, we have accepted privileges from a government that did not have the power to grant privileges to begin with. The powers granted to the government under the Constitution are very limited. Any powers government seeks to take that which was not delegated is a usurpation and must be attack and if necessary by force.

Freedom cannot exist when privileges become the ruling force. Freedom and privileges are in direct opposition to one another. One must emerge over the other. When privileges emerge, our rights from God are destroyed.

"Never doubt that a small group of thoughtful, committed people can change the world; indeed it is the only thing that ever has." M. Mead

The facts and evidence contained herein is sufficient to obtain and sustain a conviction of TREASON for the covert procedures used to implement and enforce these Foreign Constitutions, laws, procedures, rules, regulations, the over-throwing of our Constitutional money supply by these traitors and their covert declaration of WAR against us.

"If the foundations, be destroyed, what can the righteous do?" (Psalm 11:3)

It has been written, the pen is mightier than the sword. Let us see if these words are true. First, you must WAKE-UP and DEMAND the Federal Reserve Act be repealed along with a repudiation of all illegal debt and, that the United States immediately withdraws from the United Nations. If

you really want to STOP this atrocity, HERE'S HOW! First, send a copy of the petitions (at the end of the book) to the President, your congressman, senator, governor, Attorney General, state assembly, city mayor, city council, county board of supervisors/commissioners, police chief, sheriff and U.S. district attorney. Your failure to do so makes you guilty of MISPRISION OF FELONY, under Title 18 of the U.S. Code, Section 4. Such a charge is described thus: "Whoever has knowledge of such a felony and conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other persons in civil or military authority under the U.S., shall be fined not more than \$500.00 or imprisoned no more than 3 years or both."

NOT ONLY IS IT YOUR DUTY TO SPEAK-UP, YOU ARE REQUIRED BY LAW. So WAKE-UP, and mail the petition to the above elected officials. They are under the same law. Their failure to take action makes them an accomplice to aiding and abetting the overthrowing of your Constitution. THAT'S TREASON!

Gather the evidence for yourself, if they take action, they are innocent. If they do NOT take action, they are GUILTY. They must and will be brought to trial for aiding and abetting the crimes against the people. It's that simple! Let's put them on the "HOT SEAT". If they fail to carry out their oath of office and continue to betray our nation, we'll get more rope. This is their last chance to surrender under peaceful and lawful means.

Secondly, make 10 copies of the Petitions and give them to friends and relatives, send a copy to your local newspaper, radio and television station. Let's force them to listen, by using the theory of compound to our advantage. If we all do our part and use our power to petition, the results could be AMAZING!!!

They won't listen, if we won't speak-up. For those of you who say "It won't do any good". THINK ABOUT THIS! We can systematically fall back to sleep, and live with the consequences or, we can take a stand. The choice is yours and yours alone.

Abraham Lincoln proclaimed, "To sin by silence when they should protest makes cowards of men."

To those of you who fear sending the petition to your elected officials, remember this, WHEN THE GOVERNMENT FEARS THE PEOPLE THERE IS LIBERTY; WHEN THE PEOPLE FEAR THE GOVERNMENT THERE IS TYRANNY. And if you won't sign your name to it, FOR HEAVEN SAKE, sign it JOHN DOE. What do you have to loose that you haven't already lost? What this "GIANT HOAX" has given us is a \$5 trillion debt that can never be paid off and the worst is yet to come. Do your part today and SPEAK-UP or one day you could wake-up on a continent your fore-fathers once conquered as citizens of a ONE-WORLD TOTALITARIAN MILITARY DICTATORSHIP. There is a solution! If we fail to SPEAK-UP, and petition our government for a redress of grievances, we will have the government we deserve.

Send a copy of this book to your local Sheriff, the foreman of the Grand Jury, your Senator and Congressman. WAKE them up to what's going on and what the consequences will be if they continue down the current path of darkness and deception.

The Petition

PETITION TO:

The President and the Congress of the United States of America, the _____
State Legislature, the Governor of the State of _____, A REPUBLIC, the
_____ County Board of Commissioners/Supervisors for _____ County,
State of _____, A REPUBLIC, the _____ County Grand Jury, State
of _____ A REPUBLIC, the _____ County District Attorney, State of
_____ A REPUBLIC and the Sheriff of the County of _____, State of
_____ A REPUBLIC.

MANDATE FROM:

WE, the undersigned, in common law, for WE THE PEOPLE, of the State of _____,
a Republic do hereby petition the government for a redress of grievances.

WHEREAS: The Citizens of the States, of the union of States, of the United States of America,
face immediate economic crisis and undue hardship brought about by unconstitutional and
UNLAWFUL control of our nation's (U.S.A.) money system by the Federal Reserve Board, the
policymaking arm of the Federal Reserve System, a consortium of private bankers; and

WHEREAS: All elected officials are bound by oath of office to defend and preserve the
Constitution of the U.S.A., and to preserve life, liberty, and property of ALL Citizens of the
Republics, Commonwealths, States, etc., comprising the union of States of the United States of
America; and

WHEREAS, Article I, Section 8, Clause 2, of the Constitution for the United States of America,
provides that only the Congress of the United States of America shall have the power "to borrow
money on the credit of the United States";

WHEREAS, Article I, Section 8, Clause 5, of the Constitution for the United States of America,
directs that only the Congress of the United States SHALL have power "to coin money, regulate
the value thereof, and of foreign coin, and fix the standard of weights and measures";

WHEREAS, The Federal Reserve Act of December 23, 1913 (Act of December 23, 1913; 38 Stat
251; 12 United States Code, Section 221, et seq.) transferred the power to borrow money on the
credit of the United States to a consortium of private bankers, IN VIOLATION OF THE
PROTECTIONS OF THE PEOPLE, as specifically guaranteed by the CONSTITUTION, in Article I,
Section 8, Clauses 2 & 5, of the Constitution for the United States of America; and, was imposed
upon the Sovereign Citizens of the various Republics, Commonwealths, States, etc., of the Union
of states, of the United States of America, without their knowledge and consent;

WHEREAS, Article I, Section 1, Clause 1, of the Constitution for the United States of America,
provides that "All legislative Powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United
States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representatives."; and since all other
powers, not granted, are reserved to the Sovereign States and ARE retained by THE PEOPLE, the
Citizens thereof; authority was NEVER granted Congress to CHANGE THAT MANDATE OF THE
PEOPLE, or to transfer that power to a private corporation.

WHEREAS, the Congress of the United States is without authority to delegate any powers, which
it has received under the Constitution for the United States, established by WE THE PEOPLE;

WHEREAS, the Federal Reserve Act of December 23, 1913 was imposed upon WE THE PEOPLE, in violation of the protections of Article I, Section 1, of the Constitution for the United States of America;

WHEREAS, members of the Federal Reserve System, a consortium of private bankers, have now threatened the very integrity of the rights to the PROPERTY of the PEOPLE, which has collateralized the UNLAWFUL issuance of the "fiat money" which has been issued in the name of the FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, and through this UNLAWFUL and FRAUDULENT transfer of responsibility, has allowed the "Federal Reserve" to erode the nation's money supply, through arbitrary and capricious control and management;

WHEREAS, the United States of America is facing, in the current decade, an economic debacle, of massive proportions, due in large measure to a continued erosion of our national currency, via the continued issuance of valueless fiat "notes", based on the credit of THE PEOPLE, and that the policies of the fractional banking system, as managed by the Federal Reserve Board, its officers, and the "hidden interests" of the unlawful PRIVATE CORPORATION which comprise that board's venue;

WHEREAS, a consortium of private bankers which is not subject to any official periodic review or oversight, by Congress, has unconstitutionally controlled the economy, of the United States of America, through the (UNLAWFUL ENACTED) Federal Reserve Act since 1913;

WHEREAS, this nation faces an immediate economic crisis and we, the undersigned, demand all elected officials take immediate action to repeal the Federal Reserve Act of 1913, and to repudiate it's unlawful and unconstitutional debt against our federated nation;

WHEREAS, we, the undersigned, demand a complete audit of the Federal Reserve System, by a (Federal) Grand Jury of WE THE PEOPLE, sitting in common law, under the authority of the SUPREME COURT FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and that the gold stock and all other recoverable assets of the United States of America be taken from control of the Federal Reserve Banks and be redeposited with the lawful Treasurer of the United States of America;

WHEREAS, any elected official who fails to take immediate action upon receiving this MANDATE, is guilty of MISPRISION OF FELONY and/or MISPRISION OF TREASON.

THEREFORE, we, the undersigned, demand:

A. THAT the _____ County Board of Commissioners/Supervisors adopt a Resolution of Redress within 30 days of receipt and "instruct" the _____ State legislators, who have been elected within the county, to further act responsibly, and to thereupon properly instruct the _____ State members of Congress to introduce immediate orders to repeal the (unlawfully enacted) Federal Reserve Act;

B. THAT the _____ State Legislature adopt a concurrent "memorial resolution" demanding that the President of the United States of America FORTHWITH order the Attorney General for the United States of America to investigate the fraudulent passage of the 1913 Federal Reserve Act;

C. THAT the Congress of the United States of America FORTHWITH enact such legislation, as is necessary, to repeal the Federal Reserve Act of 1913, and to respect its lawful constitutional powers which have been granted by WE THE PEOPLE; and

D. THAT the President of the United States immediately sign the necessary enabling legislation once it reaches his desk; and

E. THAT the _____ County Board of Commissioners/Supervisors and the Secretary of the State of _____, A REPUBLIC, transmit copies of this Petition to the President of the Senate of United States, the Speaker of the House of Representatives of the United States, and to each member of the State Congressional delegation.

F. THAT the _____ Attorney General immediately initiate charges of criminal syndicalism against officers and agents of the Federal Reserve System operating within the borders of the State. Pursuant to 12 United States Code, (hereafter, U.S.C.) sections 341, Paragraph 8: 12 USC 104, 109, 123, and 110. Federal Reserve Notes must express . . . "upon their face that they are secured by United States Bonds deposited with the Treasurer of the United States, by the written or engraved signatures of the Treasurer and Register, and by the imprint of the seal of the Treasury; and shall also express upon their face the promise of the association receiving the same to pay on demand, attested by the written or engraved signatures of the president or vice president and cashier; . . . and other such statements and in such form as the Sec. of Treasury directs.

18 USC 334 makes it a FELONY to deliver and put in circulation any Federal Reserve Notes in violation of the above statues in Title 12. See 18 USC Sections 1, (Offenses classified); Sec. 2. (Principals); Sec. 3. (Accessory after the fact); Sec. 4. (Misprision of felony); Sec. 371. (Conspiracy); Sec. 1341. (Frauds and swindles); Sec. 1343 (Fraud by wire; and most of all 18 USC Sec. 1960 to 1965. (Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations)

Specifically officers and agents of the Federal Reserve System are engaged in a Conspiracy and a Racketeering enterprise to use, tender and circulate fiat money in the form of criminal Federal Reserve Paper that is in violation of criminal statues.

G. THAT the _____ County District Attorney immediately initiate charges of criminal syndicalism against officers and agents of the Federal Reserve System operating within the borders of the County. Pursuant to 12 United States Code, (hereafter, U.S.C.) sections 341, Paragraph 8: 12 USC 104, 109, 123, and 110. 18 USC 334, 18 USC Sections 1, (Offenses classified); Sec. 2. (Principals); Sec. 3. (Accessory after the fact); Sec. 4. (Misprision of felony); Sec. 371. (Conspiracy); Sec. 1341. (Frauds and swindles); Sec. 1343 (Fraud by wire; and most of all 18 USC Sec. 1960 to 1965. (Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations)

Corpus Juris Secundum 22, Criminal Law 185 (10); Conspiracy and Monopolies: "Where the statue makes mere membership in an organization formed to promote syndicalism a crime, without an overt act, this offense is indictable in any county . . ." People vs. Johansen, 226P 634, 66C.A. 343

Corpus Juris Secundum 22, Criminal Law 182 (3) states, " A prosecution for conspiracy to commit an offense against the U.S. may also be tried in any district . . ." U.S. vs. Cohen C.A.N.J. 197 F 2d 26

H. THAT the _____ county GRAND JURY bring forth indictments against all elected officials who are guilty of Misprision of Felony and Misprision of Treason for failure to take the necessary action to carry out this PETITION and MANDAMUS from WE THE PEOPLE. and

I. THAT the _____ county Grand Jury immediately bring forth indictments of criminal syndicalism against officers and agents of the Federal Reserve System pursuant to 12 United States Code, (hereafter, U.S.C.) sections 341, Paragraph 8: 12 USC 104, 109, 123, and

110. 18 USC 334, 18 USC Sections 1, (Offenses classified); Sec. 2. (Principals); Sec. 3. (Accessory after the fact); Sec. 4. (Misprision of Felony); Sec. 371. (Conspiracy); Sec. 1341. (Frauds and swindles); Sec. 1343 (Fraud by wire; and most of all 18 USC Sec. 1960 to 1965. (Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations) Corpus Juris Secundum 22, Criminal Law 185 (10); Conspiracy and Monopolies. Corpus Juris Secundum 22, Criminal Law 182 (3)

J. That the _____ county sheriff immediately upon indictment from the county grand jury form a POSSE COMITATUS of SOVEREIGN CITIZENS under the COMMON LAW to aide in the arrest and apprehension of those named in the indictment..

NO FURTHER NOTICE WILL BE GIVEN.

+++++

PETITION TO:

The President and the Congress of the United States of America, the _____ State Legislature, the Governor of the State of _____, A REPUBLIC, the _____ County Board of Commissioners/Supervisors for _____ County, State of _____, A REPUBLIC, the _____ County Grand Jury, State of _____ A REPUBLIC, the _____ County District Attorney, State of _____ A REPUBLIC and the Sheriff of the County of _____, State of _____ A REPUBLIC.

MANDATE FROM:

WE, the undersigned, in common law, for WE THE PEOPLE, of the State of _____, a Republic, do hereby petition the government for a redress of grievances.

WHEREAS: The Citizens of the States, of the union of States, of the United States of America, face immediate crisis and undue hardship brought about by United Nations Charter; and

WHEREAS: All elected officials are bound by oath of office to defend and preserve the Constitution of the U.S.A., and to preserve life, liberty, and property of ALL Citizens of the Republics, Commonwealths, States, etc., comprising the union of states of the U.S.A.; and

WHEREAS, The United Nations is designed to over-throw the military strength of the United States as documented in Stage III of progressive disarmament and State Department Publication number 7277, entitled, Freedom From War: The United States Program for General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World."

WHEREAS, The Sovereignty of We the People and the Constitution for the United States of America, is being eroded by the United Nations Charter in an effort to create a One-World Totalitarian Military Dictatorship under the guise of the United Nations;

WHEREAS, Senate Document No. 87 declares "The [U.N.] Charter has become the supreme Law of the Land and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State notwithstanding";

WHEREAS, In spite of Senate Document no. 87, A study by the Alabama Legislative Commission, entitled "The United Nations: Threat to Sovereignty" stated, "Communist countries press for more and more control over American freedoms through exercise of the various [U.N.] charter provisions which superseded our own laws and constitutional powers . . .";

WHEREAS, The United States has allowed many of its internal policies, including racial problems, to be dictated by the United Nations Charter;

WHEREAS, Public Law 95-147; 91 Stat. 1227, declared most banking institutions to be under the direction and control of the corporate, "Governor" of the International Monetary Fund, another part of the U.N.;

WHEREAS, According to Senate Report No. 93-549, the International Organization's intents and purposes are to promote, implement and enforce a "Dictatorship over the Finances in the United States.";

WHEREAS, Treasury Delegation Order No. 92 states that the Internal Revenue Service is trained under the direction of the United Nation's Division of "Human Resources" and the Commissioner, trained by the "Office of Personnel Management.";

WHEREAS, Executive Order No. 10422 states that the "Office of Personnel Management" is under the direction of the "Secretary General of the United Nations." (Title 22 USCA 287);

WHEREAS, On January 30, 1976, a Declaration of Interdependence was signed by 32 Senators and 92 Representatives without the authority of We the People. This Declaration of Interdependence along with the NewStates Constitution are designed to replace our current Declaration of Independence and Constitution of the United States.;

WHEREAS, The Newstates Constitution does not include the right of a trial by Jury, the right to being informed of the "Nature and Cause" of the Accusation or "Just" compensation." This Newstates Constitution clearly establishes a Police Power State, under the direction and control of the United Nations.

WHEREAS, The NewsStates Constitution is the foundation for a despotic, tyrannical Dictatorship. Article I, Sections 1 and 5 provides for the following: The rights of expression, communication, movement, assembly, petition and Habeas Corpus are all eliminated during a "declared emergency.";

WHEREAS, Rep. Marjorie Holt noted for the record on January 19, 1976, that the Declaration of Interdependence and clearly identified the United Nations as a "Communist" organization that was seeking both production and monetary control over the United Nations through International Organizations that promoted One-World Order. (Title 18 USCA 1101 (40); Title 50 USCA 781 and 783);

WHEREAS, The UNited Nations Charter and its International Organizations are IN VIOLATION OF THE PROTECTIONS OF THE PEOPLE, as specifically guaranteed by the CONSTITUTION of the United States of America; and, was imposed upon the Sovereign Citizens of the various Republics, Commonwealths, States, etc., of the Union of states, of the United States of America, without their knowledge and consent;

WHEREAS, this nation faces an immediate loss of our Sovereignty and we, the undersigned, demand all elected officials take i immediate action to withdraw from the United Nations and all other International Organizations of the United Nations;

WHEREAS, any elected official who fails to take immediate action upon receiving this MANDATE, is guilty of MISPRISION OF FELONY and/or MISPRISION OF TREASON.

THEREFORE, we, the undersigned, demand:

A. THAT the _____ County Board of Commissioners/Supervisors adopt a Resolution of Redress within 30 days of receipt and "instruct" the _____ State legislators, who have been elected within the county, to further act responsibly, and to thereupon properly instruct the _____ State members of Congress to introduce immediate orders to withdraw from the United Nations and other International Organizations of the United Nations;

B. THAT the _____ State Legislature adopt a concurrent "memorial resolution" demanding that the President of the United States of America FORTHWITH order the Attorney General for the United States of America to investigate those who signed the Declaration of Interdependence and to bring forth charges of Treason against every person directly or indirectly involved in the drafting of, promotion of, and signing of said Declaration of Interdependence and Newstates Constitution;

C. THAT the Congress of the United States of America FORTHWITH enact such legislation, as is necessary, to immediately withdraw from the United Nations and International Organizations thereof;

D. THAT the President of the United States of America immediately sign the necessary enabling legislation once it reaches his desk; and

E. THAT the _____ County Board of Commissioners/Supervisors and the Secretary of the State of _____, A REPUBLIC, transmit copies of this Petition to the President of the Senate of United States of America, the Speaker of the House of Representatives of the United States of America, and to each member of the State Congressional delegation.

F. THAT the _____ County District Attorney immediately initiate charges of criminal against all officers and agents of the United Nations operating within the borders of the County. Pursuant to 12 United States Code, (hereafter, U.S.C.) sections 341, Paragraph 8: 12 USC 104, 109, 123, and 110. 18 USC 334, 18 USC Sections 1, (Offenses classified); Sec. 2. (Principals); Sec. 3. (Accessory after the fact); Sec. 4. (Misprision of felony); Sec. 371. (Conspiracy);

Corpus Juris Secundum 22, Criminal Law 185 (10); Conspiracy and Monopolies: "Where the statute makes mere membership in an organization formed to promote syndicalism a crime, without an overt act, this offense is indictable in any county . . ." People vs. Johansen, 226P 634, 66C.A. 343

Corpus Juris Secundum 22, Criminal Law 182 (3) states, " A prosecution for conspiracy to commit an offense against the U.S. may also be tried in any district . . ." U.S. vs. Cohen C.A.N.J. 197 F 2d 26

G. THAT the _____ County District Attorney immediately initiate charges of treason against officers and agents of the United Nations residing in said county.

H. THAT the _____ county GRAND JURY bring forth indictments against all elected officials who are guilty of Misprision of Felony and Misprision of Treason for failure to take the necessary action to carry out this PETITION and MANDAMUS from WE THE PEOPLE. and

I. THAT the _____ county Grand Jury immediately bring forth indictments of Treason against any individual residing in said county that are officers or agents of the United Nations;

J. That the _____ county sheriff immediately upon indictment from the county grand jury form a POSSE COMITATUS of SOVEREIGN CITIZENS under the COMMON LAW and the POSSE COMITATUS ACT to aide in the arrest and apprehension of those named in the indictment.

NO FURTHER NOTICE WILL BE GIVEN.

When All Else Fails

The author promotes a peaceful and lawful solution of restoring the Lawful Government of We the People. The United States of America are the only Republics where the people are the true Sovereign. It is from the Sovereign that all laws emanates. Therefore, a government Of The People, By The People and For The People is from the ultimate authority. However, I am not so naive to believe the powers behind the throne will say, "You caught us with our hands in the cookie jar and we will give you back your money, your rights, your freedoms and your Constitution." I know the loss of the sovereignty of the American people opens up the very real possibility that some day in the near future a madman like Hitler could seize control worldwide. Under a One-World Totalitarian Military Dictatorship there will be no island of freedom. If they employ currently existing technology, they can turn the entire world into a giant prison. All of this may sound like a horror story to many, but the facts are too real to deny.

We now stand at the crossroads of National Sovereignty and One-World Dictatorship. The road to dictatorship may be irreversible through peaceful and lawful means. Other than submission and servitude to the coming World Dictatorship, revolution may be our only alternative. We owe it to our children, our future generations and to ourselves, to solve these problems facing our world peacefully and lawfully, but when all else fails we will have only one of two choices: We can accept defeat at the hands of our traitors. Or, we can resort to REVOLUTION, just as our forefathers did. The choice is an individual one, each according to his own conscience.

President Kennedy, declared, *"Those who make peaceful change impossible, make violent revolution inevitable."*

IF WE CAN'T DEFEAT THIS ENEMY PEACEFULLY AND LAWFULLY, WE MOVE TO PLAN B!!

Before we move on to Plan B, I ask that you reflect upon these words of James Madison, "Although all men are born free, slavery has been the general lot of the human race. Ignorant - they have been cheated; asleep - they have been surprised; divided - the yoke has been forced upon them. But what is the lesson? . . . the people ought to be enlightened, to be awakened, to be united, that after establishing a government they should watch over it . . . It is universally admitted that a well-instructed people alone can be permanently free."

Now for PLAN B: Our founding fathers said it best. "WHEN ALL EFFORTS HAVE EXHAUSTED ALL REMEDIES, THERE MUST BE A CALL TO ARMS!"

Alexander Hamilton proclaimed, "If the representatives of the people betray their constituents, then there is no source left but the exertion of that original right of self-defense which is paramount to all positive forms of government, and which against the usurpations of the natural rulers of the individual State. In a single State, if the person entrusted with supreme powers becomes usurpers, the different parcels, subdivisions, or districts of which it consists, having no district governments in each, can take no regular measures for defense. The Citizens must rush tumultuously to arms, without concert, without system, without resource; except their courage and despair. The usurpers, clothed with the forms of legal authority can too often crush the opposition in embryo." (Federalist Papers #28)

President Kennedy, in his inaugural address, probably said it best, when he declared to the nation, "In the long history of the world, only a few generations have been granted the role of defending freedom in its hour of maximum danger. I do not shrink from this responsibility . . . I welcome it. I do not believe that any of us would exchange places with any other people or any our generation. The energy, faith, the devotion which we bring to the endeavor will light our

country and all who serve it . . . and the glow from that fire can truly light the world." The time to act is within our midst!

H.L Mencken proclaimed, "To die for an idea; it is unquestionably noble. But how much nobler it would be if men died for ideas that were true."

Let us take a few moments and ponder on these historical words of Patrick Henry: "They tell us, Sir, that we are weak - unable to cope with such a formidable ADVERSARY. But when shall we be stronger? Will it be next week, or next year? Will it be when we are totally disarmed . . .

Shall we gather strength by irresolution and inaction? Shall we acquire the means of effectual resistance by lying spinelessly on our backs, and hugging the delusive phantom of hope, until our enemies shall have bound us hand and foot? . . .

Sir, we shall not fight our battles alone. There is a just GOD who presides over the destinies of nations . . . The battle, Sir, its not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the active, the brave . . . There is no retreat but in submission and slavery! Our chains are forged! . . .

Gentlemen may cry, Peace, Peace! - But there is no peace. The war is actually begun! . . . Why stand we here Idle? What is it that Gentleman wishes? What would they have? Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery? Forbid it, ALMIGHTY GOD! I know not what course others may take; but as for me, GIVE ME LIBERTY OR GIVE ME DEATH!"

In 1750, Jonathan Mayhew, proclaimed, "No civil rulers are to be obeyed when they enforce things that are inconsistent with the commands of GOD. All such disobedience is lawful and glorious."

George Mason, Frammer of the U.S. Constitution, said, "I ask, sir, what is the Militia? It is the whole people, except for a few public officials."

President John Adams, reconfirmed Mason's words when he said, "Arms in the hands of the citizens may be used at the individual discretion for the defense of the country, the overthrow of tyranny, or private defense."

President Jefferson declared, "No free man shall ever be de-barred the use of arms. The strongest reason for the people to retain the right to keep and bear arms is as a last resort to protect themselves against tyranny in government."

Judge James Kent in 1830, said it best when he spoke these historical words, "When government becomes so incompetent to fulfill its purpose, or destructive to the essential ends for which it was instituted, it is the right of the people to throw off such government."

Patrick Henry maintained, "The great object is that every man be armed. Everyone who is able may have a gun."

Frederic Bastiat claimed, "If every person has the right to defend - even by force-his person, his liberty, and his property, then it follows that a group of men have the right to organize and support a common force to protect these rights constantly." (THE LAW, Paris, 1850)

President James Madison declared, "Americans need never fear their government because of the advantage of being armed, which the Americans possess over the people of almost every other nation."

Samuel Adams declared, "The Constitution shall never be construed to authorize Congress to prevent the people of the United States who are peaceable citizens from keeping their own arms."

Congressman John Rarick stated, "The right to keep and bear arms is the last stronghold of Constitutional Government. Its loss would herald the final step - the final dissolution of the Republic and our Constitutional Government." (Congressional Record, 92nd Congress, Oct 13, 1993)

In his report dated March 3, 1964, former FBI agent Dan Smoot wrote, "A freeman must have the unrestricted rights to use personal weapons, in the defense of his family, his home, and his own person, against any kind of marauder be it a soldier of an invading army, an agent of an internal political conspiracy. If a man loses his identity as a free agent in a civilized country, he becomes totally dependent - and therefore, ultimately a slave - upon centralized police authority for protection of his life, liberty and property."

Bishop R.A. Brown said, "Freedom can only be won . . . the warfare is continuous and each generation comes to the front to fight for it as though the battle had just been joined."

Thomas Jefferson declared, "If a nation expects to be ignorant and free, it expects something it cannot be . . . This country with its Constitution belongs to the people who inhabit it. Whenever they grow weary of the existing form of government they can exercise their constitutional right of amending it, or their revolutionary right to dismember or overthrow it . . . The tree of liberty must be from time to time with the blood of patriots and tyrants . . . Rebellion to tyrants is obedience to GOD."

Most Americans take freedom for granted, but being an American carries with it the obligation to fight against tyranny and oppression, not only in foreign lands, but in our own country. All men are free to die for what they believe in. I believe that out of this war will come a people united in strength and justice will prevail.

The men who fought the Revolutionary War for our freedom and independence were considered by the King of England to be violent revolutionaries. These revolutionaries took up arms solely on the authority of their own consciences. There was not one of them that would not have been hung or shot, if they had been captured by the British Army. They pledged their life, fortunes and sacred honor for the freedom that they cherished. But many of them lost their families, homes, wealth and their lives in the fight for freedom that declared their independence from the tyranny of British Crown.

Today, many Patriots have lost their families, homes, lives and/or become political prisoners in the fight for freedom from the MONEY-CHANGERS and their paid agents. It is most unfortunate that some of our loved ones and our fellow countrymen do not know or understand that these brave men and women of today can not turn their backs and just walk away from the truth and say, "I'll let someone else do it." These brave men and women know the truth and the SPIRIT dwells within them. They cannot close their eyes to the crimes being perpetrated on their country and the world. I pray that you will not turn your back on them. I pray you will join those who are fighting for your freedom. They cannot be free unless you are free. Closing your eyes does not make you free, it only allows the enemy to place a ball and chain around your ankle. We have fought for freedom in foreign lands, the time for fighting for our own freedoms is long past due. Our lives mean nothing to the enemy, we are livestock to be exploited. What is it that keeps us from overthrowing this corrupt and evil government? Has their propaganda and fear of imprisonment made us incapable of fighting back? Enough blood has been shed on foreign soil!! Are you willing to stand up and fight this enemy or will the MONEY-CHANGERS awaken you with their PROPAGANDA to send your sons and daughters to fight in the name of freedom on a foreign

land for their benefit and profits? I know there are enough Patriotic Americans to get the job done. The question is, "Are you willing to use your right to petition and if necessary, exercise your right to bear arms to defend your country against this enemy?" Or, will you continue to be weak and easily defeated, plundered and herded to the slaughterhouse by the INVISIBLE power behind the throne. The answer lies in the hearts and minds of each and every one of us. What will it be?

Trotsky proclaimed: "Governments need armies to protect them against their enslaved and oppressed subjects."

President Teddy Roosevelt said, "Patriotism means to stand by the country. It does not mean to stand by the president or any public official, save exactly to the degree he himself stands by the country."

Those of you in the military will be called up against the people (your parents, brothers, sisters, friends and neighbors), but I say unto you that you have taken a oath to preserve, defend and protect our nation against all enemies both Foreign and Domestic. This is a battle between the righteous and the wicked. If you do NOT side with the people against this Domestic Enemy, but instead allow yourself to be used to help enslave the people, you will be the Enemy and Executioner of your fellow countrymen. If this enemy cannot be conquered peacefully and lawfully, REVOLUTION will be the only alternative. Therefore, you are to SHOOT any commanding officer who orders you to fight against your fellow countrymen in our fight for freedom and liberty. Then you are to take over the military installation that you are assigned to. You must also protect our nation from invasion by the United Nations military. United Nation soldiers are a foreign military power in our land. Remember your oath! You are to WAGE WAR and defend your countrymen against any United Nation forces in our country, during our fight for freedom against this EVIL ENEMY.

As Militia members, the citizens are to SHOOT any United Nation military on our land. Even if the U.N. soldier is an American. We must all become Davids against Goliath. We cannot sit back and wait for someone to fall from the sky to fight this battle for us. If so, how long shall we wait? Shall we wait until we are totally disarmed? Shall we wait until we are dead and leave the battle to be fought by the next generation? Just how long will we continue to sit back and do nothing about the Silent War that is being waged upon us? Ladies and gentlemen, THE BATTLE HAS ALREADY BEGUN! You know who the enemy is and if we can't defeat them peacefully and lawfully, then it is time to RAISE ARMS and conquer every last one of them. Let's take them alive if we can, I want to witness their PUBLIC EXECUTION, if not, have no mercy.

We must resort to jungle warfare. Our task must be carefully planned and methodically carried out under the cover of darkness. We must become an invisible army of one. Each according to his own calling. I suggest that you purchase a copy of the "Anarchists Cookbook", available at many Army/Navy surplus stores.

Will you keep on hearing and not understand; and will you keep on seeing but not perceive; will your hearts become dull with deception? And with your ears will you not hear because of the propaganda of our adversary? Have you closed your eyes and do not want to see the truth? If we do not hear with our ears and see with our eyes and understand with our hearts, our world can not be saved from the hand of the oppressor! IT'S THAT SIMPLE!

The real value of accumulated wisdom is it can be passed on to future generations. The Spirit of the truth has been granted to mankind in order that we might become partakers in the divine nature, and to know by intuition what is right in order to escape corruption of this world. However, when men are dead in truth and knowledge, the enemy will continue to plunder our

world. Will we wait until we are bound hand and foot? Will we wait another 2,000 years, as we just stand aside and look? What will it take?

Only until man finds the cause and cure, his needs, his love, his self-esteem and his self-actualization, the enemy will be permitted to continue to do what ever they wish and mankind will continue to live in a world of corruption and as slaves to those who are by self-nature are no GODS. (Ecclesiastes 9:18, Galatians 4:8-12) Man must set himself free from oppression. I pray you WAKE-UP in body and spirit in the fight for freedom. This nation was born from REVOLUTION and is dying from apathy.

Your loss of freedom is not the will of GOD! You weep for all of your freedoms that has been trampled by the enemy. You have deceived yourselves that you are powerless to change the things which plague your world. The simple words, "There is nothing I can do about it", exists only in your mind. Prove to yourself there is something you can do about it, Mail the Petitions and Mandamus and get ready for PLAN B. We have a BATTLE to be WON in accordance with the inalienable rights granted to us by our Creator. The choice is yours! GOD can only watch, as before . . . in pride . . . or sorrow. Use wisely your power of choice. This day you have been notified. This time the curtain is up. This time the world watches and waits to applaud. It is during these final days that the HOLY SPIRIT passes over the land. This time we will not fail. This time we will return from the living dead and attend to the wisdom of the word of GOD.

The BATTLE we have been waiting generations for is at hand. We cannot serve two masters. We must serve mammon (riches, the root of all evil) or God. Which master will you serve the enemy or GOD and your fellow countrymen?

Naturally the political traitors (leaders) will consider the things contained herein an act of sedition.

SEDITION

Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition defines the term "sedition" as follows: "Communication or agreement which has as its objective the stirring up of treason or certain lesser commotions, or the defamation of the government. Sedition is advocating, or with knowledge of its contents knowingly publishing, selling or distributing any document which advocates the overthrow or reformation of the existing form of government of this state by violence or unlawful means. An insurrectionary movement tending towards treason, but wanting an overt act; attempts made by meetings or speeches, or by publications, to disturb the tranquility of the state."

Rick Johnson correctly points out in his book, "Revolution In 20TH Century America", "note the emphasized word "government". Should this not, in reality, be more specifically identified as the "Lawful government"? Also note the emphasized word "existing". Does a Lawful government ever cease to exist, or is it just lying dormant waiting for an opportunity to overcome its oppressor?

Certain elements of the police state may interpret this definition to mean that the police state is the existing government. Therefore, any attempt on the part of the people "to stir up treason or lesser commotions against it will be considered sedition."

Quite frankly, I don't care what they think! They are the enemy and must be dealt with as such. The Petition is their LAST CHANCE TO SURRENDER. Do you really think for one second our founding fore-fathers would have given two wooden nickels for the King of England's definition of "sedition"? And neither should we!

Winston Churchill proclaimed, "If you will not fight when you can easily win without bloodshed; if you will not fight when your victory will be sure and not to costly; you may come to the moment when you will have to fight with all the odds against you and only a precarious chance of survival. There may be even a worst fate. You may have to fight when there is no hope for victory, because it is better to perish than to live as slaves."

These words hold true today, just as much as when they were spoken over 40 years ago.

Your choice is clear . . . If you continue to do nothing AMERICA will be destroyed! We are the last hope for our nation. We must take a stand. We cannot let the enemy overthrow our rights and freedom. For it is better to die as a freeman, then to live with chains around our necks. If we cannot solve this problem peacefully and lawfully, the only thing left is an appeal to arms and to GOD.

As I bring this chapter to a close, I want to leave you with these words from Revolutionary War hero, Samuel Adams: "If ye love wealth greater than liberty, the tranquility of servitude greater than the animating contest for freedom, go home from us in peace. We seek not your counsel, nor your arms. Crouch down and lick the hand that feeds you; and may posterity forget that ye were our countrymen."

Food For Thought

"How long O naive ones, will you love simplicity? And scoffers delight themselves in scoffing, And fools hate knowledge?" (Proverbs 1:22)

"For those who guide this people are leading them astray; And those who are guided by them are brought to confusion . . . and by their smooth flattering speech they deceive the hearts of the unsuspecting." (Isaiah 9:16; Romans 16:18)

"For ever since the fathers fell asleep, all continues just as it was from the beginning of creation." (1 Peter 3:4)

"The stranger that is within thee shall get above thee very high; and thou shall come down very low. He shall lend to thee and thou shalt not lend to him: he shall be the head and thou shalt be the tail. Moreover, all these curses shall come upon thee, till thou be destroyed." (Deuteronomy 28:43-45)

"Thou shalt not lend upon usury (interest) . . . Take thou no usury of him, or for increase; but fear thy God; . . . Thou shalt not give him thy money upon usury, nor lend him thy victuals for increase." (Deut. 23:19; Leviticus 25:36-37)

"Woe to him who increases what is not his - And makes himself rich with loans." (Habakkuk 1:6)

"The borrower is servant to the lender." (Proverbs 22:7)

"Our adversaries have become our masters and the enemy prosper." (Jeremiah 1:5) WELL?

"Will not your creditors rise up suddenly, . . . Indeed you will become plunder for them." (Habakkuk 1:7)

"Behold these are the wicked: and always at ease, they have increased in wealth." (Psalm 73:12)

"Thou shall not have divers weight and measures but thou shall have a perfect and just weight, a perfect and just measure." (Deut. 25:13-15)

"Can I justify wicked scales and a bag of deceptive weights? For the rich men of the city are full of violence." (Micah 6:11-12)

"I pray you, let us leave off this usury [penalties and interest]. (Nehemiah 5:10)

"Better is a little with righteousness, than great revenues without justice." (Proverbs 16:8)

"There shall arise one who imposes taxes on the glorious Kingdom; but he shall be destroyed . . . but he shall come peaceably and seize the Kingdom by intrigue." (Daniel 11: 20-21)

"We have borrowed money for the king's tax on our fields and vineyards. And now our flesh is like the flesh of our brothers, our children like their children. Yet, behold, we are forcing our sons and daughters to be slaves, and some of our daughters are forced into bondage already, and we are helpless because our fields and vineyards belong to others." (Nehemiah 5:5)

"Then I will draw near to you for judgment and I will be a swift witness against . . . those who oppress the wage earner in his wages." (Malachi 3:5)

"Show me the coin used for the poll-tax . . . Show me a denaris, whose likeness and inscription is on it? Then render unto Caesar that which is Caesar's and unto God that what is God's." (Luke 20:24-25) If he were here today, would he say, "Show me a Federal Reserve Note?

". . . He who gives to the rich will only come to poverty." (Proverbs 22:16)

When Christ was asked the question, "Is it lawful to pay taxes to Caesar, he detected their trickery and replied, "What do you think? From whom do the Kings of the earth collect customs or poll tax, from their sons or from strangers? Consequently their sons are exempt." (Matthew 17:25-26) Aren't their corporations and foundations also exempt? If Christ would have dared to advise against payment of tribute, he could have been charged with sedition. On the other hand, if he would have advised payment, he would have gone against what was the right thing to do. Could this be why he said, "Lest we give them offense . . ." (Matthew 17:27) Weren't the Hebrews in favor of rebellion against the payment of taxes to Rome?

And they began to accuse Him, saying, "We found this man misleading our nation and forbidding paying taxes to Caesar, and saying that He Himself is Christ a King." (Luke 23:2) Three days after Christ over-turned the tables of the MONEY-CHANGERS; he was crucified on a cross, while the people just stood aside and watched.

"Woe to those who enact evil statutes, and to those who constantly record unjust decisions, so as to deprive the needy of justice and the poor of my people of their rights." (Isaiah 10:1-2) Doesn't this sound like justice in America today?

"Woe to you lawyers! For you weigh men down with heavy burdens [laws] hard to bear, while you yourselves will not even touch the burdens with one of your fingers . . . For you have taken away the key of knowledge; you did not enter in yourselves, and those who were entering you hindered." (Luke 11:44 & 52) How many more laws will it take before everything we do is against their law? When will you finally say, ENOUGH IS ENOUGH?

"Evil men do not understand justice" (Proverbs 28:5)

"The wicked plots against the righteous. They devise injustices, saying, We are ready with a well-conceived plot . . ." (Psalms 37:12, 64:6)

"Leaders pronounce judgment for a bribe. The priests instruct for a price, And her prophets divine for money . . . The prince asks, also the judge, for a bribe" (Micah 3:11, 7:3)

"Your rulers are rebels and companions of thieves; everyone loves a bribe, and chases after rewards." (Isaiah 1:23)

"A wicked man receives a bribe to pervert the ways of justice." (Proverbs 17:23)

"The execution of justice is joy for the righteous, But is terror to the workers of inequity." (Proverbs 21:15)

"Therefore, the law is ignored and justice is never upheld. For the wicked surround the righteous; Therefore justice comes out perverted." (Habakkuk 1:4)

"Woe to those who call evil good and good evil, who put darkness for light and light for darkness, who put bitter for sweet, and sweet for bitter . . . Woe to those who acquit the guilty for a bribe, but deny justice to the innocent." (Isaiah 5:20)

"Beware of the scribes who walk around in long robes, and like respectful greetings in the market places, and chief seats in the synagogues, and places of honor at banquets. They are the ones who devour widows' houses, and for appearance sake offer long prayers; these will receive greater damnation who say they are Jews and are not, but are a synagogue of Satan." (Mark 12:38-40; Revelation 2:9) Is Christ speaking of members of the Kabala, an ancient sect that worshiped Lucifer? Could this ancient sect still be operating under the disguise of the Illuminati, CFR, TLC, etc?

"Woe to you scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! For you clean the outside of the cup and of the dish, but inside they are full of robbery and self-indulgence . . . For you are like whitewashed tombs which outside appear beautiful, but inside they are full of dead men's bone and all uncleanness . . . Even so you too outwardly appear righteous to men, but inwardly you are full of hypocrisy and lawlessness." (Matthew 23:25-28)

"Woe to you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! For you build the tombs of the prophets and adorn the monuments of the righteous, and say 'If we had been living in the days of our fathers, we would not have been partners with them in shedding the blood of the prophets.' Consequently you bear witness against yourselves, that you are sons of those who murdered the prophets." (Matthew 23:29-31)

"And they were amazed at his teachings; For he was teaching as one having authority, and not as the scribes." (Mark 1:22)

"Now the Pharisees who were lovers of money were listening to all these things, and they were scoffing at him, and they were seeking to seize him, and yet they feared the multitudes for they knew he had spoken the parable against them . . . And he was delivered up to the Chief Priest and they condemned him to death." (Matthew 26:3, 20:18; Luke 16:14)

Is the love of money the root of all evil?

* DIVINE - To know by intuition

* Scribe - A teacher or doctor of the Jewish law, a man of learning; a writer; one who read and explained the law to the people.

* Pharisees - (from Hebrew: Parasy) to cleave, divide, separate; a member of the ancient Jewish sect that rigidly observed the written law, but also insisted on its validity.

* Webster's Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language

"Put on the full armor of God, that you may be able to stand firm against the scheme of the devil. For our struggle is not against flesh and blood, but against the rulers, against the powers, against the world forces of this darkness, against the spiritual forces of wickedness in the heavenly [high] places . . . The scribes and the Pharisees have seated themselves in the chair [throne] of Moses; . . . And they tie up heavy loads and lay them on men's shoulders; but they themselves are unwilling to move them with so much as a finger." (Ephesians 6:11-12, Matthew 23:2,4) Aren't truth, wisdom, and understanding the armor against their evil ways? Is it the seat of government, the chair of Moses, the high (heavenly) place where they have seated themselves?

"You know how to analyze the appearance of the earth and the sky, but why do you not analyze this present time? And why do you not even on your own initiative judge what is right." (Luke 12:56-57) Why do we systematically ignore what is happening to our rights and freedoms? Will you use your power to choose and take a stand against the tyrants and despots that have placed a yoke on our nation? Or will you continue to be plagued with apathy and self-denial that there is nothing you can do about it?

"God takes his stand in His own congregation; He judges in the midst of the rulers. How long will you judge unjustly. And show partiality to the wicked? Vindicate the weak and the fatherless; Do justice to the afflicted and the destitute. Rescue the weak and the needy; Deliver them out of the hands of the wicked. They do not know nor do they understand; They walk about in darkness; All the foundations of the earth are shaken. I said you are gods, And all of you are sons of the Most High. Nevertheless you will die like men, And fall like one of the princes. Arise O God (Almighty Mass), judge the earth! For it is Thou who dost possess all the nations." (Psalms 82:1-8) How long will we continue to allow them to rule before we vindicate our people and pass judgment?

(Note: Although god is spelled with a little g, it is proper English. For example if I said, "My grandfather took me to the store." Grandfather would be spelled with a little g. But if I said, "My Grandfather Smith took me to the store." Grandfather would be spelled with a capital G. Please note also that O'God is spelled with a capital G. So why would God tell himself to rise and judge the earth? Isn't he speaking to the People?

"Do you indeed speak righteousness, O gods? Do you Judge uprightly, O sons of men? . . . Let us walk at liberty seeking the precepts . . . You shall do no injustice in judgment . . . but in righteousness shall thou judge thy neighbor . . . When the righteous are in authority, the people rejoice: but when the wicked rule, the people mourn." (Psalms 58:1-2; Psalms 119:45; Leviticus 19:15; Proverbs 29:2) Is our nation rejoicing or mourning? Your answer will tell you what kind of authority is in power.

"Why are the nations in an uproar, and the people devise a vain thing? The kings of the earth take their stand, and the rulers counsel together against the Lord and his Anointed." (Psalms 2:1-2) Haven't they been devising a vain thing and counsel together to overthrow the world and deliver us into the hands of a ONE-WORLD Dictator?

"RESCUE me, O'Lord from evil men; Preserve me from violent men, Who devise evil things in their hearts; They continually stir up wars. . . . And from the days of John the Baptist until now the kingdom of heaven suffers violence, and violent men take it by force." (Psalms 140:1-2; Matthew 11:12) Now, if heaven is some far a way place where God lives and rules, why hasn't he kicked them out of Heaven? If you say he did, read the verse again, it says, "until now".

Yes! "They have seduced my people, saying PEACE; and there was no PEACE." (Ezekiel 13:10)

"You who are full of all deceit and fraud, you son of the devil, you enemy of all righteousness, will you not cease to make crooked the straight ways of the LORD?" (Acts 13:10) Who was the devil? I think the answer can be found in John 8:44, "You are of your father the devil . . . He was a murderer from the beginning, and does not stand in truth, because there is no truth in him, he is a liar, and the father of lies." Now who was the murderer from the beginning? Wasn't it Cain that killed his brother? Wasn't he the first murderer from the beginning of the Bible? Didn't he lie about killing his brother? If you agree with that, then Cain would therefore be the Devil.

"When a strong man fully armed, guards his own homestead, his possessions are undisturbed; but when someone stronger than he attacks him and overpowers him, he takes away from him all his

armor on which he relied, and distributes his plunder. He who is not with Me is against Me; and he who does not gather with Me, scatters." (Luke 11:21-23) Can we possibly guard our homestead, rights and liberties if we are disarmed?

"Do not be deceived GOD is not mocked for whatever a man sows, this he will also reap." (Galatians 6:7) Could this not also read man is not mocked? Are we reaping the harvest of our own choosing? Or, have we simply been deceived and, consequently live in their world? If it is of our own choosing that we allow them to be master over us, then we deserve the consequences thereof.

"Loosen the chains around your necks, you were sold for nothing and you will be redeemed without money." (Isaiah 52:2-3) Is their money really money? Doesn't the repeal of the Federal Reserve redeem us without money?

"Can the prey be taken from the mighty man, Or captives of a tyrant be rescued? Surely, says the Lord, Even the captives of the mighty man can be taken away, and the prey of the tyrant will be rescued . . . And I will save your sons, and I will feed your oppressors with their own flesh . . ." (Isaiah 49:24-26) Well?

"The heavens will reveal their inequities and the earth shall rise up against them. There is nothing reliable in what they say; Their inward part is destruction itself; Their throat is an open grave . . . Hold them guilty, O'God; By their own devices let them fall! In the multitude of their transgressions thrust them out, For they are rebellious against THEE. That the creation itself also will be set free from its slavery to corruption into the freedom of the glory of the children of GOD." (Job 20:27; Psalms 5:9-10; Romans 8:21) Hasn't the facts and evidence contained herein revealed enough about their inequities for us to rise-up against them? Aren't our leaders rebellious against us by declaring a Silent Covert-War on the Nation? Aren't these verses saying the people will rise up and hold them guilty, and the people will be set free into the glory of God (Righteousness)? Aren't the children sons and daughters of righteous men? Whose side are you on? Or do you simply not wish to take a side, and do nothing to help change the path of the world in which we all live?

"You brought this man to me as the one who incites the people to rebellion . . ." (Luke 23:14) Although Pilate said he could not find any wrong with Christ, could the real reason he (Pilate) didn't crucify Christ was because he didn't have jurisdiction and/or the people would have rebelled?

"He has sent Me to proclaim release of the captives . . . To set free those who are downtrodden, to proclaim the Favorable year of the LORD." (Matthew 4:18-19) But what about redeeming us of sin? Isn't it a sin to enslave and oppress one another? Isn't it a sin for our leaders to weigh us down with laws, upon laws, upon laws and not abide by the laws that they have bestowed upon the people? Isn't it a sin that our leaders accept bribes and there is no longer any justice? Isn't it a sin that our money supply has been turned over to the MONEY-CHANGERS? Isn't it a sin that we have lost our position of a Sovereign People and have become feudal slaves? Isn't it a sin that our leaders seek to abolish the Sovereignty of our nation and deliver us into the hands of a One-World Totalitarian Dictator? Isn't it a sin for the People to just sit back and say, "There's nothing I can do about it?" Isn't it a sin to allow tyrants and despots to Lord over us? Wasn't it a sin for the people to have just stood aside and looked as they nailed Christ to a cross? Wouldn't it be a great year when we are finally set free from their power and authority?

"In vain do you worship me teaching as their doctrines the precepts of men." (Matthew 15:9)

* Doctrine - something taught; teaching (2) something taught as principles or creed of a religion, political party, etc; tenet or tenets; belief; dogma (3) the act of teaching; instructions

* Precepts - a commandment or direction meant as a rule of action or conduct (2) a rule of moral conduct; a maxim (3) a rule of direction (4) in law, a written order; warrant.

"Has it not be written in your Law [the old Testament] I said, YOU ARE GOD. If he called them gods, to whom the word of God came (and the Scripture cannot be broken)." (John 10:34-35) If God said, they are Gods, would you call him a liar by saying, "There is only one God"? Do we not, as a power united in common purpose become the Almighty God?

"None of the wicked shall understand, but the wise shall . . . For my people are destroyed for lack of knowledge . . . For the anxious longing of the creation waits eagerly for the revealing of the Son of God . . . They shall be called the SONS OF THE LIVING GOD . . . God is Spirit . . . Do you not know that you are a temple of God, and that the Spirit of God dwells in you? If any man destroys the temple of God, God will destroy him, for the temple of God is holy, and that is what you are . . . For we are the temple of the living God." (Hosea 4:6; Romans 8:19, 9:26; Daniel 12-10; John 4:24; 1 Corinthians 3:16-17; 2 Corinthians 6:16) If God is spirit, and the spirit dwells within, and we are the temple of the living God and we are SONS of the Living GOD, are we not that which is written? Have we been Gods and Goddesses in disguise playing the role of a fool? Do we not have the power of free will to choose to do good or evil? Doesn't GOD mean good, and Devil mean evil (bad)?

* Messiah - In Judaism, the promised and expected deliverer of the Jews (2) in Christianity, Jesus (3) any expected savior or liberator.

* Deliverer - One who delivers; one who releases or rescues.

* Deliverance - The act of delivering from captivity, slavery, oppression

* Liberator - One who sets his country free from an enemy or tyranny.

* Tyranny - The office, authority, government or jurisdiction of a tyrant (2) oppression and unjust government.

* Oppression - The act of oppressing, imposition of unreasonable burden either in taxes or services. (2) excessive rigorous government (3) that which oppresses, hardship, calamity, depression; a feeling of being weighed down (4) physical and mental distress

* Satan - The great enemy of man and goodness (the Hebrew word for ADVERSARY was originally used as a common noun)

* Adversary - any enemy or foe; one who opposes another. In Scripture, Satan is called the ADVERSARY

* Webster's Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language

"Redeem me from the oppression of man." (Psalms 119:134)

". . . The Son of God appeared for the purpose, that He might destroy the works [oppression] of Satan." (1 John 3:8) Once again, what about redeeming us of sin? Christ said he appeared for the purpose of destroying the works of Satan, the ADVERSARY. Isn't that what a Messiah is really all about? Didn't he say, "I have come so that you might have life more abundantly"?

Many Christians tell me he came so that we, as individuals may have eternal life and on judgment day the dead in the grave will rise and go to heaven to be with God. So let us take a look a peak into the resurrection of the dead in the VALLEY OF THE DRY BONES.

"The Spirit of the Lord . . . in the middle of the valley and it was full of bones . . . and God said, Son of man can these bones live? . . . Behold I will cause breath to enter you that you may come to life, and I will put sinews on you, make your flesh grow back on you, cover you with skin, and put breath in you that you may come alive." (Ezekiel 37:1-6)

On the surface this would certainly appear to be the resurrection of the "literal" dead. However, when we read Ezekiel 37:11-14 we get a entirely different perspective:

THE VISION EXPLAINED

"Son of man, these bones are the whole house [the people] of Israel; Behold they say, our bones are dried up, and our hope has perished. We are completely cut off. Therefore prophesy, and say to them, Thus says the Lord. Behold I will open your graves [dwelling place], my people; and I will bring you into the land of Israel . . . and I will put my spirit [not a spirit of slavery] within you and you will come to life, and I will put you on your land . . . For I will take you from nations . . . They will no longer be two nations, and they will no longer be divided into two kingdoms . . . But I will deliver them all from their dwelling places in which they have sinned and will cleanse them and they will be my people, and I will be their God and my servant David will be King over them and they will walk in my ordinance and keep my statutes." (Ezekiel 37:7-9, 22-24) Isn't this about the resurrection of a Nation and its people that has gone to sleep and are dead to truth and alive to deception? Haven't we eaten of the fruit of deception?

When the disciples questioned Christ about the resurrection of the dead, he replied, "Is this not the reason you are mistaken, that you do not understand the Scriptures, or the power of God? . . . But regarding the resurrection of the dead have you not read in the book of Moses, in the passage about the burning bush, how God spoke to him, saying, `I am the GOD of Abraham, and the GOD of Isaac, and the GOD of Jacob?' He is not the God of the dead; but of the living. Therefore you do greatly error." (Matthew 22:29-32) Isn't Christ saying God is of the living and not of the dead? So if we are dead, is there a God? If the people are living and their nation is dead, isn't the Living God and the Sons of God still amongst us?

"For the fate of the sons of men and the fate of the beasts is the same. As one dies so dies the other; Indeed, they all have the same breath and there is no advantage for man over beast, for all is vanity. All go to the same place . . . Surely every man at best is a mere breath . . . All came from dust and all return to dust . . . For whoever is joined with the living, there is hope; surely a live dog is better than a dead lion. For the living know they will die; but the dead do not know anything, nor have they any longer a reward, for their memory is forgotten. Indeed their love, their hate, and their zeal have already perished, and they will no longer have a share in all that is done under the sun. You are just a vapor that appears for a little while and then vanishes away . . . Remember that my life is but mere breath, my eye will not again see good . . . In mortal man his spirit departs, he returns to the earth; In that very day his thoughts perish . . . The dead will not live, the departed spirits will not rise; Therefore thou hast punished and destroyed them, and Thou hast wiped out all remembrance of them . . . Deliver my soul from the sword, My only life from the dog . . . But are willing to recognize you foolish fellow, that faith without works is useless . . . For just as the body without the spirit [breath] is dead, so also is faith without works is dead . . . (You) Stand at the crossroads and look; ask for the ancient paths, ask where the good way is, and walk in it and you will find rest for your souls . . ." (Psalms 39:5; Eccles. 3:19-21, 9:4-6; Isaiah 25:14; Job 7:7; Psalms 22:20, 146:3-4; Hebrews 4:14, 2:20,26; Jeremiah 6-16) If the dead do not live and the departed spirits do not rise, is there really life after death? Don't these verses go hand in hand?

with the God of the Living and not of the dead?

* Spirit - Breath, vigor, courage, the soul, life: to breath (2) the life principle originally regarded as an animating vapor infused by breath (3) a breath of air (4) mood; frame of mind (5) the thinking, motivating feeling part of man; mind, intelligence

* Spiritless - having no breath; dead

*** Soul - Heb.: (1) Nedibah; elevated and happy state (2) Nefesh; breath, vital spirit, soul, life (3) Neshamah; inspiration (4) Greek: psuche; the vital breath, life,

*** Eternal - Heb: Olam, hidden, time long past, and of future to the end.

***Immortality - Greek: athanasaia, deathless, incorruption

* Webster's Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language

*** Smith's Bible Dictionary

Please Note: If eternal means that we will never die once we are "resurrected", why is it defined "to the end"? Why isn't it defined as, "and of future to which has no end"?

If we are to have eternal life when God creates the new Heaven and Earth, why does the scriptures say, "For behold, I create new a new heaven and a new earth; and the former things shall not be remembered or come to mind [heart] . . . No longer will there be in it an infant who lives but a few days; for the youth will die at the age of one hundred . . . For the lifetime of a tree, so shall be the days of My people." (Isaiah 65:17-22) Doesn't this clearly state that we will still die? Doesn't eternal life simply mean from the beginning of our life (its past) to the end of our life (its future)?

Does the use of immortality in the scriptures mean deathless, or does it mean incorruption? Could it mean an eternal life of incorruption, instead of corruption of those in high places?

Christ was born of the Spirit. We are all born of the Spirit. If we do not take that first breath of life, can we be born? Once we have become breathing souls, we have the power of free will to do good or evil. Since many Christians believe that we are doomed to eternal hell because of our sins, or to eternal life, would a stillborn child automatically go to Heaven since he/she would not have had the opportunity to sin? Do most humans really not steal, rape, murder and commit crimes against their fellow man because of the fear of eternal damnation? Or, do we not commit these crimes because by our own conscious we know that it is morally wrong? If we do so because of the fear of eternal damnation, aren't we really in a very pathetic state of civilization? My parents never attended Church and therefore I was not raised a Baptist, Methodist, Lutheran, Catholic etc. but my parents did raise me with good morals and taught me right from wrong. Not because of their fear of my going to eternal hell for being bad, but because there is a difference between right and wrong. My parents have higher morals than most of those who attend church services every Sunday. It would take one hell of a man to even come close to the honesty and integrity of my Father. He is a righteous man not out of fear of eternal damnation, but because he was also raised with morals and knows right from wrong and lives by his own consciousness.

"Yet one of you is the devil . . . You are of your father." (John 6:70; 8:44) If one can be the devil and the son of Satan, why can't others be God and the Son of God? Can there only be one Son born of God? Can there be only one son of Satan or common adversary?

"No one who is born of God practices sin, because his seed abides in him; and he cannot sin, because he is born of God. By this the children of God and the children of the devil are obvious. " (1 John 3:9-10) Wouldn't it be a heavenly place if we all walked in the footsteps of the morals, honesty and integrity of a man like my Father?

But what about this place called HELL.

"And I shall make you dwell in the lower parts of the earth, like ancient waste places, with those who go down to the pit, so that you will not be inhabited; but I shall set glory in the land of the living. " (Ezekiel 26:20) Doesn't this follow what Christ said about the God of the Living and not of the dead?

O Lord, Thou hast brought up my soul from Sheol [the pit]; Thou, hast kept me alive, that I should not go down to the pit . . . What profit is there in my blood, if I go down to the pit? Will the dust praise Thee? Will it declare Thy faithfulness? . . . For there is no mention of thee [the Lord] in death" (Psalms 30:3, 9, 6:5)

"I am forgotten as a dead man, out of mind. I am like a broken vessel . . . Let the wicked be put to shame, let them be silent in Sheol." (Psalms 31:12, 17)

"The spirit of a man can endure his sickness, but a broken spirit who can bear?" (Proverbs 18:14)

* Sheol - In the Old Testament a place in the depths of the earth where the dead are suppose to go; the underground; a hole or cavity; the grave; HELL

Don't you think it is about time that our wicked leaders and their flattering tongues are silenced in SHEOL?

"Any kingdom divided against itself is laid waste; and any city or house divided against itself shall not stand. How can anyone enter the strong man's house and carry off his property unless he first binds the strong man? And then he will plunder his house." (Matthew 12:25-29) Don't the wars, taxes, famines, and depressions of our adversary bind us? Isn't it time We the People unite as one before we are disarmed, and easy prey for the enemy? Won't our nation be laid waste if we do not unite and take a stand?

If Sheol, the grave, is hell, where is the Kingdom of Heaven?

"My kingdom is not of this world. If My kingdom were of this world, then My servants would be fighting, that I might not be delivered up to the Jews, but as it is, My kingdom is not of this realm." (John 18-36) Many Christians interpret this to mean that Christ was from Heaven. But how do we explain John 17-16, ". . . They [the disciples] are not of the world, even as I am not of the world." Were the disciples Angels from heaven? Weren't the Angels who went into Sodom two MEN? (Genesis 19:1-5) ". . . some have entertained angels without knowing it." (Hebrews 13:2)

* Kingdom - (1) a country whose head is a king or queen (2) a realm or region in which something or someone is dominant

* Realm - (1) kingdom (2) sphere, domain

* Sphere - (1) a globular body (2) a celestial body (3) range of action or influence

* Domain - (1) complete and absolute ownership of land (2) land completely owned (3) a territory over which dominion is exercised (4) a sphere of influence or action

"Come out of her, my people, lest you share in her sins, and lest you receive her plagues . . . Come out from amongst them and be separate." (Revelation 18:4; 2 Corinthians 6:17) Where is Heaven and where is it we are suppose to go?

"The Kingdom of Heaven may be compared to a man who sowed good seed in his field. But while men were sleeping [dead in truth and knowledge, through fraud and deception], His enemy came and sowed tares also among the wheat and went away! The man who sows the good seeds is the Son of Man and the field is the world [Heaven], . . . The tares are the son of the evil one . . . And the enemy who sowed them is the devil [ADVERSARY], and the harvest is the end [consummation] of the age; and the reapers are angels . . . [Who sows the tares of wars, taxes, depressions and tyranny?] . . . And in the Kingdom of Heaven you shall build houses and inhabit them, and you shall plant vineyards and eat from them. And if one will not work, neither shall him eat." (Matthew 13:24-25, 37-39; Isaiah 65:21; 2 Thessalonians 3:10) Does a spiritual body (without flesh) in Heaven need to eat physical food and inhabit a physical house? Are we not the body, mind (soul) and spirit!? Should we deny any of the three their pleasures?

"You have plowed wickedness, You have reaped injustice, You have eaten the fruit of lies." (Hosea 10:13) Isn't their fruit bitter and their deception poison to our SOVEREIGNTY? Hasn't our current system been sown with corruption and injustice? Can it be raised with incorruption?

"The KINGDOM of HEAVEN is a renewing of the SPIRIT of the mind . . . For all who are being led by the Spirit of God, these are sons of God, for you have not received a spirit of slavery leading to fear again . . . Thy Kingdom come thy will be done on earth as it is in heaven [the spirit of our mind]." (Matthew 6:10; Romans 7:14-15; 12:2) Wouldn't the Spirit of "There is something I can do about it!", free us from the hands of the adversary, i.e., the MONEY-CHANGERS and the United Nations? When I have shared this verse with Christians, many have said, "There are two heavens and the second Heaven is where God lives." They are right, there is another Heaven. Isaiah 14:9-12 reveals to us where the second Heaven is, "Sheol from beneath is excited over you to meet you when you come; . . . Maggots are spread out as your bed beneath you, And worms are your covering. How you have fallen from Heaven." Why would someone fall from a Heaven up in the sky only to be buried on earth?

According to scripture Lucifer was cast out of heaven and cast to the ground, but is the Kingdom of God really somewhere besides here on earth? "I will cast you as profane out of the mountain of God . . . I cast you to the ground . . . For on my holy mountain, on the high mountain of Israel." (Ezekiel 28:16-18; 20-40)

Christ told us, ". . . the Kingdom of GOD is not coming with signs to be observed; nor will they say, 'Look, here it is!' or, 'There it is!' For behold the Kingdom of GOD is in your midst . . . The Kingdom of GOD is at hand" (Luke 17:20-21; Ephesians 4:13) Does that mean we have to die to enter the Kingdom? Do we have to wait until the rapture to inherit the Kingdom?

If Heaven is the renewing of the Spirit of our mind and the Kingdom of God is within our midst, what than is all this about a Kingdom being somewhere else?

When Christ said, the Kingdom of GOD is in our midst and the Kingdom of God was at hand, what was he really saying? Hasn't the ungodly secular (mammon) governments created restricting political spheres within the world's land areas and exercise absolute control over the individuals within their defined boundaries who have pledged allegiance to them, or have granted them mammon jurisdiction over the persons or subject matter. Why should ungodly men Lord over man? Better yet, why do we allow them to lay upon us laws, codes, rules, regulations, license

and permits when We the People did not grant them said power and authority. It is from their jurisdiction that we must come out of and be separate from. The federal government has extended their jurisdiction over us. They have usurped their powers and have become the master and we their slaves. A government Of the People, By the People and For the people is from the true Sovereign. We MUST not continue to be slaves to those who by self-nature are not GOD, but are our ADVERSARIES. We, you and I are the rightful rulers of our world. Government is suppose to be our servant, not our master.

"For our citizenship is in Heaven, from which we eagerly await for a Savior, the Lord Jesus Christ." (Philippians 3:20) Aren't we waiting for a Messiah? Can one Son of Man do it alone? Christ came to show the way, but isn't it up to us to follow the path?

Many Christians tell me the Second Coming is when Christ comes back to rule over the Nations. But according to Revelation 2:26-27, Christ said he will not rule. "And he who overcomes, and he who keeps My deeds until the end, TO HIM I WILL GIVE AUTHORITY OVER THE NATIONS; AND HE SHALL RULE THEM WITH A ROD OF IRON . . ."

If we closely examine the genealogy of Christ, through his father, Joseph, we will discover his father Joseph was a direct descendant of Abraham, David, Isaac, Jacob, Judah, Noah and Adam. With this in mind let us look at what has been written about the Throne and Kingdom of his ancestors.

"He shall build a house for My name, and I will establish the throne and his kingdom forever." (2 Samuel 7:13)

"And your house and your kingdom shall be established forever before you. Your throne shall be established forever." (2 Samuel 7:16)

"Then I will establish the throne of your kingdom over Israel forever, as I promised David your father." (1 King 9:5)

"Now the kingdom may return to the house of David." (1 King 12:26)

"And I will establish him in my house and in My kingdom forever; and his throne will be established forever." (1 Chronicles 22:10)

"David shall never lack a man to sit on the throne of the House of Israel." (Jeremiah 33:17)

"I have exalted one chosen from my people. I have found David My servant; with my Holy oil I have anointed him . . . I also shall make him my First-born, the Highest of Kings of the earth. . . I will establish his descendants [seed] forever . . . His descendants shall endure forever . . . His throne . . . It shall be established forever." (Psalms 89:29-37) Could Christ have been the only begotten Son, if God made David his First-born? Isn't the meaning of the word Christ, the anointed one? Wasn't David also anointed? Thus, wasn't David the First-born and anointed one, the Highest of Kings? It is also interesting to note that David was also born in Bethlehem.

". . . To his anointed, to David and his descendants [seed] forever." (Psalms 18:50)

". . . Of the fruit [seed] of your body I will set upon your throne . . . Their sons also shall sit upon your throne forever" (Psalms 132:11-12)

"I [David] am going the way [death] of all the earth." (1 Kings 2:1)

"I have risen in the place of my Father David and sit on the throne of Israel . . . You shall not lack a man to sit on the throne of Israel." (1 Kings 8:20-25)

"Righteousness and justice are the foundation of Thy throne." (Psalms 89:14)

"If a king judges the poor with truth, his throne will be established forever." (Proverbs 29:14)

"Thy throne O God, is forever and ever." (Psalms 45:6)

"Then the King will desire your beauty; Because He is your Lord, bow down to Him." (Psalms 45:11)

Since Joseph was a descendant of David and heir to the throne of the everlasting kingdom, and Christ was the eldest son, could the kingdom he spoke of possibly have been the throne of David of and the kingdom of Israel, which he was an heir too? For years, didn't the prophets proclaim the coming of a deliverer, one who would sit on the Throne of David? Weren't they waiting for a Messiah who would establish a powerful nation, free from foreign domination?

"For a child will be born to us, a son will be given us; And the government will rest upon his shoulders, And his name will be called Wonderful Counselor, Mighty God . . . There will be no end to the increase of his government or of peace, On the throne of David and over his kingdom, To establish it and uphold it with justice and righteousness." (Isaiah 9:6-7) Didn't the scribes, Pharisees and chief priests take over the throne of David? Didn't they hold the Hebrews in a terrible bondage of ritualism and legalism? Was their bondage over the people just as oppressive as any tyrant? Hadn't they become the authority over the people? Didn't Christ point at them when he spoke about the battle against those in high places?

"I am the root and the offspring of David, the bright morning star." (Revelation 22:16) OOPS! Wait a minute! How can Christ be a descendant of David and be the Son of God at the same time? If he was born of God of a virgin birth, why would he say he was of the seed of David? Why wouldn't he have said, "I am the offspring of God"? Isn't a virgin in the Old Testament a young woman/maiden?

*** VIRGIN - Heb: Bathulia, almah; maiden; Lat: virgo, young woman.

*** Smith' Bible Dictionary

"When your [David] days are complete and you lie down [die] with your fathers, I will raise up your descendant after you, who will come forth from you [your seed], and I will establish his kingdom . . . The throne of his kingdom forever." (2 Samuel 7:12-14)

"I will establish your seed forever." (Psalms 89:4)

Revelation 5:5 reconfirms Christ was from the seed of David and heir to the throne. "Stop weeping; behold, the Lion that is from the tribe of Judah, the Root of David, has overcome so as to open the book and its seven seals."

"God had sworn to him [David] to seat one of his descendants upon his throne." (Acts 2:30)

"He [Christ] will be called the Son of the Most High . . . and the Lord will give him the throne of his Father David and he will reign over the House of Jacob [Israel] forever." (Luke 1:32-33) Doesn't this say Christ is the Son [seed] of his father David? Wasn't David the Most High King?

Wasn't Christ a descendant from the seed of the Most High (David)? According to scriptures wasn't the throne and Kingdom of David to be forever? According to scriptures isn't the Kingdom of God and God's Throne to be forever? Are the Kingdom of David and the Kingdom of God one and the same?

What did Christ call himself? Didn't he say, "I am the light of the world"? (John 3:12) What did he say about man? "You are the light of the world"? (Matthew 5:14)

"Jesus [Son of Man] son of Joseph . . . Adam son of God." (Luke 3:23)

I believe one of the most important facts a Christian needs to understand is, that the various ungodly secular (mammon) governments have been taken over by wicked men who have established themselves as the masters within the various governments (Kingdoms) of the world. Just like the Chief Priests did when they took over the seat of Moses. As long as we allow them to remain in power and continue to serve them as their slaves, we will never have a righteous Kingdom (nation). To those of you who say, we are to obey those in authority, because God put them there, how do you feel about Hitler, Stalin, and Mussolini etc.? Who put them there? Would you obey someone like them? If so, I should remind you of the Nuremburg trials?

Perhaps, the reader now understands what CHRIST meant when he said to Pilate, "What authority [jurisdiction] do you have over me?" Christ was not under the jurisdiction of Pilate's secular government. He did not register with Caesar and was therefore not one of Caesar's subjects. He reconfirmed this when he said, "I am not of this [secular] world." He was SOVEREIGN unto himself. He did not partake in the world of the evil tyrants and despots.

* Authority - Permission. Right to exercise powers; to implement and enforce laws; to exact obedience; to command; to judge. Control over; jurisdiction. The power delegated by a principal to his agent.

* Jurisdiction - 1: the power, right, or authority to interpret and apply the law 2: the authority of a sovereign power 3: the limits or territory within the authority may be exercised

"Until the Ancient of Days came, and judgment was passed in favor of the saints of the Highest One, and a time arrived when the saints took possession of the kingdom [>David's] . . . And possess it forever and forever" (Daniel 7:20-22) Isn't this an everlasting life of a Nation (Kingdom)?

Many Christians when asked the question; "Who rules the hosts of the Heavens and the Earth?" reply, GOD. But is that what the scriptures say?

"Let the birds fly above the earth in the open expanse of the heavens . . . Thus the heavens [sky] and the earth were completed and all of their hosts . . . Let us make man in our own image according to our likeness and let them rule over the birds of the sky [Heavens] . . . and over every creeping thing that creeps on the earth." (Genesis 1:20, 26, 2:1)

When asked the question, "Who is the Father of Mankind?" most Christians reply, God. But who do the scriptures say is the father of mankind?

"Now the man called his wife's name Eve, because she was the mother of all living things . . . When Adam had lived one hundred and thirty years, he became a father of a son in his own likeness, according to his image, and named him Seth . . . Now it came about, when men began to multiply on the face of the land, and daughters were born to them, that the sons of God saw that the daughters of men were beautiful; and took wives for themselves whomever they chose."

(Genesis 3:20, 4:1, 5:3, 6:1-3) If Eve was the mother of all living things, wouldn't Adam be the Father?

* Adam - Hebrew meaning mankind (Gen. 2:19-20 translated as the proper name of the Father of Mankind)

"The LORD God said, `Behold, the man [Adam] has become like one of Us [GOD], knowing good and evil; and now lest he stretch out his hand, and take also from the tree of life, and eat, and live forever.'" (Genesis 3:22)

Many Christians have told me that only God can give the Spirit of life. "So also it is written, `The first MAN, Adam, became a Living Soul.' The last Adam became a life-giving spirit." (1 Corinthians 15:45)

This would be an appropriate place to define the words God and Lord. *** JAH (yah) - (1) The shorter form of Jehovah (2) ELOHIM, the plural, the rulers and judges of Israel, all true sons of Israel were called sons of God (3) The Canaanites claimed to be `sons of God'. In distinction from the Sethites, who took the more humble name of the `sons of Adam' or the sons of the Man'. (4) The peculiar name of God in relation to the covenant is Jehovah; but the Jews always pronounce the word ADONAI, which is the Lord. The vowel points now in use were not invented for centuries after the Hebrew ceased to be a living language, so that the true pronunciation of the word Jehovah was lost, and the word was written YHVH, and pronounced Adonai or Elohim. The vowel points of Adonia were placed in Jehovah, but this is not found in the old copies, and not older than about 1500 A.D. Jehovah is underived existence, self existence. The word Jehovah is suppose to mean YAHU, joy; VAH, pain - the God of good and evil. (5) Kurios, Lord; also of a man, a Lord. "In the beginning was the word and the word was with God and the word was God and the word is . . . I AM that I AM and this is my memorial name to all future generations." (Exodus 3:15, 6:6; Revelations 3:12) Now call out God's memorial name to all future generations. Yes, God is Spirit, the Spirit dwells within and we are the TEMPLE OF THE LIVING GOD. I will never forget the times when I have asked a Christian, to call out God's memorial name and they look at me and say, "God". After several attempts to get them to say the memorial name of I AM, they look at me and say, that's blasphemous. Others have replied Jehovah. And when I asked, what does YHWH mean? They say, I AM. So I will say to them, call out the meaning of his name and they will say, God or that's blasphemous. What about the doctrines, precepts and traditions that Christ warned them about?

"The Lord by wisdom founded the earth; By understanding He established the heavens." (Proverbs 3:19)

"The earth [wisdom] is thy footstool and heaven [understanding; the renewing of the spirit of the mind] is thy throne." (Isaiah 66:1)

"Inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation [wisdom and understanding] of the world." (Matthew 25:34) Hasn't the foundation of the earth been shaken, because our wisdom and understanding have been replaced with doctrines and precepts of deception and false propaganda?

"Acquire Wisdom! Acquire understanding! . . . Guard her for she is your life." (Proverbs 4:5,13)

"Say to wisdom, `You are my sister', and call understanding your intimate friend." (Proverbs 7:4)

"Does wisdom not call, and understanding lift up her voice . . . At the entrance of the door she [wisdom and understanding] cries out; To you O men, I [wisdom and understanding] call, and my

voice is to the sons of men . . . I wisdom dwell with prudence . . . Counsel is mine and sound wisdom; I am understanding, power is mine, by me [wisdom and understanding] kings reign . . . I [wisdom and understanding] love those who love me and to those who diligently seek me [wisdom and understanding] will find me." (Proverbs 8:1-7)

"Behold, I [wisdom and understanding] stand at the door and knock; if anyone hears my voice and opens the door, I [wisdom and understanding] will come in to him, and will dine with him and he with Me." (Revelation 3:20) Doesn't the door lie within the heart and soul?

"From everlasting I [wisdom] was established, From the earliest times of the earth. When there were no depths I [wisdom] was brought forth . . . When He established the heavens, I [understanding] was there." (Proverbs 8:23-27)

"How blessed is the man who finds wisdom, And the man who gains understanding. For its profit is better than the profit of silver, And its gain than fine gold. She is more precious than jewels; And nothing compares to her. Long life is in her right hand . . . She [wisdom and understanding] is the tree of life to those who take hold of her." (Proverbs 3:13-18) Is this the symbolic tree of life in the garden? Adam already had eaten of the tree of KNOWLEDGE, he knew both good and evil. Had he eaten from the tree of Life, wouldn't he have the wisdom and understanding of good and evil? If Christians and the American people had the wisdom and understanding of what our leaders are really all about, would the adversary have gotten this far with their evil plans?

"The fruit [wisdom and understanding] of the righteous is a tree of life . . . If the righteous will be rewarded in the earth, How much more the wicked and sinner?" (Proverbs 11:30-31)

"All those who hate me [wisdom and understanding] love death." (Proverbs 8:36) Isn't wisdom and understanding the tree of life?

"Fools die for lack of understanding." (Proverbs 10:21)

"My people perish for lack of knowledge . . . And you shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free" (Hosea 4:6; John 8:32)

"When the Helper comes, whom I will send to you from the Father, that is the Spirit of Truth . . ." (John 15:26) Could the Helper be wisdom and understanding of his teachings and the opening up of our eyes to the tyrants and despots that sit on Capital Hill?

"To open their eyes so that they may turn from darkness [lies and deception] to light [truth and understanding] from the dominion of Satan to God . . ." (Acts 26:18)

"Do not remove the ancient boundary [borders] which your fathers have set." (Proverbs 22:28) Doesn't the plans of the United Nations to create a ONE-WORLD government without boundaries remove our boundaries?

"And in their case the prophecy of Isaiah is being fulfilled, which says, you will keep on hearing but will not understand; And you will keep on seeing, but will not perceive; For the heart of this people has become dull, and with their ears they scarcely hear, and they have closed their eyes lest they should see with their eyes, and hear with their ears, and understand with their heart and turn again, and I [wisdom and understanding] should heal them." (Matthew 13:14-15)

How many prophets, how many wise men, how many poets, how many philosophers and messengers have brought forth the truth to us? It is through their words of wisdom and understanding, to fulfill the prophet who announced that the Lord shall set his hand again, the

second time, to recover the remnant of the people. But first the people must WAKE-UP to the wisdom and understanding of the SPIRIT of TRUTH and become a MIGHTY SOLDIER. The things that mankind possesses over the animal kingdom are: the power to reason, the power to choose and the power of free will. If man did not know both good and evil, he would not have the power to reason what is good or evil, the power to choose good over evil, or the free will to act upon good or evil, he would be a mere animal. We are the ultimate creation on earth. We unlike the animal kingdom have the power to think, to love, to laugh, to imagine, to create, to plan, to speak and the power to pray. We are a complete living being. One who can translate a sensation or perception, not by instinct, but by thought and deliberation into whatever action is best for ourselves and all humanity. The power within us has no bounds. We are more than human beings, we are humans becoming. We were given the world and dominion over it. Yet, we have become slaves to forces we have not understood. We have fallen asleep in a world of apathy and self-denial. We have entrusted our futures into the hands of our enemy. Consequently, we live in their world.

It has been written the first man Adam became a living soul and the last man Adam became a life giving spirit. If the Father of mankind did not exist, would the son exist? If the son did not exist, would the Spirit (life) of mankind continue to be everlasting? Doesn't the Holy Trinity (The Father, The Son and The Holy Spirit) live everlasting from one generation to the next generation, through the womb of Eve? Yes, you are from the seed of God and were created in the image of your father.

* Eve - Hebrew meaning life

Didn't Moses say to the Pharaoh, "Let my people go"? And didn't Moses say to the Hebrews, "I am the LORD, and I will bring you out from under the burdens of the Egyptians, and I will deliver you from bondage . . . I will take you for my people and I will be your GOD; and you shall know who brought you out from under the burdens of Egypt?" (Exodus 6; 6-7) Didn't Moses lead and the people followed? Was Moses a Messiah that delivered the Hebrews out of the hands of the oppressor?

"I looked for a man among them who would build up the wall and stand before me in a gap on behalf of the land so I would not have to destroy it, but I found none . . ." (Ezekiel 3:30) If we will not stand up won't our nation be destroyed? "Therefore I say to you, the Kingdom of GOD will be taken from away from you and be given to a nation producing the fruit of it." (Matthew 21:43) Wasn't America founded on Biblical principles? Isn't the Constitution We the People? Aren't We the People the true Sovereign? Do you think our Nation can possibly survive as a Sovereign Nation if we don't produce the fruit of the True Sovereign Power? Do you think our Nation can survive if they remain in power? Do you think they will give up without a fight? Do you think Tophet is a fitting place and a just reward for their crimes against mankind?

I believe it is important to keep in mind the ADVERSARY has been working for thousands of years to obtain their goal of world domination. They have achieved their quest of a MONOPOLY over the world's money supply. The U.N. gives them a MONOPOLY over the world's military. But they still had one object to overcome, that of religion. I think you will agree that throughout history man has been the victim of oppression. Consequently, if man was not given some kind of hope/reward, he would have rebelled a long time ago. It should be remembered that the scribes, Pharisees and Chief Priests had taken over the CHAIR of MOSES. As a result, they could have easily started rewriting the scriptures to suit their aims. With this thought in mind, could it be possible that during the dark-ages 400 A.D. to 900 A.D the ADVERSARY rewrote the scriptures in order to further deceive mankind? Could it also be possible that the battle that took place between God (good) and Satan (evil) in Heaven really is about the ADVERSARY trying to overthrow mankind on earth? According to world renowned Historian and Theologian Will Durant PH. D., "The oldest copies of the gospels go back to the third century. The original compositions

were apparently written between 60 A.D. and 120 A.D. and were therefore, exposed to nearly 2 centuries of errors in transcription and possible alterations, to suit the theology of aims of the copyist's sect or time. Christian writers before 100 A.D. quote the Old, but never the New Testament. The only reference to a Christian gospel before 150 A.D. is in Papias who, about 135 A.D. reports an unidentified 'John the Elder' as saying, 'That Mark composed from memory conveyed to him by Peter'. Of the 661 verses in the received text of Mark over 600 are reproduced in Matthew and 350 in Luke, mostly word for word." (Story of Civilization; Caesar and Christ)

Mr. Durant goes on to write, "The first English version of the scriptures made by direct translations from the original Hebrew and Greek was the work of William Tyndale. He was later accused of willfully perverting the meaning of the scriptures, and his New Testaments were ordered burned as 'untrue translations', and in Oct 1535 was publicly executed and burned at the stake. In 1582 a translation of the New Testament, made from Latin vulgate by Roman Catholic scholars [scribes] was published at Rheims." This supports Smith's Bible Dictionary statement that the word Jehovah did not appear until sometime during the 1500's. Perhaps there has never been a true separation of Church and state. Aren't the 20th century priests taught by the modern scribes what to preach in the Seminary? Isn't the King James Version exactly that, "King James version of what the scriptures say?" Doesn't this prove the scriptures were rewritten? Didn't the Kings give large amounts of money and land to the priests? Didn't the Government give tax-exempt status to 501-3(c) churches? Isn't it against the law for any tax-exempt organization to involve itself in politics? Haven't the tax-exempt churches sold out their right to speak-out against the tyranny of government? As far as I am concerned, most modern churches are aiding and abetting in the crime by accepting tax-exempt status and not speaking out against the real enemy. Do you think Christ would have accepted a tax-exempt status from Caesar? Didn't point his finger at the MONEY-CHANGERS, politicians, lawyers, scribes, Pharisees and the Chief Priests that plagued the world in the ancient days? Do you think if we went through another period of Dark-Ages, it would be possible to take the same Bible that we have today and change just enough of it to make it appear that good is evil and evil is good? Do you think it would be possible to write about some other miracles and then have the Chief Priests preach these new miracles to the people and have them believe them? I forget who said tell a big enough lie and the people will believe it. Tell them the truth and it will be hard for them to swallow. When I have brought the possibility of the scriptures being altered or rewritten to the attention of many Christians, most have responded with The dead sea scrolls and the Greek version verify the truth and accuracy." This also raises several questions: How do we know that the Dead Sea scrolls and the Bible are the same? How many Christians do you know that have read them? Could they be a plant by the ADVERSARY to further their deception? Could those who have access to them be agents of the ADVERSARY? If the Greek translation is the same as the Bible, where did the Greeks get their Scriptures from? Was it from the same source? If the original source was altered, wouldn't the Greek version also have been altered? A very dear friend of mine is Greek. He told me that the Greek Scriptures says, "Have no other God before Thee (you)." This is different than, "Have no other Gods before me." To be quite honest, I don't have much trust in those in HIGH PLACES. I wouldn't put anything past them in their attempts to carry out their plans. They have been working on their plans for nearly 4,500 years. I believe one of the biggest problems that they were faced with, if in fact they did alter the Scriptures was the problem of folk-lore. If they altered them to much, to soon, the people would have known something wasn't quite right. Therefore, if they were going to alter the scriptures, especially the New Testament, it would have to be very carefully orchestrated. The ADVERSARY now basically controls our media, education, school books, publishing companies, money supply, court rooms, the fields of science and medicine and the list goes on and on. So why couldn't they have altered the scriptures? There is also proof that our own Constitution isn't the same as the original. It has been altered too. Now if they can alter a document that is a little over 200 years old and make us believe it is the original one, why couldn't they do the same thing with Scriptures that are over 2,000 years old? I have to hand it to them, they are brilliant to say the least. It is a shame that they did not use their powers for the betterment of mankind.

To the Christians whose faith is, they will be caught up at the Rapture in the twinkling of an eye and won't be here when all HELL breaks loose, I must ask these questions: What if you are still here when the ADVERSARY implements their CHECKLESS/CASHLESS society, will you accept the number? How long will you continue to sit back and wait to be lifted up to Heaven? Will you allow yourself to become a disarmed citizen of their ONE-WORLD TOTALITARIAN DICTATORSHIP? Or, will you become a member of the CHRISTIAN ARMY OF GOD? Does it really make any difference if, we are not in the twinkling of an eye caught up at the rapture, so long as THY KINGDOM COMES, THY WILL BE DONE ON EARTH AS IT IS IN THE SPIRIT OF OUR MINDS? Does it really make any difference if we not are not caught up at the rapture in the twinkling of an eye as long as we destroy this enemy and all of their roots and branches? Remember, thy earth is your footstool and heaven is your throne.

"For greater is the spirit and truth that dwells inside than he [the flesh] who is of this world" (2 Corinthians 6:16) The body is only the Temple that people have named Tom, Dick, Harry, Sally, Jane and Sue etc. The real SPIRIT that dwells within is I AM.

"Do not be confirmed to this world, but be transformed by the renewing of your mind..." (Romans 12:2)

If hell is the grave, and the Kingdom of Heaven is the renewing of the Spirit of the mind, and the Kingdom of God is the Throne of David, and the resurrection is from the darkness of being dead in truth and knowledge to the light of wisdom and understanding, where and what is the eternal lake of fire?

"DO NOT BE AFRAID, BUT SPEAK, AND DO NOT KEEP SILENT . . . We are no longer to be children, tossed here and there by waves and carried by wind of doctrine, by trickery of men, by craftiness in deceitful scheming." (Acts 18:9; Ephesians 4:14) Isn't that how we got into this mess to begin with?

"Be vigilant; because your ADVERSARY . . . walks about seeking whom he may devour. By their fruits you shall know them . . . Behold, I send you out as sheep in the midst of wolves; therefore be shrewd as serpents and innocent as doves. But beware of men; for they will deliver you up to the courts and scourge you in their synagogues;" (1 Peter 5:8; Matthew 7:16, 10:16-17) Isn't this what they do to anyone who gets in their way?

"If the foundations, be destroyed, what can the righteous do?" (Psalms 11:3)

"For the Son of Man has come to save that which was lost . . . Do you suppose I came to grant peace on earth? . . . I did not come to bring peace, but a sword . . . I will write upon him the name of my God . . . and his name shall be on their fore-head . . . Behold, a people rises like a lioness, And as a lion it lifts itself; It shall not lie down until it devours the prey . . . Do not harm the earth . . . until we have sealed the bond-servants of our God on their foreheads . . . You should not hurt the grass of the earth, nor any green thing, nor any tree, but only the men who do not have the seal of God on their foreheads . . . Your guardsmen are like the swarming locust . . . and the angels shall come forth and gather the wicked from amongst the righteous . . . and utterly slay old men, young men, maidens, little children and women, but do not touch any man on whom is the mark; and you shall start from my sanctuary. So they started with the elders who were before the temple . . . And they will be gathered like prisoners in a dungeon, and will be confined in prison; and after many days they will be punished . . . They are utterly swept away by sudden terrors! Like a dream when one AWAKES . . . For behold, the day is coming, burning like a furnace; and all the arrogant and every evildoer will be chaff; and the day that is coming will set them ablaze, says the LORD of Hosts so that it will leave them neither root or branch [their seeds] . . . For Tophet has long been ready. Indeed it has been prepared for the King and his followers. He has made it deep and large. A pyre of fire with plenty of wood. The breath of

the LORD, like a torrent of brimstone, sets it afire. So it will be at the end of the age; the angels shall come forth, and take out the wicked from among the righteous, and will cast them into the furnace of fire, there shall be weeping and gnashing of the teeth. And the devil [adversary] who deceived them was thrown into the lake of fire and brimstone, where the beast and the false prophet are also; . . . For wickedness burns like a fire; . . . THE FURY OF FIRE WILL CONSUME THE ADVERSARY . . . and the people [those who do not gather] are like fuel for the fire; No man spares his brother . . . There will be two in a field, and one will be taken and the other left behind. For those that do not gather are against us . . . For this son of mine was dead, and has come to life again [Is the first death; dead in truth and knowledge?] . . . But for the cowardly and unbelieving and abominable and murderers and immoral persons and sorcerers and idolaters and all liars, their part will be in the lake that burns with fire and brimstone, which is the SECOND DEATH. Then the Righteous will shine forth as the sun" (Matthew 18:11; Luke 12:51; Matthew 10:34; Numbers 23:24; Rev 3:12; Ezekiel 9:4-8; Hebrews 10:27; Matthew 13:49-51; Revelation 9:4; Nahum 3:17; Revelation 20:10; Isaiah 9:18-19; Revelation 21:8; Matthew 13:43)

If we examine the above scriptures very carefully, we will discover that the Angels are the ones who are to gather the wicked from amongst the righteous, and will utterly slay old men, young men etc, and the righteous will cast them into Tophet (the lake of fire). However it also says, no man spares his brother. Are the angels really from some distant heaven? Or is it a symbolic name of those in GOD's ARMY of righteous men and women? Doesn't the verse "no man spares his brother goes hand in hand with those that do not gather with us are against us"? If our brothers do not join us in this Holy Battle of good against evil, haven't they judged themselves that they are against us and are the enemy? Do these verses imply the Earth will be destroyed by fire? Or, do they mean the wicked of the Earth will be destroyed by a fire that has been prepared for their dead bodies? Doesn't fire symbolize all consuming and purification? How else are we to destroy all the bodies of the enemy? Dig graves? The plaques that are about to emerge will consume most of its inhabitants.

"Assuredly, the evil man will not go unpunished, But the descendants of the righteous will be delivered . . . The wicked are overthrown and are no more . . . Through knowledge the righteous will be delivered. When all goes well with the righteous the city rejoices, and when the wicked perish, there is glad shouting." (Proverbs 11:9-11; 11:21, 12-7) Don't you think we will have a giant party when the current system is overthrown and destroyed?

* Tophet - A place of human sacrifice; (2) a place of sacrifice by fire

Tophet - "place of burning" was in Jerusalem, . . . There they burned the carcasses, and other filthiness from the city. It was in the same place that they cast away the ashes and remains of the false images of false gods, when they demolished their altars, and broke down their statues. Isaiah seems to allude to this custom of burning dead carcasses in Tophet, when speaking of the defeat of the army of Sennacherib. (Grudens Complete Concordance)

* Rapture - A seizing by violence. (2) violence in a pleasing fashion. (3) the state of being carried away with joy, love. (4) an expression of great joy, pleasure . (5) a carrying away or being carried away in body or spirit. (6) an attack of great excitement; delirium; hysterics; paroxysm.

Many Christians that I have spoken with believe part of the rapture is when the graves are opened and the dead are raised into Heaven. They believe after the rapture God and his angels will come to earth and fight the Holy battle. Yet, according to 1 Corinthians 15:26, "The last enemy to be abolished is death." If the resurrection of those who have physically died are resurrected at the rapture and then the enemy is conquered, wouldn't the ADVERSARY be the last enemy to be abolished? "For this son of mine was dead [in truth and knowledge] and has come to life again; he was lost, and has been found" (Luke 15:24) Could the rapture be the resurrection of those dead in truth and knowledge and awakened with the Spirit of wisdom and

understanding with the spirit of an ALMIGHTY SOLDIER to go forth and seize by violence those who have betrayed us? Wouldn't it be a joyous feeling and an attack of great excitement to set the people free from the corruption of this world?

"DO NOT BE AFRAID, BUT SPEAK, AND DO NOT KEEP SILENT. The court will sit in judgment, and his dominion will be taken away, annihilated and destroyed forever. Then the sovereignty, the dominion, and the greatness of all the Kingdoms under the whole heaven will be given to the people of the saints . . . From generation to generation [everlasting] . . . Come, you who are blessed of My Father [the Spirit of Truth], inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world [wisdom and understanding] . . . We are no longer to be children, tossed here and there by waves and carried by wind of doctrine, by trickery of men, by craftiness in deceitful scheming . . . Put on the full armor of God [truth and knowledge] that you may be able to stand firm against the schemes of the devil. For our struggle is not against flesh and blood, but against the rulers, against the powers of the world forces . . . In whose hands is a wicked scheme, and whose right hand is full of bribes . . . Let the godly ones exult in glory . . . a two edged sword in their hands, to execute vengeance . . . and punishment . . . To bind their kings with chains, and their nobles with fetters of iron; To execute on them the judgment written . . . Now judgment is upon the world; now the ruler of this world shall be cast out . . . See I have appointed you this day over the nation and over the kingdom, to pluck up and to break down, to destroy and over-throw . . . To vindicate the orphan and oppressed, that man [evildoers] of the earth may cause terror no more."(Acts 18:9; Daniel 7:26-27; Matthew 25:34; Ephesians 4:14, 6:11-12; Psalms 149:5-9, 26:10; Jeremiah 1:10; Psalms 10:18)

** Criminal anarchy - The doctrine that organized government should be overthrown by force and violence or other unlawful means. The advocacy of such a doctrine has been made a felony.**
Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition

Isn't it amazing that it is against the law to do exactly what the scriptures say we should do when EVIL men rule? Just like I said before, I don't care what their laws say; they are the ADVERSARY and must be dealt with as such! Their law against criminal anarchy could best be described as: "Covering their own A__ for their crimes against people."

The ADVERSARY knows their sinister plans for mankind have been uncovered. Consequently, they will use whatever means are necessary to maintain their position of power including, but not limited to using our water supply as a weapon against us. Once again, they are not naive to believe that the people won't raise arms against them therefore we must remember this verse: "Many men died from the waters, because they were made bitter." (Revelation 8:11)

IN THE NAME OF

COME OUT FROM AMONGST HER
LEST YOU SHARE IN THE PLAGUES
COME SAITH THE LORD, INHERIT THE KINGDOM
PREPARED FROM THE FOUNDATION OF THE EARTH

COME O'SONS OF MEN, TAKE A STAND
ON THE FOOTSTOOL OF THE EARTH
GRAB YOUR SWORD, ALMIGHTY MEN
COME CAST THIS ENEMY FROM YOUR LAND

COME TOGETHER O'SONS OF YOUR FATHER
COME TOGETHER AS WE MARCH AS ONE
COME LET US WAGE WAR AGAINST THE EVIL ONES

COME LET THE KNOWLEDGE SET US FREE
FROM THOSE WHO OPPRESS YOU AND ME

COME TOGETHER IN HOLY SPIRIT
THAT ALL OF MANKIND MAY BE SET FREE
COME TOGETHER ALMIGHTY MEN
THE WRITING IS ON THE WALL
COME TOGETHER YE SONS OF MEN

COME YE GREAT AND SMALL
COME TOGETHER O'NSONS OF MEN
IN ALL YOUR GLORY
LET YOUR VISIONS AND DREAM
SET US FREE

COME TOGETHER IN SPIRIT
AS IT WAS IN THE BEGINNING
COME TOGETHER IN THE END
COME TOTHER IN THE NAME OF YOUR FATHER
COME TOGETHER IN THE NAME OF HIS SONS

COME LET US PUT AN END
TO THE REIGN OF OUR ADVERSARY
OVER THE NATIONS, OVER OUR LAND
COME YE ALMIGHTY MEN
GATHER AND LET THE SPIRIT REIGN

COME TOGETHER
O'HOLY SPIRIT OF MEN
COME LET US JOIN TOGETHER
IN THE HOLY BATTLE
COME AS ONE IN SPIRIT
ACCORDING TO HIS HOLY GRACE

COME YE O'MIGHTY GOD
COME TOGETHER O'NSONS OF MEN
COME TOGETHER
THE BATTLE HAS ALREADY BEGUN

"After these things, I looked and behold a great multitude, which no one could count . . . These are the ones who come out of the great tribulation, and the have washed their robes and made them white in the blood of the Lamb." (Revelation 7:-14)

"And ye shall know the truth and the truth shall set you free." (John 8:32)

I think you will concur, the Bible can be interpreted in many different ways, and as the title says, this is only "Food For Thought". Regardless of how one might interpret the scriptures and whether or not they were rewritten during the Dark-Ages or, willfully perverted by the scribes in 1582, I think you will acknowledge, based on the facts and evidence, one of four things are certain to happen: (1) We will be able to defeat this enemy peacefully and lawfully. And I don't put much hope on that ever happening. (2) The nation will remain asleep and when they awaken, they will be totally disarmed with a ball and chain around their ankle. (3) We the Almighty Mass will arise and wage a HOLY WAR against the ADVERSARY. (4) God and a band of

Angels will come down from Heaven and fight this battle for us. How do you think the final chapter will unfold? Or, do you care not about freedom and liberty? Or, what kind of world we leave our children?

Just in case you are wondering if I believe in a Supreme Being, the answer is, yes. I am not so naive to believe that life exists only on earth. In our Galaxy alone there are over 100 billion stars (suns) and in the entire universe there are trillions of suns with trillions of planets. It would be foolish to believe that earthlings are superior to all other life forms. I am sure we are not alone in this vast universe. Although, the author cannot prove nor disprove superior life forces do exist on other planets, it is not beyond possibilities that earth has been and is currently being visited by Superior beings from other planets. Perhaps, they are from a distant place called Heaven. Maybe, they are called Angels. And maybe their leader is God that created the Heavens and the earth. Regardless of who they are, if they do exist, these visitors must be scratching their heads wondering why we are allowing all of this to continue, and why we have not passed judgment and carried out the sentence according to the ancient laws. But then again, perhaps there is a time and place for everything? Maybe, it is only by the fulfilling of the scriptures that man will truly see the true existence of God, Satan and their relationship to one another. If you are wondering whether or not I believe in God, of course I do, GOD is Spirit, the Spirit is Truth, the Spirit dwells within me, I AM that I AM the Temple of the LIVING GOD. I AM the SON of the LIVING GOD. I AM my Father's Son. Need I say more?

If you are wondering if I believe Jesus was the Son of God, absolutely so. If you are wondering if I believe that Christ was the incarnate of GOD, a GOD from some distant place called Heaven, the answer is no. How could Christ be both GOD and his own son at the same time? How could he sit at the right hand side of himself? As I said before, he was GOD and was his father's son. But if we are all God's as God said we are, wouldn't we also be the SON OF GOD (our father) and the SON of MAN? Didn't Christ say he was the SON of MAN? Wasn't it man who said he was the SON of GOD? Wasn't Christ correct when he said I and my father are one? If you are wondering if I worship Jesus the man, the answer is NO. But I do worship and have reverence for the TRUTH, WISDOM, and UNDERSTANDING as I interpret his teachings.

Regarding the creation of the Heaven and Earth etc., I do not chose to concern myself with who, what, why, when and how the universe came into existence. Most Christians tell me God created the universe and when I ask them "Who created God?" they reply "He has always been." And when I ask, If God has always been, why couldn't the universe have always been? In order for God to exist wouldn't he first need a place to inhabit? If that place is called Heaven, wouldn't Heaven be somewhere in the universe? It is rather like the old question which came first the chicken or the egg? I really don't think that God can exist without first having a place with which to exist in. Do you? They, say, "because God created the universe." But, if we take the assumption that creation presupposes the creator, what did the creator create creation out of? You see I don't really care how it all came about, or what came first the chicken or the egg, just as long as we have a chicken to lay the egg and an egg to replace the chicken when the chicken dies. Does it really matter what came first? Nor do I care how everything came into being. However, I do care that the stage and actors are here, and we are at war against those in high places. I know that I am from the seed of my Father and my Mother brought forth my life. I was created in the image of my Father. I also know that the universe is here and I am part of it. These things I know and have proof of.

If you are wondering whether or not I believe in life after death and if Christ rose from the grave, the answer is no. I believe his teachings (wisdom and understanding) are what rose from the grave. Christ himself said the physical could not inherit the Kingdom of Heaven (the Spirit of the mind). Yet, according to scripture he was in the physical when he ascended to Heaven. It also says if it is not taught that he rose from the grave, than his teachings will have been in vain. Therefore go out and teach the resurrection of Christ. I do not live life with the

expectation of having a reward in Heaven when I die. Nor am I afraid of death. If there is eternal life after death, so shall it be. But I am not going to remain silent and fall victim to my adversary by sitting around waiting for my just rewards when I die. It is time to remove the sword from the sheath and to march into battle and let whatever is in-store for us after death take its natural course. I seek not a reward for my works after death; I seek a reward of my natural born GOD given rights and liberties, and those of my fellow man from generation to generation while I am alive. I was born a FREE MAN and I will to fight to remain a FREE MAN while I am still alive. I seek to live and die as a free man, rather than to live as a slave and have my just rewards after death. The Kingdom is at hand and I am going to fight this battle until the last breath of life in my body. Death is not my enemy.

I know that this will cause a controversy, and believe me; I have toiled over whether or not to include this chapter. But after many sleepless nights and conversations with close Christian friends and acquaintances, who have even gone as far as saying, "You are lucky we even tolerate you being around us with your beliefs."; "Get behind me Satan."; "You'll be punished by God in eternal hell."; "If there is no God that created everything, how did we get here?"; "You have twisted the meaning of the scriptures by a hodgepodge of various verses."; However, if the reader will take it upon themselves to look up the various scriptures quoted, I think you might discover this is not a hodgepodge, but rather a brief synopsis of the scriptures. If you disagree, that's perfectly OK with me, because the whole truth and nothing but the truth will eventually be revealed. But before you do pass judgment, look them up and then decide. If I am wrong and doomed to eternal hell for not believing in the doctrines, precepts and traditions of what I believe is part of the continuing deception, so that man will sit back and do nothing and have their just rewards in heaven, so shall it be. I am willing to pay the consequences, if that is the case. Its like a friend said, "I am covered either way. If you're right it doesn't matter what I believe. But if I am right, I'll go to heaven and you won't." She actually has a very good point, and I could just as easily take that same point of view and be covered at both ends. However, my Father taught me to stand up for what I believe in and I believe these godless, wicked, unjust, robbing, deceiving, lying, unrighteous men might just pull off their plans of enslaving mankind. Especially if, the Christians continue to sit back and do nothing and wait for the rapture. I am not willing to wait for someone else to fight the battle of my freedoms for me. Faith without works is of no use. I have faith that mankind will AWAKEN and fight, and we will regain our freedom. If I am doomed to some eternal hell for doing so and not believing in some Almighty God sitting in some distant Heaven, that knows all, sees all and would let the people suffer and the enemy to continue to rule with wickedness, so shall it be. If there is such a God and I am judged because of my beliefs and not my works, then so shall it be. Faith is to believe without proof and if we have the proof we don't need the faith. I have the proof that the ADVERSARY is ruling the world and I will prove to myself by waging war against them that the Kingdom of a sleeping nation can be AWAKENED and RESURRECTED with righteousness. Mankind can be raised to an exalted state of joy, happiness, prosperity, love, etc. when he regains his natural born inalienable rights and freedom, as granted to him by his CREATOR.

No matter how you might interpret the Bible and whether you agree or disagree with this "Food for Thought" does not change the facts and evidence contained herein. The only reason why I included this chapter was in the hopes that it would be enough food for thought, so that the Christians would stop and think twice about sitting back and systematically doing nothing to stop these atrocities, as they wait for the rapture and their just rewards in Heaven. If I were the enemy, I would want the whole world to have this same attitude. Don't get me wrong, I know of many Christians that are speaking out and fighting the IRS, the Courts, and defending their rights to own and bear arms.

If there is rapture, and God and his army of angels are coming to fight this battle for us, I say, Great! We are going to need all the help we can get. But if it does not happen, then what? We are at war and we better WAKE-UP to the fact before it's too late.

As I said before, one of four things are certain to happen and only time will reveal the outcome. As for me, I am not going to hold my breath and wait around another 2,000 years. We are at WAR and must either fight with the SPIRIT of a MIGHTY SOLDIER or, surrender to the enemy. I know what my verdict is, what about you? Will you pick up the sword and join me in this HOLY BATTLE against those in high places? Or, will you continue to just stand aside and look? For the Son of Man, Jesus Christ came to show us the way that we might have life more abundantly. He showed us the ADVERSARY of man. He said, I AM the TRUTH and the WAY. He has already carried the cross and the people just stood aside and looked. Don't you think it is time that the cross must be carried by the ALMIGHTY MASS?

Ladies and gentlemen, we have been brainwashed and conditioned to believe everything is all right but it isn't. Don't you think our world leaders know and understand what their mark of the beast is all about? Don't you think they are aware that what they are doing is fulfilling prophecy? The Wizard of OZ has been working and laying out their plans for 4,500 years, they are agents and members of the KABALA. They have turned America into Sodom, Gomorrah, and Babylon all wrapped up into one. America is the very incarnation of doom and will drag down the whole world with it. Unless we take a stand against them. America is the last strong hold and the only nation that can put a stop to this madness.

No matter what, in the end, the truth will emerge. Slowly and painfully, but it will emerge. And when it does, we will realize we have been had. But don't take my word for it, just sit back and continue to do nothing and suffer the consequences of your own inaction. We will fight this battle with or without you and shall forget that you were our countrymen.

As I bring this to an end, I want to leave you with the following: "There is an appointed time for everything . . . A time to give birth, and a time to die; A time to plant, and a time to uproot that what is planted. A time to kill, and a time to heal; A time to tear down, and a time to build up. A time to weep, and a time to laugh; A time to mourn, and a time to dance; A time to throw stones, and a time to gather stones; A time to embrace, and a time to shun embracing. A time to search, and a time to give up the lost; A time to keep, and a time to throw away. A time to tear apart, and a time to sew together; A time to be silent, and a time to speak. A time to love and a time to hate; A time for war, and a time for peace." (Ecclesiastes 3:1-8)

THE HOUR IS AT HAND AND NOW IS THE TIME FOR A PEACEFUL AND LAWFUL HOLY WAR.

Let us pray in the name of our Father, his Son and the Holy Spirit, that all their "Ill-Gotten" gains shall be lost. That we shall hold them guilty and by their own devices we shall let them fall! In the multitude of their transgressions, we shall thrust them out, for they are rebellious against our world. May the Holy Spirit awaken our nation to the truth, that there is nothing reliable in what they say, their throat is an open grave. Destruction and misery are in their path. And the path to peace they do not know nor do they understand. Let our Spirits know, unless their days are shortened, no life shall be saved from their chains of inequities. Let our people know the enemy takes counsel against them, so that they might emerge as rulers of us all. Let us pray each and every one of us does our part to bring a peaceful and lawful solution to the problems facing our world.

Let us pray that our nation awakens to the wisdom and understanding that we have always possessed the right to change things but, we have not always exercised that right. Let us pray that as we come to the end of this age, the crooked path becomes straight and narrow, as our purpose begins to shine, that we have the wisdom, foresight and the courage to learn from the experience and to benefit from a rebirth of freedom and the renewing of the Spirit of our minds, as we come alive to the truth that we are not finished, but unfolding and moving to the birth of a new being. A new world does await us and its fulfillment will be divine order. Our power within us cannot fail us. For greater is the Spirit that dwells within than he (the flesh) which is weak.

Let us AWAKEN to the realization of the power of the ALMIGHTY MASS united together in Holy Spirit. The new man will not be a destroyer, but an AWAKENER. A world free from tyranny will open a new way of life, the true art of living. As we build a new nation there will be major problems, requiring interdisciplinary solutions. But, its solutions will be organized around comparison alternative futures, with regard to their value, range of goods, labor and benefits in each. We will be examining different or conflicting philosophies of life which are aimed at survival and freedom of the species and of human values (living the good life and living it well) free from tyranny. Only people from all walks of life can be liberal enough to reconstruct it, and motivated enough to take action. Only a nation Of the People, By the People and For the People can give us the best chance at finding the truth and way to a just and humane society. A society whose rights, duties and freedoms are protected from the tyrants and despots of yesterday, today, and tomorrow.

Let us pray the confusion that reigns and causing a great uneasiness, as man is running to and fro, will come to an end. Let us know that our moral task is to use our growing understanding of humanities place in history rightly, to bring our world to peace and prosperity. While our ethical task is to join together in the right principals in history, experience, reason and revelation and to live by them. Let us pray that we possess the tools to continually construct and reconstruct a view of what we do, in light of the perceived past, present and possible futures. We will each have a part in that divine order.

Let us pray the doors to our educational system will be open to anyone who cares to enter with emphasis on science, engineering, math, health and agriculture. Man must be released from his captivity to live, work, think and dream together. Let us pray man's highest creativity will flow freely and technology will remain free from oppression.

Let us pray we will love God with all our heart, mind and with all our soul and strength, and our neighbor as ourselves. Let us pray we understand that to live by these two great commandments is to be in harmony with God and one another.

Let us pray that we have the wisdom and understanding to realize reform will not be easy. Let us be sustained by the excitement of knowing that we shall each help in the reshaping of the Kingdom in accordance with a NEW VISION, on the threshold of which we now stand.

Let us pray the flame inside shall not rest until the ADVERSARY is destroyed and there is peace and justice for all. Let us pray our flame shines as a symbol to the rest of the world. Let us pray that the hopes of mankind throughout the world who believe in, and strive for peace and justice will see our flame and will rise-up and destroy the enemies of their land. Let us pray the earth is cleansed of the ADVERSARY. Let us pray we leave neither their roots nor branches.

Let us pray each and every one of us mails the petition and mandamus to their elected officials. Let us pray our Kingdom will stand united in the Holy Spirit of an ALMIGHTY WARRIOR against these evil forces who seek to over-throw mankind. Let us cast this enemy into the bottomless pit and let fire consume and purify our land. Let us pray blood does not have to be shed, but if it cannot be solved peacefully and lawfully, let the blood flow to the bridles of the horses, and let it be the final battle of the ages. Let us join together to fight this Holy Battle. Let us hear the children crying so that we understand there is only one battle left to fight. As it was in the beginning so shall it be in the end. Have no pity on those who have no hiding place for their crimes against humanity, for their sins cannot be forgotten. When the battle begins, if your neighbor does not join you, he has judged for himself that he has sided with the enemy and is to be dealt with as such. Let us crush the oppressor and those who serve them! Let us pray that peace and prosperity will reign for a thousand years. Let us proclaim the KINGDOM OF GOD, the Peoples Dominion! As we free ourselves from the bondage of those who by self-nature are not gods. Let us declare the DAY of JUBILEE in order that all their "ill-gotten" gains shall be lost.

MAY THE PEACE AND GRACE OF THE HOLY SPIRIT BE WITH YOU AND GUIDE YOU IN YOUR VERDICT.

AMEN

p.s. It says Amen, not Aman! Christ proved it could not be done alone

Suggested Reading

Congressman McFadden's Speech

http://maxexchange.com/organizations/mcfadden_speaks_out.htm

Billions for the Bankers - Debts for the People

http://maxexchange.com/organizations/billions_for_the_bankers.htm

Who Killed JFK

<http://maxexchange.com/organizations/cab2.htm>

Public Enemy List #1

<http://maxexchange.com/organizations/cfr.htm>

References

ADDITIONAL REFERENCES and SUGGESTED READING

A New World Order, by World Federalist Association

AICL Newsletter

Aid And Abet Newsletter, by Jack McLamb

Alice In Debitland, by The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve

All You Need To Know About The IRS, by Paul Strassels

Anti-Semitism: The Babylonian Connection, by Des Griffin

Called To Serve, by Col. James "Bo" Gritz

Committee To Restore The Constitution

Decent Into Slavery? by Des Griffin

Do Unto The IRS As They Do Unto You, by "Red" Beckman

Emerging Struggle For State Sovereignty, by Lt. Col. A. Roberts

Foreign Affairs, by The Council of Foreign Relations

Fully Informed Jury Association

High Treason, by Robert Gorden

If You Are The Defendant, by Otto Skinner

None Dare Call It Conspiracy, by Gary Allen

Official Tax Audit Guide, by The Internal Revenue Service

Peace By The Wonderful People Who Brought You Korea and Vietnam, by Lt. Col. A Roberts

Plausible Denial, by Mark Lane

Proofs of a Conspiracy, by Professor John Robinson

Operation Vampire Killer, by former Police Chief Jack McLamb

Repeal The Federal Reserve Banks, Pandora's Box of Criminal Acts, by Rev. Casimir Gleruit

Revolution In 20th Century America, by Rick Johnson

Secrets of the Temple, by William Greider

Tax Target Washington, by Gary Allen

The Anti-Federalist Papers, by Clinton Rossiter

The Best Kept Secret, by Otto Skinner

The Big Fix, Inside the Savings and Loan, by James Adams

The Black Robe Cover-Up, by Red Beckman

The Compleat Patriot, by Phil Marsh

The Establishment's Man, by James Drummey

The Federal Reserve Conspiracy, by Eustice Mullins

The Federal Reserve System, Purpose and Function, by The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve

The Federalist Papers, by Clinton Rossiter

The Fourth Reich of the Rich, by Des Griffin

The Franklin Cover-UP, by Senator John DeKamp

The Glory And The Dream, by William Manchester

The Insiders, by John McManus

The Law, by Frederic Bastiat

The Law That Never Was, by Bill Benson and Red Beckman

The McAlvany Intelligence Advisor, by Donald McAlvany

The Merchant Bankers, by Joseph Wechsberg

The Most Secret Science, by Lt. Col. A. Roberts

The Mystery of the Carefully Crafted Hoax, by Ted Gunderson

The New American Man, by John B. Campbell

The New Money System, by Mary Relfe

The New World Order, by Ralph Epperson

The New World Order, Which Will You Choose?, by AICL

The Republic, Decline and Future Promise, Lt. Col. A. Roberts

The Ron Paul Investment Letter, by former Congressman Ron Paul

The Secret of the Federal Reserve, By Eustace Mullins

The Spotlight Newspaper

The Unseen Hand, by Ralph Epperson

The Walls of Our Minds, by Red Beckman

The 11th Hour, by General Louis Walt

To Harass Our People, by Congressman George Hansen

Uncle Tom's Cabin: The Rest of the Story, by Rick Johnson

Victory Denied, by Lt. Col. A. Roberts

Walls Of Our Minds, by "Red Beckman

War And Emergency Powers, by Gene Schroder

When Your Money Fails, by Mary Relfe

Who's Afraid of the IRS? by Lynn Johnston